# The openair manual open-source tools for analysing air pollution data

University of York and Ricardo Energy & Environment





**David Carslaw** 

version: 12th November 2019

The **openair** package and the documentation are provided in the hope that it is useful to you. While these tools are free, if you use them, we ask that acknowledgement be given to their source. Please cite:

Carslaw, D.C. and K. Ropkins, (2012). openair — an R package for air quality data analysis. Environmental Modelling & Software. Volume 27-28, pp. 52-61.

Carslaw, D.C. (2019). The openair manual — open-source tools for analysing air pollution data. Manual for version 2.6-6, University of York.

Every effort has been made to ensure the proper functioning of these tools and their effective and accurate documentation. We would like to be informed of any problems or queries related you have relating to their use. All correspondence should be sent to mailto:david.carslaw@york.ac.uk.

The development website is https://github.com/davidcarslaw/openair. Please use the Github website 'Issues' tracker to report any bugs, make suggestions or ask questions.

This document was produced using R version 3.6.1 and openair version 2.6-6.

Copyright © 2019 David C. Carslaw.

# Contents

1	Intro	duction	6
	1.1	Access to source code	6
	1.2	Brief introduction to <b>openair</b> functions	6
	1.3	Input data requirements	11
	-	1.3.1 Dealing with more than one site	12
	1.4	Using colours	12
	1.5	Automatic text formatting	12
	1.6	Multiple plots on a page	13
	1.7	Annotating openair plots	14
	1.8	Getting help	21
•	0		01
Z	Gett	Ing data into openair	21
	2.1		21
	2.2		23
	2.3	Importing UK Air Quality Data	25
	2.4	Site Meta Data	27
	2.5	Importing data from the CERC ADMS modelling systems	28
		2.5.1 An example considering atmospheric stability	29
3	The	summaryPlot function	32
4	The	outData function	26
4	me		30
5	The	windRose and pollutionRose functions	37
	5.1	Purpose	37
	5.2	Options available	38
	5.3	Example of use	40
6	The	percentileRose function	49
	6.1	Purpose	49
	6.2	Options available	49
	6.3	Example of use	51
7	The	polarFreq function	54
	7.1	Purpose	54
	7.2	Options available	54
	7.3	Example of use	56
	7.5		50
8	The	polarPlot and polarCluster functions	60
	8.1	Purpose	60
	8.2		62
	8.3	Example of use	66
		8.3.1 Nonparametric Wind Regression, NWR	71
		8.3.2 Conditional Probability Function (CPF) plot	71
		8.3.3 Pairwise statistics	74
		8.3.4 The polarCluster function for feature identification and ex-	
		traction	75
9	The	polarAnnulus function	80
	9.1	Purpose	80
	9.2	Options available	81

	9.3	Examp	ple of use	83
10	The	timePl	ot function	85
	10.1	Purpos	se	85
	10.2	Optior	ns available	86
	10.3	Examp	ple of use	89
11	The	timePr	op function	92
12	The	calend	arPlot function	95
	12.1	Purpos	se	95
	12.2	Optior	ns available	95
	12.3	Examp	ple of use	97
13	The	<b>TheilS</b>	Sen function	103
	13.1	Purpos	se	103
	13.2	Optior	ns available	105
	13.3	Examp	ple of use	107
	13.4	Outpu	it	110
14	The	smooth	Trend function	111
	14.1	Purpos	se	111
	14.2	Optior	ns available	112
	14.3	Examp	ple of use	114
15	The	timeVa	riation function	118
	15.1	Purpos	se	118
	15.2	Optior	ns available	119
	15.3	Examp	ple of use	121
	15.4	Outpu	ıt	127
16	The	scatte	erPlot function	128
	16.1	Purpos	se	128
	16.2	Optior	ns available	128
	16.3	Examp	ple of use	132
17	The	linear	Relation function	138
	17.1	Optior	ns available	139
	17.2	Examp	ple of use	140
18	The	trondl	evel function	1/1
10	18.1	Purpos		141
	18.2	Optior	ns available	141
	18.3	Examp	ple of use	143
10	open	air hack	k trajectory functions	140
19	19.1	Traiec	tory gridded frequencies	156
	19.2	Trajec	ctory source contribution functions	158
	-	19.2.1	Identifying the contribution of high concentration back trajec-	2
			tories	158
		19.2.2	Allocating trajectories to different wind sectors	160
		19.2.3	Potential Source Contribution Function (PSCF)	162
		19.2.4		103

	19.3 Back trajectory cluster analysis with the trajCluster function	. 165
20	Model evaluation — the modStats function	170
	20.1 Purpose	. 170
	20.2 Options available	. 172
	20.3 Example of use	. 173
21	Model evaluation — the TaylorDiagram function	175
	21.1 Purpose	. 175
	21.2 Options available	. 178
	21.3 Example of use	. 1/9
22	$\label{eq:model} Model \ evaluation \ - \ the \ conditional Quantile \ and \ conditional Eval \ functional \ evaluation \ - \ the \ conditional \ eval \ value \ val$	
	tions	181
	22.1 Purpose	. 181
	22.2 Options available	· 182
		. 103
23	The calcFno2 function—estimating primary NO <sub>2</sub> fractions	190
	23.1 Purpose	. 190
	23.2 Options available	. 191
		. 191
24	Utility functions	195
	24.1 Selecting data by date	. 195
	24.2 Selecting run lengths of values above a threshold – pollution episodes	196
	24.3 Calculating forming means	. 198
	24.5 Calculating percentiles	. 204
	24.6 The corPlot function — correlation matrices	. 205
	24.7 Preparing data to compare sites, for model evaluation and intervention	0
	analysis	. 207
	$24./.1$ Intervention analysis $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$	20/
		. 208
Ac	knowledgements	210
Fu	ther information and bug reporting	210
A	Bootstrap estimates of uncertainty	214
	A.1 The bootstrap	. 214
	A.2 The block bootstrap	. 214
В	A closer look at trends	216
С	Production of HYSPLIT trajectory files	222

## 1 Introduction

This document provides information on the use of computer software called 'R' to analyse air pollution data. The document supports an initiative to develop and make available a consistent set of tools for analysing and understanding air pollution data in a free, open-source environment.

The amount of monitoring data available is substantial and increasing. In the UK alone there are thought to be over 1000 continuous monitoring sites. Much of the data available is only briefly analysed; perhaps with the aim of comparing pollutant concentrations with national and international air quality limits. However, as it will hopefully be seen, the critical analysis of air pollutant sources that was previously unknown or unquantified.

This document focuses on the development and use of dedicated functions written to process air pollution data. These functions greatly extend the capabilities outlined in Part I, where the focus was on developing an understanding of R.

While many of the options in these functions allow quite a sophisticated analysis to be undertaken, the defaults generally use the simplest (and fastest) assumptions. A more detailed analysis can refine these assumptions e.g. by accounting for autocorrelation, or fine-control over the appearance of a plot.

It should be noted that while the aim is to keep this documentation up to date, the primary source of information related to the different functions is contained within the package itself. Once loaded, type **?openair** to see all the help pages associated with the package. The website for **openair** is http://www.openair-project.org.

This section contains important information on loading the openair package for the first time and the input data requirements. Users will need to consider the advice in this section to ensure that openair can be used without problems.

In this manual two packages are frequently used and it is a good idea to load both.

library(openair)
library(tidyverse)

Note that it is only necessary to install packages once — unless a package has been updated or a new version of R is installed. Occasionally it is useful to update the packages that have been installed through the 'Update packages' option under the Packages menu. Because the **openair** package (and R itself) are continually updated, it will be useful to know this document was produced using R version 3.6.1 and **openair** version 2.6-6.

#### 1.1 Access to source code

All R code is accessible. On CRAN, you will see there are various versions of packages: Package source, MacOS X binary and Windows binary. The source code is contained in the Package source, which is a tar.gz (compressed file).

For **openair** all development is carried out using Github for version control. Users can access all code used in openair at https://github.com/davidcarslaw/openair.

### 1.2 Brief introduction to openair functions

This section gives a brief overview of the functions in **openair**. The core functions are summarised in Table 1.3, which shows the input variables required, the main purpose of the function, whether multiple pollutants can be considered and a summary of

the type option. The type option given in Table 1.3 gives the maximum number of conditioning variables allowed in each function — more on this later.

Having read some data into a data frame it is then straightforward to run any function. Almost all functions are run as:

```
functionName(thedata, options, ...)
```

The usage is best illustrated through a specific example, in this case the **polarPlot** function. The details of the function are shown in Section 8 and through the help pages (type ?polarPlot). As it can be seen there are a large number of options associated with **polarPlot** — and most other functions and each of these has a default. For example, the default pollutant considered in **polarPlot** is 'nox'. If the user has a data frame called **theData** then **polarPlot** could minimally be called by:

```
polarPlot(theData)
```

which would plot a 'nox' polar plot if 'nox' was available in the data frame theData. Note that the options do not need to be specified in order nor is it always necessary to write the whole word. For example, it is possible to write:

polarPlot(theData, type = "year", poll = "so2")

In this case writing **poll** is sufficient enough to uniquely identify that the option is **pollutant**.

Also there are many common options available in functions that are not explicitly documented, but are part of lattice graphics. Some of the common ones are summarised in Table 1.1. The layout option allows the user to control the layout of multi-panel plots e.g. layout = c(4, 1) would ensure a four-panel plot is 4 columns by 1 row.

TABLE 1.1 Common options used in **openair** plots that can be set by the user but are generally not explicitly documented.

option	description
xlab	x-axis label
ylab	y-axis label
main	title of the plot
pch	plotting symbol used for points
cex	size of symbol plotted
lty	line type used
lwd	line width used
layout	the plot layout e.g. $c(2, 2)$

# **Controlling font size**

All **openair** plot functions have an option **fontsize**. Users can easily vary the size of the font for each plot e.g.

```
polarPlot(mydata, fontsize = 20)
```

The font size will be reset to the default sizes once the plot is complete. Finer control of individual font sizes is currently not easily possible.

#### The openair 'type' option

One of the central themes in **openair** is the idea of *conditioning* plots. Rather than plot x against y, considerably more information can usually be gained by considering a third variable, z. In this case, x is plotted against y for many different intervals of z. This idea can be further extended. For example, a trend of  $NO_x$  against time can be *conditioned* in many ways:  $NO_x$  vs. time split by wind sector, day of the week, wind speed, temperature, hour of the day...and so on. This type of analysis is rarely carried out when analysing air pollution data, in part because it is time consuming to do. However, thanks to the capabilities of R and packages such as lattice and ggplot2, it becomes easier to work in this way.

In most **openair** functions conditioning is controlled using the **type** option. **type** can be any other variable available in a data frame (numeric, character or factor). A simple example of **type** would be a variable representing a 'before' and 'after' situation, say a variable called **period** i.e. the option **type** = "**period**" is supplied. In this case a plot or analysis would be separately shown for 'before' and 'after'. When **type** is a numeric variable then the data will be split into four *quantiles* and labelled accordingly. Note however the user can set the quantile intervals to other values using the option **n.levels**. For example, the user could choose to plot a variable by different levels of temperature. If **n.levels** = 3 then the data could be split by 'low', 'medium' and 'high' temperatures, and so on. Some variables are treated in a special way. For example if **type** = "wd" then the data are split into 8 wind sectors (N, NE, E, ...) and plots are organised by points of the compass.

There are a series of pre-defined values that type can take related to the temporal components of the data. To use these there *must* be a date field so that the can be calculated. These pre-defined values of type are shown in Table 1.2 are both useful and convenient. Given a data frame containing several years of data it is easy to analyse the data e.g. plot it, by year by supplying the option type = "year". Other useful and straightforward values are "hour" and "month". When type = "season" openair will split the data by the four seasons (winter = Dec/Jan/Feb etc.). Note for southern hemisphere users that the option hemisphere = "southern" can be given. When type = "daylight" is used the data are split between nighttime and daylight hours. In this case the user can also supply the options latitude and longitude for their location (the default is London).

splits data by				
year				
hour of the day (0 to 23)				
Month of the year				
spring, summer, autumn, winter				
Monday, Tuesday,				
Saturday, Sunday, weekday				
every month-year combination				
separately considers daylight saving time periods				
nighttime and daylight				

 TABLE 1.2 Pre-defined time-based values for the openair type option.

Table 1.3 summarises the functions that accept the option 'type' and the number of types that can be set. Numerous examples of conditioning are given throughout this document.

TABLE 1.3 Summary of main openair analysis functions	. Click on function name to be taken to
the section on that function.	

Function	Mandatory variables	Purpose	Multiple pollutants	type option
calcFno2	see §(23) for details	estimate primary NO <sub>2</sub> emis- sions ratio from monitoring	no	no
calendarPlot	date, one numeric field	Calendar-type view of mean	no	no
conditionalEval	observed and modelled val-	extensions to	no	yes [1]
conditionalQuantile	observed and modelled val-	quantile comparisons for	no	yes [2]
kernelExceed	date, ws, wd, one other nu-	bivariate kernel density esti-	no	Yes [1]
linearRelation	date, two numeric fields	explore linear relationships	no	limited
TheilSen	date, one numeric field	Calculate Theil-Sen slope esti-	no	Yes [2]
modStats	observed and modelled val-	calculate a range of model	no	yes [≥1]
percentileRose	wd, one other numeric field	percentiles by wind direction	no	Yes [2]
polarAnnulus	date, ws, wd, one other nu-	polar annulus plot for tempo-	yes	Yes [2]
	meric field	ral variations by wind direc- tion		
polarCluster	ws, wd, one other numeric field	cluster analysis of bi-variate polar plots for feature extrac- tion	No	No
polarFreq	ws, wd	alternative to wind rose/pollu- tion rose	no	Yes [2]
polarPlot	ws, wd, one other numeric field	bi-variate polar plot	yes	Yes [2]
pollutionRose	ws, wd, one other numeric field	pollution rose	no	Yes [2]
scatterPlot	x and y values to plot	traditional scatter plots with enhanced options	no	Yes [2]
smoothTrend	date, one numeric field	smooth trend estimates	yes	Yes [2]
summaryPlot	date, one numeric field	summary view of a data frame	yes	no
TaylorDiagram	two numeric fields	model evaluation plot	no	Yes [2]
timePlot	date, one numeric field	Time-series plotting	yes	Yes [1]
timeProp	date, one numeric, one cate- gory field	Time-series plotting with cat- egories as stacked bar chart	yes	Yes [1]
timeVariation	date, one numeric field	diurnal, day of week and monthly variations	yes	Yes [1]
trajCluster	data from importTraj	HYSPLIT back trajectory clus- ter analysis	no	Yes [2]
trajPlot	data from importTraj	HYSPLIT back trajectory plots — points of lines	no	Yes [2]
trajLevel	data from importTraj	HYSPLIT back trajectory plots	no	Yes [2]
trendLevel	date, one other numeric field	flexible level plots or 'heat	no	Yes [2]
windRose	date, ws, wd	traditional wind rose	no	Yes [2]

Function	Mandatory variables	Purpose	Multiple pollutants	type option
calcPercentile	date, one numeric variable	calculate percentiles for nu- meric variables in a data frame	NA	NA
corPlot	a data frame	correlation matrix with con- ditioning	yes	yes [1]
cutData	a data frame	partition data into groups for conditioning plots and analysis	yes	yes [≥1]
importADMS	an ADMS output file e.g. .pst, .met, .mop, .bgd	import outputs from the ADMS suite of dispersion models (McHugh et al. 1997)	NA	NA
importAURN	site code and year	<pre>import hourly data from the UK air qual- ity data archive (http: //www.airquality. co.uk/data_and_ statistics.php)</pre>	NA	NA
importKCL	site code and year	<pre>import hourly data from the London Air data archive (http://www.londonair. org.uk/LondonAir/ Default.aspx)</pre>	NA	NA
importTraj	site code and year	import HYSPLIT back trajec- tory data from KCL servers	NA	NA
quickText	a string	properly format common pollutant names and units	NA	NA
selectByDate	date and one other variable	flexibly select date periods by year, day of week etc.	NA	NA
selectRunning	date and one other variable	select contiguous periods of a certain run-length above a specified threshold	NA	NA
splitByDate	date and one other variable	partition and label a data frame by time periods	NA	NA
timeAverage	date, one numeric variable	calculate statistics over flex- ible time periods account for data capture rates etc.	NA	NA

 TABLE 1.4 Summary of openair utility functions. Click on function name to be taken to the section on that function.

#### 1.3 Input data requirements

The **openair** package applies certain constraints on input data requirements. **It is important to adhere to these requirements to ensure that data are correctly formatted for use in openair.** The principal reason for insisting on specific input data format is that there will be less that can go wrong and it is easier to write code for a more limited set of conditions.

The **openair** package requires as an input a data frame, which generally consists of hourly date/time, pollution and meteorological data. As shown elsewhere in this document, the recommended way of inputting data into R is through reading a .csv file. This in itself avoids potential issues with 'awkward' file formats e.g. with varying header lines. Of course, anyone familiar with R will know how to do this and may choose to import their data from a range of sources such as databases. A few important requirements and advice are given below.

#### Use openair functions to help import data!

There are several functions in  $(\S^2)$  that make the process of importing data into **openair** much simpler. Where possible, these functions should be used. ( $\S^2$ ) also contains some useful functions for manipulating data.

- 1. Data should be in a 'rectangular' format i.e. columns of data with a header on the first line. The file 'example data long.csv' provides a template for the format and users should refer to that file if in doubt. The best approach is to use the import function that is part of openair, described in (§2).
- 2. Where fields should have numeric data e.g. concentrations of  $NO_x$ , then the user should ensure that no other characters are present in the column, accept maybe something that represents missing data e.g. 'no data'. Even here, it is essential to tell the import function how missing data are represented; see (§2).
- 3. The date/time field should be given the heading date note the lower case. No other name is acceptable.
- 4. The wind speed and wind direction should be named ws and wd, respectively (note again, lower case). Most functions have been written assuming reasonable ranges in wind speed in m s<sup>-1</sup>. However, the functions will work if the units were in knots, for example and several functions allow the user to annotate the plots with the correct units. Wind directions follow the UK Met Office format and are represented as degrees from north e.g. 90° is east. North is taken to be 360°.
- 5. Other variables names can be upper/lower case *but should not start with a number*. If column names do have white spaces, R will automatically replace them with a full-stop. While 'PM2.5' as a field name is perfectly acceptable, it is a pain to type it in—better just to use 'pm25' (**openair** will recognise pollutant names like this and automatically format them as PM<sub>2.5</sub> in plots).

Note if users wish to assume non-zero wind speeds to be calm e.g. any wind speed below  $0.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ , then these can be set directly e.g.

```
mydata$ws[mydata$ws < 0.5] <- 0
```

It should be mentioned again that any reasonably large amount of data should be kept in a database and not Excel sheets and the like.

#### 1.3.1 Dealing with more than one site

In many situations users will have more than one site available and most **openair** functions can deal with this situation. However, it does require that the data are in a certain format. If the data are available via the AURN archive or via the KCL LAQN then it is possible to use the **importAURN** or **importKCL** functions to select multiple sites at once and the data will be correctly formatted for use by the functions.

If it is not possible to import the data in this way, it is necessary to format the data in such a way that can be used. The format is very similar to that described above for several pollutants at a single site. With more than one site it is necessary to have another column (with name site) with the site name in. Data are therefore 'stacked'.

Sometimes data will not be in this format and site data will be in separate columns. (§24.7.2) shows the approach that can be used to format such data.

#### 1.4 Using colours

Type colors() or colours() into R to see full list of named colours

Many of the functions described require that colour scales are used; particularly for plots showing surfaces. It is only necessary to consider using other colours if the user does not wish to use the default scheme, shown at the top of Figure 1.1. The choice of colours does seem to be a vexing issue as well as something that depends on what one is trying to show in the first place. For this reason, the colour schemes used in **openair** are very flexible: if you don't like them, you can change them easily. R itself can handle colours in many sophisticated ways; see for example the RColorBrewer package.

Several pre-defined colour schemes are available to make it easy to plot data. In fact, for most situations the default colour schemes should be adequate. The choice of colours can easily be set; either by using one of the pre-defined schemes or through a user-defined scheme. More details can be found in the **openair** openColours function. Some of the defined colours are shown in Figure 1.1, together with an example of a user defined scale that provides a smooth transition from yellow to blue. The code that produced this plot is shown for Figure 1.1:<sup>1</sup>

The user-defined scheme is very flexible and the following provides examples of its use. In the examples shown next, the **polarPlot** function is used as a demonstration of their use.

```
# use default colours - no need to specify
polarPlot(mydata)
# use pre-defined "jet" colours
polarPlot(mydata, cols = "jet")
# define own colours going from yellow to green
polarPlot(mydata, cols = c("yellow", "green"))
# define own colours going from red to white to blue
polarPlot(mydata, cols = c("red", "white", "blue"))
```

#### 1.5 Automatic text formatting

**openair** will increasingly try to automate the process of annotating plots. It can be time consuming (and tricky) to repetitively type in text to represent  $\mu g m^{-3}$  or PM<sub>10</sub> ( $\mu g m^{-3}$ ) etc. in R. For this reason, an attempt is made to automatically detect strings such as 'nox' or 'NOx' and format them correctly. Where a user needs a y-axis label such as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This is given for interest, the user does not need to know this to use the colours.

#### 1 Introduction

```
library(openair)
## small function for plotting
printCols <- function(col, y) {</pre>
    rect((0:200) / 200, y, (1:201) / 200, y + 0.1, col = openColours(col, n = 201),
         border = NA)
    text(0.5, y + 0.15, deparse(substitute(col)))
}
## plot an empty plot
plot(1, xlim = c(0, 1), ylim = c(0, 1.6), type = "n", xlab = "", ylab = "",
     axes = FALSE)
printCols("default", 0)
printCols("increment", 0.2)
printCols("heat", 0.4)
printCols("jet", 0.6)
printCols("hue", 0.8)
printCols("brewer1", 1.0)
printCols("greyscale", 1.2)
printCols(c("tomato", "white", "forestgreen" ), 1.4)
```



FIGURE 1.1 Pre-defined colour scales in openair. The top colour scheme is a user-defined one.

 $NO_x$  (µg m<sup>-3</sup>) it will only be necessary to type ylab = "nox (ug/m3)". The same is also true for plot titles.

Over time we will add to the number of text strings that could be automatically formatted. It is suggested that users get in touch if they have a specific request that is not yet covered. Most functions have an option called auto.text that is set to TRUE by default. Users can override this option by setting it to FALSE.

#### 1.6 Multiple plots on a page

We often get asked how to combine multiple plots on one page. Recent changes to **openair** makes this a bit easier. Note that because **openair** uses **lattice** graphics the base graphics **par** settings will not work.

It is possible to arrange plots based on a column × row layout. Let's put two plots

side by side (2 columns, 1 row). First it is necessary to assign the plots to a variable:

```
a <- windRose(mydata)
b <- polarPlot(mydata)</pre>
```

Now we can plot them using the split option:

```
print(a, split = c(1, 1, 2, 1))
print(b, split = c(2, 1, 2, 1), newpage = FALSE)
```

In the code above for the 'split' option, the last two numbers give the overall layout (2, 1) - 2 columns, 1 row. The first two numbers give the column/row index for that particular plot. The last two numbers remain constant across the series of plots being plotted.

There is one difficulty with plots that already contain sub-plots such as timeVariation where it is necessary to identify the particular plot of interest (see the timeVariation help for details). However, say we want a polar plot (b above) and a diurnal plot:

```
c <- timeVariation(mydata)
print(b, split = c(1, 1, 2, 1))
print(c, split = c(2, 1, 2, 1), subset = "hour", newpage = FALSE)</pre>
```

For more control it is possible to use the **position** argument. **position** is a vector of 4 numbers, c(xmin, ymin, xmax, ymax) that give the lower-left and upper-right corners of a rectangle in which the plot is to be positioned. The coordinate system for this rectangle is [0–1] in both the x and y directions.

As an example, consider plotting the first plot in the lower left quadrant and the second plot in the upper right quadrant:

print(a, position = c(0, 0, 0.5, 0.5), more = TRUE)
print(b, position = c(0.5, 0.5, 1, 1))

The position argument gives more fine control over the plot location.

#### 1.7 Annotating openair plots

A frequently asked question about **openair** and requested feature is how to annotate plots. While all **openair** functions could have options to allow annotations to be made, this would make the functions cumbersome and reduce flexibility. Nevertheless it is useful to be able to annotate plots in lots of different ways. Fortunately there are existing functions in packages such as lattice and latticeExtra that allow for plots to be updated. An example of the sorts of annotation that are possible is shown in Figure 1.2, which is an enhanced version of Figure 10.1. These annotations have been subsequently added to Figure 10.1 and built up in layers. This section considers how to annotate **openair** plots more generally and uses Figure 1.2 as an example of the types of annotation possible. Also considered specifically is the annotation of plots that are in polar coordinates, as these can sometimes benefit from different types of annotation.

There are several different types of objects that can be useful to add to plots including text, shapes, lines and other shading. Given that many **openair** plots can consist of multiple panels, it is also useful to think about how to annotate specific panels. The examples given in this section will apply to all **openair** plot, the only difference being the coordinate system used in each case.

The basis of **openair** annotations is through the use of the **latticeExtra** package, which should already be installed as part of **openair**. In that package there is a function

1 Introduction



FIGURE 1.2 Examples of different ways of annotating a plot in openair.

called layer that effectively allows annotations to be built up 'layer by layer'.

#### Adding text

To add text (or other annotations) it is necessary to know the coordinates on a plot for where the text will go, which will depend on the data plotted. In this extended example using the timePlot function, the y-axis will be in ordinary numerical units, whereas the x-axis will be in a date-time format (POSIXct).

There are various ways that annotations can be added, but the method used here is to add to the previous plot using a function called trellis.last.object() to which we want to add a later. This may seem complicated, but once a few examples are considered, the method becomes very powerful, flexible and straightforward. In a multi-panel plot such as Figure 1.2 it is also useful to specify which rows/columns should be added to. If they are not specified then the annotation will appear in all panels.

First, a plot should be produced to which we wish to add some text.

So, considering Figure 1.2, this is how the text 'some missing data' was added to the top panel.

So what does this do? First, the trellis.last.object() is simply the last plot that was plotted. Next the layer function is used to add some text. The text itself is added using the ltext (lattice) function. It is worth having a look at the help for ltext as that gives an overview of all the common annotations and other options. We have chosen to plot the text at position x = '2003-08-04' and y = 200 and the label itself. A useful option to ltext is pos. Values can be 1, 2, 3 and 4, and indicate positions below (the default), to the left of, above and to the right of the specified coordinates

#### Adding text and a shaded area

This time we will highlight an interval in row 2 ( $O_3$ ) and write some text on top. Note that this time we use the **lpolygon** function and choose to put it under everything else on the plot. For the text, we have chosen a colour (yellow) font type 2 (bold) and made it a bit bigger (cex = 1.5). Note also the 'y' values extend beyond the actual limits shown on the plot — just to make sure they cover the whole region.

The polygon could of course be horizontal and more than one producing a series of 'band' e.g. air quality indexes. A more sophisticated approach is shown later for  $PM_{2.5}$ .

The small shaded, semi-transparent area shown in the bottom panel was added as follows:

#### Adding an arrow

The arrow shown on the first panel of Figure 1.2 was added as follows. Note the code = 3 placed arrows at both ends. Note that angle is the angle from the shaft of the arrow to the edge of the arrow head.

#### Adding a reference line and text

This code adds a vertical dashed reference line shown in the 4th panel ( $PM_{10}$ ) along with some text aligned at 90 degrees using the srt option of ltext.

#### Highlight a specific point

Up until now annotations have been added using arbitrary coordinates in each panel. What if we wanted to highlight a particular point, or more generally work with the actual data that are plotted. Knowing how to refer to existing data greatly extends the power of these functions.

It is possible to refer to a specific point in a panel simply by indexing the point of interest i.e. x, y. For example, to mark the 200th  $PM_{10}$  concentration (without knowing the actual date or value):

```
## add a specfic point
trellis.last.object() +
    layer(lpoints(x[200], y[200], pch = 16, cex = 1.5),
        rows = 4)
```

What if we wanted to highlight the maximum  $O_3$  concentration? It is possible to work out the index first and then use that to refer to that point. Note the ';' to allow for the code to span multiple commands.

```
## add a point to the max 03 concentration
trellis.last.object() +
    layer({maxy <- which.max(y);
        lpoints(x[maxy], y[maxy], col = "black", pch = 16)},
        rows = 2)
## label max ozone
trellis.last.object() +
    layer({maxy <- which.max(y);
        ltext(x[maxy], y[maxy], paste(y[maxy], "ppb"),
            pos = 4)}, rows = 2)</pre>
```

#### Add a filled polygon

It can be seen in the top panel of Figure 1.2 that some of the data are highlighted by filling the area below the line. This approach can be useful more generally in plotting. While it is possible to draw polygons easily and refer to the data itself, there needs to be a way for dealing with gaps in data, otherwise these gaps could be filled in perhaps unpredictable ways. A function has been written to draw a polygon taking into account gaps (poly.na).

This time we work out the ids of the data spanning an area of interest. Then the poly.na function is used. Note that the alpha transparency is by default 0.2 but another value can easily be supplied, as shown in the air quality 'bands' example.

#### Add air quality bands as polygons

It is a simple extension to go from using a polygon below the data to polygons at certain intervals e.g. air quality indexes. These are shown for  $PM_{2.5}$  and the bands considered are 0-20, 20-30, 30-40 and >40.

#### **Polar plot examples**

Many of the examples considered above are relevant to all other functions e.g. how to add text, choosing rows and columns to plot in. Polar coordinate plots are different because of the coordinate system used and this section considers a few examples.

One useful approach is to be able to draw an arc, perhaps highlighting an area of interest. A simple, but flexible function has been written to do this. It takes arguments theta1 and theta2 that define the angular area of interest and lower and upper to set the lower and upper wind speed, respectively. It also has additional arguments theta3 and theta4 which optionally set the angles for the 'upper' wind speed.

## Error: Attempted to create layer with no stat. ## Error: Attempted to create layer with no stat. ## Error: Attempted to create layer with no stat. ## Error: Attempted to create layer with no stat.





```
arc <- function(theta1 = 30, theta2 = 60, theta3 = theta1, theta4 = theta2,
                 lower = 1, upper = 10 {
  ## function to work out coordinates for an arc sector
  if (theta2 < theta1) {</pre>
    ang1 <- seq(theta1, 360, length = abs(theta2 - theta1))</pre>
    ang2 <- seq(0, theta2, length = abs(theta2 - theta1))</pre>
    angles.low <- c(ang1, ang2)</pre>
    ## for upper angles
    ang1 <- seq(theta1, 360, length = abs(theta4 - theta3))</pre>
    ang2 <- seq(0, theta2, length = abs(theta4 - theta3))</pre>
    angles.high <- c(ang1, ang2)</pre>
  } else {
    angles.low <- seq(theta1, theta2, length = abs(theta2 - theta1))</pre>
    angles.high <- seq(theta3, theta4, length = abs(theta4 - theta3))</pre>
  }
  x1 <- lower * sin(pi * angles.low / 180)</pre>
  y1 <- lower * cos(pi * angles.low / 180)
  x2 <- rev(upper * sin(pi * angles.high / 180))</pre>
  y2 <- rev(upper * cos(pi * angles.high / 180))</pre>
  data.frame(x = c(x1, x2), y = c(y1, y2))
}
```

Following on from the previous examples, some annotations have been added to the basic polar plor for  $SO_2$  as shown in Figure 1.3. Note that in these plots (0, 0) is the middle of the plot and the radial distance will be determined by the wind speed — or whatever the radial variable is. This way of plotting arcs can also be applied to other functions that show directional data.

#### Using grid graphics - identify locations interactively

The examples above provide a precise way of annotating plots for single or multipanels **openair** displays. However, these methods won't work for plots that consist of completely separate plots such as the four plots in **timeVariation**. There are however other methods that can be used to annotate such plots using the package **grid**, which forms the basis of **lattice** graphics. There is enormous capability for annotating plots using the **grid** package and only a few simple examples are given here.

Given a plot such as Figure 15.1, how could texts be added at any location — say in the middle monthly plot? One very useful function for this type of annotation that allows the user to interactively choose a location is the grid.locator() function in the grid package. That function can be called with different coordinate systems — but the one we want defines the bottom-left corner as (0, 0) and the top right as (1, 1).

First of all, make a timeVariation plot like Figure 15.1.

```
timeVariation(mydata)
```

Now let's choose a location on the plot interactively using the mouse and selecting somewhere in the middle of the monthly plot.

```
library(grid)
## bring up the interactive location chooser
grid.locator(unit="npc")
```

What should happen is that in the R console the coordinates are given for that point. In my case these were x = 0.503 and y = 0.338. These coordinates can now be used as the basis of adding some text or other annotation. In the example below, the grid.text function is used to add some text for these coordinates making the font bigger (cex = 2), bold (font = 2) and blue (col = "blue").

grid.text(x = 0.503, y = 0.338, label = "here!", gp = gpar(cex = 2, font = 2, col = "blue"))

Even with this basic approach, some sophisticated annotation is possible with *any* **openair** plot. There are many other functions that can be used from the grid package that would allow for polygons, segments and other features to be drawn is a similar way to the examples earlier in this section. Continuing with the same example, here is how to add an arrow pointing to the maximum  $NO_x$  concentration shown on the top plot for Saturday (again using the grid.locator function).

#### 1.8 Getting help

The principal place for seeking help with **openair** functions is through the software itself. The document you are reading will increasingly give the background to the ideas and wider information. Also, the package itself will always contain the most up to date help. Furthermore, the process of building and checking packages is strict. For example, it is checked to see if all the options in a function match with descriptions in the help files, and all examples given in the help (and there are many) are run to ensure they all work. Nevertheless, the options shown for each function in this document are parsed directly from the **openair** package ensuring consistency between this document and the package help. To bring up the general help page (assuming you have loaded **openair**), type **?openair**, which will bring up the main **openair** page, from which there are links to all functions. Similarly, if you want help with a specific function more directly, type something like **?polarPlot**.

The help screen will provide the most up to date information on the function including: a short description, a description of all the options, a more detailed description and links to other similar functions. Importantly, each function help will have several examples given of its use, which are easily reproducible; just copy them into R. These examples use the data set 'example data long.csv' mentioned previously.

If you are typing directly into R you do not always need to type the whole word of a function or option. Taking the calendarPlot function as an example, type 'calen' then press TAB and it will complete the whole string 'calendarPlot'. Similarly, when typing the function options such as 'pollutant', just type the first few lines 'poll', press TAB and it will complete as 'pollutant='. This makes R much quicker to work with. It takes a bit of experimentation to get a feel for how many letters are required before a unique function name or option can be completed.

# 2 Getting data into openair

Importing data is usually the first step involved in data analysis using **openair**. As has been stressed before, the key issue is ensuring the data are in a simple format avoiding any unnecessary formatting. For this reason data are best stored either in a database or a .csv file. R itself has lots of capabilities for importing data and these will be useful in many situations e.g. **read.table** and **read.csv**. However, **openair** has several dedicated functions to make data import easier for users, as well as some more specific functions for particular data types. These are described below.

### 2.1 Issues related to time zones

Time zones can very difficult to work with in R and other software. Issues related to Daylight Savings Time (DST) can be particularly challenging (see http://en.wikipedia. org/wiki/List\_of\_zoneinfo\_time\_zones for information in World time zones). In summary. **openair** assumes times that do not include DST. To do this assumptions are made about times when data are imported to R using the **openair** import function and users should take care if importing data in other ways.

#### Ensuring certainty in time zones used

It is recommended that when importing data into **openair** using either the **import** function or by other means that the time zone of the original data are set to GMT or a

fixed offset from GMT as outlined in this section. This way of importing data and setting the time zone should avoid any complexities related to Daylight Savings Time.

If the **openair** import function is used then the user can set the time zone for the original data. By default 'GMT/UTC' is assumed. For non-GMT time zones a GMT offset can be assumed. The option tzone (see below) can be used to control the time zone. For example, to set the time zone of the data to the time zone in New York (EST, 5 hours behind GMT) set tzone = "Etc/GMT+5". To set the time zone of the data to Central European Time (CET, 1 hour ahead of GMT) set tzone = "Etc/GMT-1". Note that the positive and negative offsets are opposite to what most users expect.

When **openair** started the **lubridate** package did not exist. **lubridate** makes formatting dates and times much easier and avoids much of the complexity that users often face with these issues. While there are many useful functions in **lubridate**, the convenience functions such as dmy, ymd, dmy\_hm etc. are very useful and make it much easier to format dates and times. Note that these functions will by default return data in the UTC time zone in POSIXct format, which is required in **openair** and other R uses. It is worth taking a look at the vignette for **lubridate** for an overview of capabilities. However, a few examples of formatting dates are shown below:

```
library(lubridate)
dmy("1/5/2015")
## [1] "2015-05-01"
dmy("1-5-2015")
## [1] "2015-05-01"
dmy_hm("1/5/2015 12:00")
## [1] "2015-05-01 12:00:00 UTC"
mdy_hms("1/5/2015 12:00:00")
## [1] "2015-01-05 12:00:00 UTC"
```

Similarly the time zone should be set in the same way if the data are imported manually. For example:

For most functions these issues will not matter. However, if users are including data from other sources or are working with data that are GMT/UTC (Hysplit back trajectories for example), then it will be important to understand how to deal with these issues.

It may also be easier to set your R session to a non-DST time zone in a similar way to make sure everything is displayed in a consistent format e.g.

Sys.setenv(TZ = "Etc/GMT-1")

#### 2.2 The import function

A flexible function import has been written to import .csv or .txt file data and format the date/time correctly. The main purpose of this function is to help format dates etc. for use in **openair** and R. This is the principal means by which most users should import data unless the data are from UK networks. It is simple to use with its default assumptions e.g. header on the first line and a column 'date' in the format dd/mm/yyyy HH:MM:

```
mydata <- import()</pre>
```

Typing this into R will bring up an 'open file' dialog box, from which you can choose a .csv file. Try importing the 'example data long.csv' file in this was to see how it works. Used without any options like this, it assumes that the date field is in the format dd/mm/yyyy HH:MM and is called 'date'. By default the time zone is set to be GMT. However, users can apply a GMT offset as described in Section 2.1.

Often it is better to supply the file path because this makes the analysis more reproducible e.g.

```
mydata <- import("d:/temp/my interesting data.csv")</pre>
```

The import function is actually very flexible and can take account of different date formats, header lines etc. See the options below. For most users, few if any of these options will need to be used, but for 'difficult' data, the flexibility should be helpful. One option that is often useful is to tell R how missing data are represented. If the fields are left blank, they will automatically be set to NA. However, it may be that the file identifies missing data by 'NoData', or '-999'. In this case, import should be called like:

```
mydata <- import(na.strings = "NoData")
Or
mydata <- import(na.strings = "-999")</pre>
```

In the case of missing data being represented by several strings e.g. '-99.0' and '-999', it should be called like

```
mydata <- import(na.strings = c("-99.0", "-999"))</pre>
```

It is essential to supply the import function with details of how missing data are represented if they are not represented by either a blank cell or NA. This is because if text is present in a column that should be numeric, then R will consider that the column is a character and not numeric. When using the import function, details of the format of each field are printed in R. The user can check that fields that should be numeric appear as either 'numeric' or 'integer' and not 'character' or 'factor'.

Another example is a file that has separate date and time fields e.g. a column called 'mydate' and a separate column called 'mytime'. Further, assume that date is in the format dd.mm.YYYY e.g. 25.12.2010, and time is in the format HH:MM. Then the file could be imported as:

```
import("c:/temp/test.csv", date = "mydate", date.format = "%/d.%m.%Y",
    time = "mytime", time.format = "%H:%M")
```

What if the date was in the format mm.dd.YYYY?:

...and the time was just the hour as an integer (0-23):

Another common situation is that hour is represented as 1–24 in a date-time field. In this case it is necessary to correct for this. R stores **POSIXct** format as seconds, so 3600 need to be subtracted to ensure the time is correct. Note that if there is a separate column for hour then **import** will correct that automatically. So, for the date-time situation:

Note if time was expressed as HH:MM:ss, then the option time.format = "%H:%M:%S" should be used.

There are other options for ignoring the first n lines i.e. due to header information and so on. The user can specify the header line row (header.at) and the row the data starts at (data.at).

Note also that import assumes there are no daylight saving time (DST) issues in the original data i.e. a missing hour in spring and a duplicate hour in autumn. Dealing with these issues in R rapidly gets too complicated ... users should therefore ensure the original data do not consider DST.

The options for the **import** function are:

file	The name of the file to be imported. Default, file = file.choose(), opens browser. Alternatively, the use of read.table (in utils) also allows this to be a character vector of a file path, connection or url.			
file.type	The file format, defaults to common 'csv' (comma delimited) format, but also allows 'txt' (tab delimited).			
sep	Allows user to specify a delimiter if not ',' (csv) or TAB (txt). For example ';' is sometimes used to delineate separate columns.			
header.at	The file row holding header information or NULL if no header to be used.			
data.at	The file row to start reading data from. When generating the data frame, the function will ignore all information before this row, and attempt to include all data from this row onwards.			
date	Name of the field containing the date. This can be a date e.g. 10/12/2012 or a date-time format e.g. 10/12/2012 01:00.			
date.forma	t The format of the date. This is given in 'R' format according to strptime. For example, a date format such as 1/11/2000 12:00 (day/month/year hour:minutes) is given the format "%d/%m/%Y %H:%M". See examples below and strptime for more details.			
time	The name of the column containing a time — if there is one. This is used when a time is given in a separate column and date contains no information about time.			

- time.format If there is a column for time then the time format must be supplied. Common examples include "%H:%M" (like 07:00) or an integer giving the hour, in which case the format is "%H". Again, see examples below.
- tzoneThe time zone for the data. In order to avoid the complexities of DST<br/>(daylight savings time), openair assumes the data are in GMT (UTC) or a<br/>constant offset from GMT. Users can set a positive or negative offset in<br/>hours from GMT. For example, to set the time zone of the data to the time<br/>zone in New York (EST, 5 hours behind GMT) set tzone = "Etc/GMT+5".<br/>To set the time zone of the data to Central European Time (CET, 1 hour<br/>ahead of GMT) set tzone = "Etc/GMT-1". Note that the positive and<br/>negative offsets are opposite to what most users expect.
- na.strings Strings of any terms that are to be interpreted as missing (NA). For example, this might be "-999", or "n/a" and can be of several items.
- **quote** String of characters (or character equivalents) the imported file may use to represent a character field.
- ws Name of wind speed field if present if different from "ws" e.g. ws =
  "WSPD".
- wd Name of wind direction field if present if different from "wd" e.g. wd = "WDIR".
- correct.time Numerical correction (in seconds) for imported date. Default NULL
  turns this option off. This can be useful if the hour is represented as 1 to
  24 (rather than 0 to 23 assumed by R). In which case correct.time =
  -3600 will correct the hour.
- ... Other arguments passed to read.table.

#### 2.3 Importing UK Air Quality Data

While import is a useful function for ad-hoc data import, much of the data stored in the UK and beyond resides on central repositories that are available over the Internet. The UK AURN archive and King's College London's London Air Quality Network (LAQN) are two important and large databases of information that allow free public access. Storing and managing data in this way has many advantages including consistent data format, and underlying high quality methods to process and store the data.

openair has a family of functions that provide users with extensive access to UK air quality data. Ricardo Energy & Environment have provided .RData files (R workspaces) for several important air quality networks in the UK. These files are updated on a daily basis. This approach requires a link to the Internet to work. The networks include:

**importAURN** For importing data from the UK national network called Automatic Urban and Rural Network. This is the main UK network.

importSAQN For accessing data from Air Quality Scotland network.

**importWAQN** For accessing data from the Air Quality Wales network.

**importAQE** For accessing data from the Air Quality England network of sites.

- importEurope A simplified version of a function to give basic access to hourly European data based on Stuart Grange's saqgetr package — see https://github. com/skgrange/saqgetr. The openair function has a similar approach to other openair import functions i.e. requires a site code(s) and year(s) to be supplied.
- **importKCL** For accessing data from the sites operated by King's College London, primarily including the The London Air Quality Network.

Many users download hourly data from the air quality archive at http://www.airquality. co.uk. Most commonly, the data are emailed to the user as .csv files and have a fixed format as shown below. This is a useful facility but does have some limitations and frustrations, many of which have been overcome using a new way of storing and downloading the data described below.

There are several advantages over the web portal approach where .csv files are downloaded. First, it is quick to select a range of sites, pollutants and periods (see examples below). Second, storing the data as .RData objects is very efficient as they are about four times smaller than .csv files (which are already small) — which means the data downloads quickly and saves bandwidth. Third, the function completely avoids any need for data manipulation or setting time formats, time zones etc. Finally, it is easy to import many years of data. The final point makes it possible to download several long time series in one go.

The site codes and pollutant names can be upper or lower case. The function will issue a warning when data less than six months old is downloaded, which may not be ratified. Type ?importAURN for a full listing of sites and their codes.

The importAURN function for example has the following options.<sup>2</sup>

site	Site code of the AURN site to import e.g. "my1" is Marylebone Road. Several sites can be imported with site = $c("my1", "nott") - to$ import Marylebone Road and Nottingham for example.
year	Year or years to import. To import a sequence of years from 1990 to 2000 use year = 1990:2000. To import several specific years use year = c(1990, 1995, 2000) for example.
pollutant	Pollutants to import. If omitted will import all pollutants ffrom a site. To import only NOx and NO2 for example use pollutant = c("nox", "no2").
hc	A few sites have hydrocarbon measurements available and setting hc = TRUE will ensure hydrocarbon data are imported. The default is however not to as most users will not be interested in using hydrocarbon data and the resulting data frames are considerably larger.
meta	Should meta data be returned? If <b>TRUE</b> the site type, latitude and longitude are returned.
to_narrow	By default the returned data has a column for each pollutant/variable. When to_narrow = TRUE the data are stacked into a narrow format with a column identifying the pollutant name.
verbose	Should the function give messages when downloading files? Default is FALSE.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The other functions have similar options.

#### Some examples of usage are shown below.

By default the function returns data where each pollutant is in a separate column. However, it is possible to return the data in a *tidy* format (column for pollutant name, column for value) by using the option to\_narrow:

my1 <- importAURN("my1", year = 2018, to\_narrow = TRUE)</pre>

#### 2.4 Site Meta Data

Users can access the details of air pollution monitoring sites using the importMeta function. The user only needs to provide the network name and (optionally) whether all data should be returned. By default only site type, latitude and longitude are returned.

The function has the following options.

- source The data source for the meta data. Can be "aurn", "kcl", "saqn" (or "aqd"), "aqe" or "europe"; upper or lower case.
- all When all = FALSE only the site code, site name, latitude and longitude and site type are imported. Setting all = TRUE will import all available meta data and provide details (when available) or the individual pollutants measured at each site.

```
library(tidyverse)
aurn_meta <- importMeta(source = "aurn")</pre>
aurn_meta
## # A tibble: 244 x 4
##
   site
                                  code latitude longitude
##
     <chr>
                                  <chr> <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 London A3 Roadside
                                           51.4 -0.292
                                 A3
## 2 Aberdeen
                                 ABD
                                           57.2 -2.09
## 3 Aberdeen Union Street Roadside ABD7
                                          57.1 -2.11
## 4 Aberdeen Wellington Road ABD8
                                         57.1 -2.09
## 5 Auchencorth Moss
                                 ACTH
                                           55.8 -3.24
## 5 Auchencorth Moss ACIH
## 6 Birmingham Acocks Green AGRN
                                           52.4 -1.83
                                 AH
## 7 Aston Hill
                                           52.5 -3.03
                                 ARM6
## 8 Armagh Roadside
                                         54.4 -6.65
## 9 Ballymena Antrim Road
                               BAAR 54.9
RAI M 54.9
                                                  -6.27
## 10 Ballymena Ballykeel
                                                  -6.25
## # ... with 234 more rows
```

#### Or return much more detailed data:

```
aurn_meta <- importMeta(source = "aurn", all = TRUE)
aurn_meta</pre>
```

And to include basic meta data when importing air pollution data:

```
kc1 <- importAURN(site = "kc1", year = 2018, meta = TRUE)</pre>
glimpse(kc1)
## Observations: 8,760
## Variables: 16
## $ date
              <dttm> 2018-01-01 00:00:00, 2018-01-01 01:00:00, 2018-01-01 02:...
             <chr> "KC1", "KC1", "KC1", "KC1", "KC1", "KC1", "KC1", "KC1", "...
## $ code
              <chr> "London N. Kensington", "London N. Kensington", "London N...
## $ site
## $ o3
              <dbl> 70.98040, 67.52118, 69.69982, 70.49810, 71.74542, 70.4981...
## $ no2
              <dbl> 8.11153, 8.54325, 8.99235, 8.93852, 6.94570, 7.26948, 10....
## $ co
              <dbl> 0.114872, 0.111043, 0.112000, 0.100512, 0.091897, 0.10051...
## $ so2
              <dbl> NA, 2.40953, 2.49812, 2.12606, 2.39181, 2.28551, 2.23236,...
## $ pm10
               <dbl> 12.425, 7.375, 5.625, 3.200, 3.875, 5.050, 9.400, 12.400,...
## $ nox
               <dbl> 8.32519, 8.89934, 9.41967, 9.36584, 7.21277, 7.64339, 10....
## $ no
               <dbl> 0.13935, 0.23224, 0.27869, 0.27869, 0.17418, 0.24386, 0.1...
## $ pm2.5
               <dbl> 8.892, 4.363, 3.137, 1.792, 2.146, 2.618, 4.575, 6.109, 7...
## $ ws
               <dbl> 5.5, 5.0, 4.8, 4.8, 5.3, 5.3, 4.4, 3.0, 2.6, 1.6, 1.6, 1....
               <dbl> 263.3, 256.4, 251.0, 246.8, 248.4, 248.0, 245.8, 239.5, 2...
## $ wd
## $ latitude <dbl> 51.52105, 51.52105, 51.52105, 51.52105, 51.52105, 51.52105, 51.5210...
## $ longitude <dbl> -0.213492, -0.213492, -0.213492, -0.213492, -0.213492, -0...
## $ site.type <chr> "Urban Background", "Urban Background", "Urban Background...
```

#### 2.5 Importing data from the CERC ADMS modelling systems

The ADMS suite of models is widely used in the UK and beyond. These models are used for a wide range of purposes and one of the benefits of **openair** is that many of the functions are potentially useful for model evaluation. One of the principal benefits of linking **openair** with the ADMS models is the access to meteorological data that is possible. In the UK, the Met Office provides meteorological data in a specific format for use in ADMS models.<sup>3</sup> It is useful to be able to easily import the meteorological data into **openair** because analyses are often limited by the availability of representative meteorological data. However, the use of directly measured input data is only one possibility. When ADMS models run they use a sophisticated meteorological preprocessor to calculate other quantities that are not directly measured, but are important to dispersion modelling. Examples of these other variables are boundary layer height and surface sensible heat flux. These and many other quantities are calculated by the met pre-processor and output to a .MOP file. Access to these other quantities greatly increases the potential for model evaluation and in general provides a much richer source of information for analysis.

Many users may have meteorological data in the ADMS format. This is the format provided by the UK Met Office for the ADMS model. A an example of the format is shown in Figure 2.1, which is a simple text file. The importADMSMet function imports such data into R in a format suitable for openair.

This can be done, for example by:

met <- importADMS("d:/temp/heathrow01.met")</pre>

If no file name is supplied, the user will be prompted for one.

Sometimes it may be necessary to import several years. Here's one approach for doing so assuming the files are in a folder d:/metdata and all have a file extension.met:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Specifically hourly sequential data and not statistical summaries of data.

FIGURE 2.1 Typical format of an hourly ADMS met file.

all.met will then contain met data for all years in one data frame.

#### 2.5.1 An example considering atmospheric stability

One of the significant benefits of working with ADMS output files is having access to the outputs from the meteorological pre-processor. ADMS uses readily available meteorological variables such as wind speed, temperature and cloud cover and calculates parameters that are used in the dispersion algorithms. When ADMS is run it produces a .MOP file with all these inputs and processed quantities in. Access to parameters such as boundary layer height, Monin-Obukov length and so on can *greatly* increase the opportunities for insightful data analysis using existing **openair** functions. This is almost certainly an area we will cover in more depth later; but for now, here are a few examples.

We are going to use a .MOP file from 2001 following some dispersion modelling of stacks in London. The interest here is to use the results from the met pre-processor to better understand sources in the east of London at the Thurrock background site. First, we can import the Thurrock data (type ?importKCL for site code listing) using the importKCL function:

```
tk1 <- importKCL(site = "tk1", year = 2001)</pre>
```

```
## show first few lines of tk1
head(tk1)
```

## date nox no2 o3 so2 co pm10\_raw pm10 ## 41862 2001-01-01 00:00:00 NA NA NA NA NA S.2 5.2 ## 41863 2001-01-01 01:00:00 7.68 5.76 50 46.40133 0.232 11.7 11.7 ## 41864 2001-01-01 02:00:00 5.76 3.84 52 57.55550 0.232 7.8 7.8 5.2 5.2 ## 41865 2001-01-01 03:00:00 5.76 3.84 56 14.72350 0.232 ## 41866 2001-01-01 04:00:00 1.92 1.92 54 10.70800 0.232 10.4 10.4 ## 41867 2001-01-01 05:00:00 3.84 1.92 54 10.70800 0.232 14.3 14.3 ## site code ## 41862 Thurrock - London Road (Grays) TK1 ## 41863 Thurrock - London Road (Grays) TK1 ## 41864 Thurrock - London Road (Grays) TK1 ## 41865 Thurrock - London Road (Grays) TK1 ## 41866 Thurrock - London Road (Grays) TK1 ## 41867 Thurrock - London Road (Grays) TK1

Next we will import the .MOP file. The function automatically lists all the variables imported:

met <- importADMS("~/My Drive/openair/Data/met01.MOP")</pre>

##	date1	date2	line	run
##	"POSIXct"	"POSIXt"	"integer"	"factor"
##	fr	WS	ws.gstar	wd
##	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"
##	delta.wd	ftheta0	k	recip.lmo
##	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"
##	h	nu	delta.theta	temp
##	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"
##	p	cl	albedo.met	albedo.disp
##	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"
##	alpha.met	alpha.disp	tsea	delta.t
##	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"
##	sigma.theta	rhu	qØ	lambdae
##	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"
##	rhu.1	drhdzu	process.ws.star	process.ws.g
##	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"
##	process.ws.gstar	process.wd.0	process.wd.g	process.delta.wd
##	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"
##	process.wd.sec	process.wstar	process.ftheta0	process.k
##	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"
##	process.recip.lmo	process.h	process.nu	process.delta.theta
##	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"
##	process.temp	process.p	process.delta.t	process.sigma.theta
##	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"
##	process.q0	process.lambdae	process.rhu	process.drhdzu
##	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"	"numeric"
##	process.z0.met	process.z0.disp		
##	"numeric"	"numeric"		

Now we need to merge these two files using 'date' as the common field using the merge function, which is part of the base R system:

tk1 <- merge(tk1, met, by = "date")</pre>

Now we have a data frame with all the pollution measurements and meteorological variables matched up. A nice first example is to make use of variables that are not readily available. In particular, those representing atmospheric stability are very useful. So, let's see what a polar plot looks like split by different levels of the atmospheric





FIGURE 2.2 Use of the import ADMS function to access atmospheric stability parameters for use in a polar plot. In this case  $\frac{1}{L_{MO}}$  is split by three different levels, approximately corresponding to unstable, neutral and stable atmospheric conditions.

stability parameter the reciprocal of the Monin-Obukov length,  $\frac{1}{L_{MO}}$ . This has the name process.recip.lmo. Note we also set the option min.bin = 2, to ensure the output is not overly affected by a single high concentration.

The results are shown in Figure 2.2. So what does this tell us? Well, first  $\frac{1}{L_{MO}}$  has been split into three different levels (broadly speaking the more negative the value of  $\frac{1}{L_{MO}}$  the more unstable the atmosphere is and the more positive  $\frac{1}{L_{MO}}$  is, the more stable the atmosphere is). In Figure 2.2 the plot shows what we might think of unstable, neutral and stable atmospheric conditions.

The first thing to note from Figure 2.2 is that lower wind speeds are associated with stable and unstable atmospheric conditions — shown by the smaller plot areas for these conditions (neutral conditions have a larger 'blob' extending to higher wind speeds). This is entirely expected. Starting with the unstable conditions (top left panel), SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are dominated by easterly and south-easterly winds. These concentrations are likely dominated by tall stack emissions from those wind directions. For stable conditions (plot at the bottom), three sources seem to be important. There is a source to the north-east, the south-east and higher concentrations for very low wind speeds. The latter is likely due to road vehicle emissions of SO<sub>2</sub>. The neutral conditions are perhaps revealing two sources to the south-east. Taken together, plotting the data in this way is beginning to reveal a potentially large number of sources in the area.

Combined with the results from a dispersion model, or knowledge of local stacks, there is a good chance that these sources can be identified.

A polar plot on its own does not reveal such detailed information. Try it:

```
polarPlot(tk1, pollutant = "so2")
```

Of course care does need to be exercised when interpreting these outputs, but the availability of wider range of meteorological data can only improve inference. Here are some other analyses (not plotted, but easily run). For NO<sub>x</sub>:

PM<sub>10</sub>:

How about the ratio of two pollutants, say the ratio of  $SO_2/NO_x$ ? First calculate the ratio:

And don't forget all the other parameters available such as boundary layer height etc. — and all the other functions in **openair** that can be used.

# 3 The summaryPlot function

The summaryPlot function is a way of rapidly summarising important aspects of data. While many statistical summaries are possible to calculate with R, the summaryPlot function has been written specifically for monitoring data. The function provides key graphical and statistical summaries. summaryPlot has the following options:

- mydata A data frame to be summarised. Must contain a date field and at least one other parameter.
- na.len Missing data are only shown with at least na.len contiguous missing vales. The purpose of setting na.len is for clarity: with long time series it is difficult to see where individual missing hours are. Furthermore, setting na.len = 96, for example would show where there are at least 4 days of continuous missing data.
- **clip** When data contain outliers, the histogram or density plot can fail to show the distribution of the main body of data. Setting **clip** = **TRUE**, will remove the top 1 yield what is often a better display of the overall distribution of the data. The amount of clipping can be set with **percentile**.

- type is used to determine whether a histogram (the default) or a density plot is used to show the distribution of the data.
- **pollutant** is used when there is a field **site** and there is more than one site in the data frame.
- **period period** is either **years** (the default) or **months**. Statistics are calculated depending on the **period** chosen.
- avg.time This defines the time period to average the time series plots. Can be "sec", "min", "hour", "day" (the default), "week", "month", "quarter" or "year". For much increased flexibility a number can precede these options followed by a space. For example, a timeAverage of 2 months would be avg.time = "2 month".

print.datacap Should the data capture % be shown for each period?

- breaks Number of histogram bins. Sometime useful but not easy to set a single value for a range of very different variables.
- **col.trend** Colour to be used to show the monthly trend of the data, shown as a shaded region. Type **colors()** into R to see the full range of colour names.
- col.data Colour to be used to show the *presence* of data. Type colors() into R to see the full range of colour names.
- col.mis Colour to be used to show missing data.
- col.hist Colour for the histogram or density plot.
- **cols** Predefined colour scheme, currently only enabled for "greyscale".
- date.breaks Number of major x-axis intervals to use. The function will try and choose a sensible number of dates/times as well as formatting the date/time appropriately to the range being considered. This does not always work as desired automatically. The user can therefore increase or decrease the number of intervals by adjusting the value of date.breaks up or down.
- auto.text Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE titles and axis labels will automatically try and format pollutant names and units properly e.g. by subscripting the '2' in NO2.
- ... Other graphical parameters. Commonly used examples include the axis and title labelling options (such as xlab, ylab and main), which are all passed to the plot via quickText to handle routine formatting. As summaryPlot has two components, the axis labels may be a vector. For example, the default case (type = "histogram") sets y labels equivalent to ylab = c("", "Percent of Total").

It is called in a very simple way:4

An example of using summaryPlot shown in Figure 3.1. For each numerical variable in a data frame, a plot is made, shown in the left panel, showing where data exist (blue) and missing data (red). For clarity, only running sequences of  $\geq$  24 hours of missing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Note that a data frame mydata is automatically loaded when loading the **openair** package. The data set consists of several years of pollutant data from Marylebone Road in London.

function should be used for checking input data before applying other functions

# library(openair) # load openair data(mydata) ## make sure data that comes with openair is loaded summaryPlot(mydata)

##	date1	date2	WS	wd	nox	no2	о3	pm10
##	"POSIXct"	"POSIXt"	"numeric"	"integer"	"integer"	"integer"	"integer"	"integer"
##	so2	со	pm25					
##	"numeric"	"numeric"	"integer"					



FIGURE 3.1 Use of summaryPlot function applied to the mydata data frame. The plots in the left panel show the time series data, where blue shows the presence of data and red missing data. The daily mean values are also shown in pale yellow scaled to cover the range in the data from zero to the maximum daily value. As such, the daily values are indicative of an overall trend rather than conveying quantitative information. For each pollutant, the overall summary statistics are given. For each year the percentage data capture is shown in green font. The panels on the right show the distribution of each species using a histogram plot.

data are shown. It is easy to see therefore that the beginning part of the time series for  $PM_{2.5}$  is missing and the end part of  $SO_2$ . It is also clear that the time series stops half way through 2005. Also shown in each panel are statistical summaries, which include: number of missing points (with percentage shown in parentheses), minimum, maximum, mean, median and the 95th percentile. For each year, the data capture (%) is shown in green font. So, for example, the data capture for  $NO_x$  in 2000 was 96.3 %.

The pale yellow line gives an indication of the variation in values over time expressed as a daily mean. It is in indication because no numerical scale is given. The data are formatted so that o is placed at the lower part of the scale (top of the data indicator strip) and the maximum value at the top of the graph. The intention is to give the user a feel for how the data vary over the length of the time series.

The plots shown in the right panel are histograms. It is also possible to show *density plots*. A density plot is somewhat similar to a histogram but avoids having to arbitrarily select a 'bin' size. The choice of bin size in histograms can often lead to a misleading impression of how data are distributed — simply because of the bin size chosen. The default behaviour of this function 'clips' the data and excludes the highest 1 % of values. This is done to help highlight the shape of the bulk of the data and has the effect of removing the long tail, typical of air pollution concentration distributions.

It is possible, however, not to clip the histogram or density plot data and select various other options:

```
summaryPlot(mydata, clip = FALSE) # do not clip density plot data
summaryPlot(mydata, percentile = 0.95) # exclude highest 5 % of data etc.
# show missing data where there are at least 10 continuous missing values
summaryPlot(mydata, na.len = 10)
summaryPlot(mydata, col.data = "green") # show data in green
summaryPlot(mydata, col.mis = "yellow") # show missing data in yellow
summaryPlot(mydata, col.dens = "black") # show density plot line in black
```

Depending on the data available, there may be too many plots shown on one page, making it difficult to see the detail. Currently, the simplest way to reduce what is shown is to limit the data to be plotted. In the code below, for example, only columns 2 and 5 to 7 are plotted (column 1 in this case is the date and must always be supplied). Alternatively, the subset function could be used:

```
summaryPlot(mydata[, c(1, 2, 5:7)]) # only plot columns 2 and 5-7
summaryPlot(subset(mydata, select = c(date, nox, no2, co))) # alternative selecting
```

So far the summaryPlot function has been described and used in terms of plotting many variables from a single site. What happens if there is more than one site? Because the plot already produces a dense amount of information it does not seem sensible to plot several variables across several sites at the same time. Therefore, if there is a site field, summaryPlot will provide summary data for *a single pollutant* across all sites. See ?summaryPlot for more details.

#### Use summaryPlot first

It is recommended that the summaryPlot function is used before moving on to using

the other functions detailed below. One of the reasons (apart from getting to know your data) is that it also acts as a way of ensuring that other functions should work. For example, if wind speed was missing, or was formatted as a character rather than a number, it will not show up in the summary plot. In time we intend to use this function to carry out many data checks and issue warnings if problems are detected.

# 4 The cutData function

The cutData function is a utility function that is called by most other functions but is useful in its own right. It's main use is to partition data in many ways, many of which are built-in to openair.

Note that all the date-based types e.g. month/year are derived from a column date. If a user already has a column with a name of one of the date-based types it will not be used.

For example, to cut data into seasons:

```
mydata <- cutData(mydata, type = "season")</pre>
head(mydata)
## # A tibble: 6 x 11
             ws wd nox no2 o3 pmro 552
<dbl> <int> <int> <int> <int> <int> <dbl> <dbl> <int> <int> <int> <int> <dbl> 3.37 NA
## date
## <dttm>
## 1 1998-01-01 00:00:00 0.6 280 285 39 1 29 4.72 3.37 NA
## 2 1998-01-01 01:00:00 2.16 230 NA NA
                                              NA 37 NA NA
                                                                    NA
## 3 1998-01-01 02:00:00 2.76 190 NA NA 3 34 6.83 9.60
                                                                    NA
## 4 1998-01-01 03:00:00 2.16 170 493 52 3 35 7.66 10.2
                                                                    NA
## 5 1998-01-01 04:00:00 2.4 180 468 78 2 34 8.07 8.91
                                                                    NA
                            190 264 42 0 16 5.50 3.05
## 6 1998-01-01 05:00:00 3
                                                                    NA
## # ... with 1 more variable: season <ord>
```

This adds a new field 'season' that is split into four seasons. There is an option hemisphere that can be used to use southern hemisphere seasons when set as hemisphere = "southern".

The type can also be another field in a data frame e.g.

```
mydata <- cutData(mydata, type = "pm10")</pre>
head(mydata)
## # A tibble: 6 x 10
            ##
   date
                                                               so2
                                                                      co pm25
##
    <dttm>
                                                             <dbl> <dbl> <int>
## 1 1998-01-01 00:00:00 0.6 280 285 39 1 pm10 22 t~ 4.72 3.37
## 2 1998-01-01 01:00:00 2.16 230 NA NA NA pm10 31 t~ NA NA
                                                                          NA
                                                                            NA
                              190
## 3 1998-01-01 02:00:00 2.76
                                          NA3 pm10 31 t~6.839.60523 pm10 31 t~7.6610.2
                                     NA
                                                                            NA
## 4 1998-01-01 03:00:00 2.16
                               170 493
                                                                            NA
                               180 468 78 2 pm10 31 t~ 8.07 8.91
## 5 1998-01-01 04:00:00 2.4
                                                                            NA
                              190 264 42 0 pm10 1 to~ 5.50 3.05
## 6 1998-01-01 05:00:00 3
                                                                            NΑ
```

```
data(mydata) ## re-load mydata fresh
```

This divides  $PM_{10}$  concentrations into four *quantiles* — roughly equal numbers of  $PM_{10}$  concentrations in four levels.

Most of the time users do not have to call cutData directly because most functions have a type option that is used to call cutData directly e.g.
```
polarPlot(mydata, pollutant = "so2", type = "season")
```

However, it can be useful to call cutData *before* supplying the data to a function in a few cases. First, if one wants to set seasons to the southern hemisphere as above. Second, it is possible to override the division of a numeric variable into four quantiles by using the option n.levels. More details can be found in the cutData help file.

The cutData function has the following options:

- x A data frame containing a field date.
- type A string giving the way in which the data frame should be split. Predefined values are: "default", "year", "hour", "month", "season", "weekday", "site", "weekend", "monthyear", "daylight", "dst" (daylight saving time).

type can also be the name of a numeric or factor. If a numeric column name is supplied cutData will split the data into four quantiles. Factors levels will be used to split the data without any adjustment.

hemisphere Can be "northern" or "southern", used to split data into seasons.

- **n.levels** Number of quantiles to split numeric data into.
- start.day What day of the week should the type = "weekday" start on? The user can change the start day by supplying an integer between 0 and 6. Sunday = 0, Monday = 1, ...For example to start the weekday plots on a Saturday, choose start.day = 6.
- **is.axis** A logical (TRUE/FALSE), used to request shortened cut labels for axes.
- local.tz Used for identifying whether a date has daylight savings time (DST) applied or not. Examples include local.tz = "Europe/London", local.tz = "America/New\_York" i.e. time zones that assume DST. http://en. wikipedia.org/wiki/List\_of\_zoneinfo\_time\_zones shows time zones that should be valid for most systems. It is important that the original data are in GMT (UTC) or a fixed offset from GMT. See import and the openair manual for information on how to import data and ensure no DST is applied.
- **latitude** The decimal latitude used in type = "daylight".
- **longitude** The decimal longitude. Note that locations west of Greenwich are negative.
- ... All additional parameters are passed on to next function(s).

# 5 The windRose and pollutionRose functions

### 5.1 Purpose

see also polarFreq percentileRose

The wind rose is a very useful way of summarising meteorological data. It is particularly useful for showing how wind speed and wind direction conditions vary by year. The windRose function can plot wind roses in a variety of ways: summarising all available wind speed and wind direction data, plotting individual wind roses by year, and also by month. The latter is useful for considering how meteorological conditions vary by season.

Data are summarised by direction, typically by 45 or 30° and by different wind speed categories. Typically, wind speeds are represented by different width 'paddles'. The plots show the proportion (here represented as a percentage) of time that the wind is from a certain angle and wind speed range.

The windRose function also calculates the percentage of 'calms' i.e. when the wind speed is zero. UK Met Office data assigns these periods to 0 degrees wind direction with valid northerly winds being assigned to 360 degrees.

The windRose function will also correct for bias when wind directions are rounded to the nearest 10 degrees but are displayed at angles that 10 degrees is not exactly divisible into e.g. 22.5 degrees. When such data are binned, some angles i.e. N, E, S, W will comprise of three intervals whereas others will comprise of two, which can lead to significant bias. This issue and its solution is discussed by Droppo and Napier (2008) and Applequist (2012).<sup>5</sup> openair uses a simple method to correct for the bias by globally rescaling the count in each wind direction bin by the number of directions it represents relative to the average. Thus, the primary four directions are each reduced by a factor of 0.75 and the remaining 12 directions are multiplied by 1.125.

### 5.2 Options available

The windRose function has the following options:

mydata	A data frame containing fields ws and wd
WS	Name of the column representing wind speed.
wd	Name of the column representing wind direction.
ws2	The user can supply a second set of wind speed and wind direction values with which the first can be compared. See details below for full explanation.
wd2	see ws2.
ws.int	The Wind speed interval. Default is 2 m/s but for low met masts with low mean wind speeds a value of 1 or 0.5 m/s may be better. Note, this argument is superseded in pollutionRose. See breaks below.
angle	Default angle of "spokes" is 30. Other potentially useful angles are 45 and 10. Note that the width of the wind speed interval may need adjusting using width.
type	<b>type</b> determines how the data are split i.e. conditioned, and then plotted. The default is will produce a single plot using the entire data. Type can be one of the built-in types as detailed in cutData e.g. "season", "year", "weekday" and so on. For example, <b>type</b> = "season" will produce four plots — one for each season.
	It is also possible to choose <b>type</b> as another variable in the data frame. If that variable is numeric, then the data will be split into four quantiles (if possible) and labelled accordingly. If type is an existing character or factor variable, then those categories/levels will be used directly. This offers great flexibility for understanding the variation of different variables and how they depend on one another.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Thanks to Philippe Barnéoud of Environment Canada for pointing this issue out.

Type can be up length two e.g. type = c("season", "weekday") will produce a 2x2 plot split by season and day of the week. Note, when two types are provided the first forms the columns and the second the rows.

- **bias.corr** When angle does not divide exactly into 360 a bias is introduced in the frequencies when the wind direction is already supplied rounded to the nearest 10 degrees, as is often the case. For example, if angle = 22.5, N, E, S, W will include 3 wind sectors and all other angles will be two. A bias correction can made to correct for this problem. A simple method according to Applequist (2012) is used to adjust the frequencies.
- grid.line Grid line interval to use. If NULL, as in default, this is assigned by windRose
  based on the available data range. However, it can also be forced to a
  specific value, e.g. grid.line = 10. grid.line can also be a list to
  control the interval, line type and colour. For example grid.line =
  list(value = 10, lty = 5, col = "purple").
- width For paddle = TRUE, the adjustment factor for width of wind speed intervals. For example, width = 1.5 will make the paddle width 1.5 times wider.
- **seg** For pollutionRose seg determines with width of the segments. For example, seg = 0.5 will produce segments 0.5 \* angle.
- auto.text Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE titles and axis labels will automatically try and format pollutant names and units properly e.g. by subscripting the '2' in NO2.
- breaks Most commonly, the number of break points for wind speed in windRose or pollutant in pollutionRose. For windRose and the ws.int default of 2 m/s, the default, 4, generates the break points 2, 4, 6, 8 m/s. For pollutionRose, the default, 6, attempts to breaks the supplied data at approximately 6 sensible break points. However, breaks can also be used to set specific break points. For example, the argument breaks = c(0, 1, 10, 100) breaks the data into segments <1, 1-10, 10-100, >100.
- offset The size of the 'hole' in the middle of the plot, expressed as a percentage of the polar axis scale, default 10.
- **normalise** If **TRUE** each wind direction segment of a pollution rose is normalised to equal one. This is useful for showing how the concentrations (or other parameters) contribute to each wind sector when the proprtion of time the wind is from that direction is low. A line showing the probability that the wind directions is from a particular wind sector is also shown.
- max.freq Controls the scaling used by setting the maximum value for the radial limits. This is useful to ensure several plots use the same radial limits.

- paddle Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE plots rose using 'paddle' style spokes. If FALSE plots rose using 'wedge' style spokes.
- key.header Adds additional text/labels above and/or below the scale key, respectively. For example, passing windRose(mydata, key.header = "ws") adds the addition text as a scale header. Note: This argument is passed to drawOpenKey via quickText, applying the auto.text argument, to handle formatting.
- key.footer seekey.footer.
- key.position Location where the scale key is to plotted. Allowed arguments currently include "top", "right", "bottom" and "left".
- key Fine control of the scale key via drawOpenKey. See drawOpenKey for further details.
- **dig.lab** The number of significant figures at which scientific number formatting is used in break point and key labelling. Default 5.
- statistic The statistic to be applied to each data bin in the plot. Options currently include "prop.count", "prop.mean" and "abs.count". The default "prop.count" sizes bins according to the proportion of the frequency of measurements. Similarly, "prop.mean" sizes bins according to their relative contribution to the mean. "abs.count" provides the absolute count of measurements in each bin.
- annotate If TRUE then the percentage calm and mean values are printed in each panel together with a description of the statistic below the plot. If " " then only the stastic is below the plot. Custom annotations may be added by setting value to c("annotation 1", "annotation 2").
- angle.scale The wind speed scale is by default shown at a 315 degree angle. Sometimes the placement of the scale may interfere with an interesting feature. The user can therefore set angle.scale to another value (between o and 360 degrees) to mitigate such problems. For example angle.scale
  45 will draw the scale heading in a NE direction.
- border Border colour for shaded areas. Default is no border.
- ... For pollutionRose other parameters that are passed on to windRose. For windRose other parameters that are passed on to drawOpenKey, lattice:xyplot and cutData. Axis and title labelling options (xlab, ylab, main) are passed to xyplot via quickText to handle routine formatting.

### 5.3 Example of use

The function is very simply called as shown for Figure 5.1.

Figure 5.2 highlights some interesting differences between the years. In 2000, for example, there were a large number of occasions when the wind was from the SSW and 2003 clearly had more occasions when the wind was easterly. It can also be useful to use type = "month" to get an idea of how wind speed and direction vary seasonally.

windRose(mydata)



FIGURE 5.1 Use of windRose function to plot wind speed/direction frequencies. Wind speeds are split into the intervals shown by the scale in each panel. The grey circles show the % frequencies.



windRose(mydata, type = "year", layout = c(4, 2))

FIGURE 5.2 Use of windRose function to plot wind speed/direction frequencies by year. Wind speeds are split into the intervals shown by the scale in each panel. The grey circles show the 10 and 20 % frequencies.

```
windRose(mydata, type = "pm10", layout = c(4, 1))
```





The type option is very flexible in **openair** and can be used to quickly consider the dependencies between variables. Section 4 describes the basis of this option in **openair** plot. As an example, consider the question: what are the meteorological conditions that control high and low concentrations of  $PM_{10}$ ? By setting type = "pm10", **openair** will split the  $PM_{10}$  concentrations into four *quantiles* i.e. roughly equal numbers of points in each level. The plot will then show four different wind roses for each quantile level, although the default number of levels can be set by the user — see ?cutData for more details. Figure 5.3 shows the results of setting type = "pm10". For the lowest concentrations of  $PM_{10}$  the wind direction is dominated by northerly winds, and relatively low wind speeds. By contrast, the highest concentrations (plot furthest right) are dominated by relatively strong winds from the south-west. It is therefore very easy to obtain a good idea about the conditions that tend to lead to high (or low) concentrations of a pollutant. Furthermore, the type option is available in almost all **openair** functions.

A comparison of the effect that bias has can be seen by plotting the following. Note the prominent frequencies for W, E and N in particular that are due to the bias issue discussed by Applequist (2012).

```
## no bias correction
windRose(mydata, angle = 22.5, bias.corr = FALSE)
## bias correction (the default)
windRose(mydata, angle = 22.5)
```

pollutionRose is a variant of windRose that is useful for considering pollutant concentrations by wind direction, or more specifically the percentage time the concentration is in a particular range. This type of approach can be very informative for air pollutant species, as demonstrated by Ronald Henry and co-authors in Henry et al. (2009).

You can produce similar pollution roses using the pollutionRose function in recent versions of openair, e.g. as in Figure 5.4:

pollutionRose is *wrapper* for windRose. It simply replaces the wind speed data series in the supplied data set with another variable using the argument pollutant before passing that on to windRose. It also modifies breaks to estimate a sensible set

```
pollutionRose(mydata, pollutant = "nox")
```



FIGURE 5.4 NO<sub>x</sub> pollution rose produced using pollutionRose and default pollutionRose settings.

pollutionRose(mydata, pollutant = "nox", type = "so2", layout = c(4, 1))





FIGURE 5.5 NO<sub>x</sub> pollution rose conditioned by SO<sub>2</sub> concentration.

of break points for that pollutant and uses a slightly different set of default options (key to right, wedge style plot) but otherwise handles arguments just like the parent windRose function.

While Figure 5.4 indicates that most higher  $NO_x$  concentrations are also associated with the SW, conditioning allows you to be much informative. For example, conditioning by SO<sub>2</sub> (Figure 5.5) demonstrates that higher  $NO_x$  concentrations are associated with the SW and much of the higher SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. However, it also highlights a notable  $NO_x$  contribution from the E, most apparent at highest SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations that is obscured in Figure 5.4 by a relatively high  $NO_x$  background (Figure 5.5).

pollutionRose can also usefully be used to show which wind directions dominate the overall concentrations. By supplying the option statistic = "prop.mean" (proportion contribution to the mean), a good idea can be gained as to which wind directions contribute most to overall concentrations, as well as providing informa-

```
pollutionRose(mydata, pollutant = "nox", statistic = "prop.mean")
```



FIGURE 5.6 Pollution rose showing which wind directions contribute most to overall mean concentrations.

tion on the different concentration levels. A simple plot is shown in Figure 5.6, which clearly shows the dominance of south-westerly winds controlling the overall mean  $NO_x$  concentrations at this site. Indeed, almost half the overall  $NO_x$  concentration is contributed by two wind sectors to the south-west. The polarFreq function can also show this sort of information, but the pollution rose is more effective because both length and colour are used to show the contribution. These plots are very useful for understanding which wind directions control the overall mean concentrations.

It is sometimes useful to more clearly understand the contributions from wind directions that have low frequencies. For example, for a pollution rose of  $SO_2$  there are few occurrences of easterly winds making it difficult to see how the concentration intervals are made up. Try:

```
pollutionRose(mydata, pollutant = "so2", seg = 1)
```

However, each wind sector can be normalised to give a probability between 0 and 1 to help show the variation within each wind sector more clearly. An example is shown in Figure 5.7 where for easterly winds it is now clearer that a greater proportion of the time the concentration is made up of high  $SO_2$  concentrations. In this plot each wind sector is scaled between 0 and 1. Also shown with a black like is an indication of the wind direction frequency to remind us that winds from the east occur with a low frequency.





 $\label{eq:Figure 5.7} SO_2 \ pollution \ rose \ produced \ using \ pollution \ Rose \ normalised \ by \ each \ wind \ sector.$ 

#### Comparing two meteorological data sets

The pollutionRose function is also useful for comparing two meteorological data sets. In this case a 'reference' data set is compared with a second data set. There are many reasons for doing so e.g. to see how one site compares with another or for meteorological model evaluation (more on that in later sections). In this case, ws and wd are considered to the the reference data sets with which a second set of wind speed and wind directions are to be compared (ws2 and wd2). The first set of values is subtracted from the second and the differences compared. If for example, wd2 was biased positive compared with wd then pollutionRose will show the bias in polar coordinates. In its default use, wind direction bias is colour-coded to show negative bias in one colour and positive bias in another.

Note that this plot is mostly aimed at showing wind direction biases. It does also show the wind speed bias *but only if there is a wind direction bias also*. However, in most practical situations the plot should show both wind speed and direction biases together. An example of a situation where no wind speed bias would be shown would be for westerly winds where there was absolutely no bias between two data sets in terms of westerly wind direction but there was a difference in wind speed. Users should be aware of this limitation.

In the next example, some artificial wind direction data are generated by adding a positive bias of 30 degrees with some normally distributed scatter. Also, the wind speed data are given a positive bias. The results are shown in Figure 5.8. The Figure clearly shows the mean positive bias in wind direction i.e. the direction is displaced from north (no bias). The colour scale also shows the extent to which wind speeds are biased i.e. there is a higher proportion of positively biased wind speeds shown by the red colour compared with the negatively biased shown in blue. Also shown in Figure 5.8 is the mean wind speed and direction bias as numerical values.

Note that the type option can be used in Figure 5.8 e.g. type = "month" to split the analysis in useful ways. This is useful if one wanted to see whether a site or the output from a model was biased for different periods. For example, type = "daylight" would show whether there are biases between nighttime and daytime conditions.

An example of using user-supplied breaks is shown in Figure 5.9. In this case six intervals are chosen including one that spans -0.5 to +0.5 that is useful to show wind speeds that do not change.

```
## need to correct negative wd
id <- which(mydata$wd2 < 0)
mydata$wd2[id] <- mydata$wd2[id] + 360</pre>
```

```
## results show postive bias in wd and ws
pollutionRose(mydata, ws = "ws", wd = "wd", ws2 = "ws2", wd2 = "wd2", grid.line = 5)
```



Frequency of counts by wind direction (%)

FIGURE 5.8 Pollution rose showing the difference between two meteorological data sets. The colours are used to show whether data tend to be postively or negatively biased with respect to the reference data set.



Frequency of counts by wind direction (%)

FIGURE 5.9 Pollution rose showing the difference between two meteorological data sets. The colours are used to show whether data tend to be postively or negatively biased with respect to the reference data set. In this case the example shows how to use user-defined breaks and split the data by day/night for a latitude assumed to be London.

# 6 The percentileRose function

## 6.1 Purpose

see also windRose, polarPlot pollutionRose polarAnnulus

**percentileRose** calculates percentile levels of a pollutant and plots them by wind direction. One or more percentile levels can be calculated and these are displayed as either filled areas or as lines.

By default the function plots percentile concentrations in 10 degree segments. Alternatively, the levels by wind direction are calculated using a cyclic smooth cubic spline. The wind directions are rounded to the nearest 10 degrees, consistent with surface data from the UK Met Office before a smooth is fitted.

The percentileRose function compliments other similar functions including windRose, pollutionRose, polarFreq or polarPlot. It is most useful for showing the distribution of concentrations by wind direction and often can reveal different sources e.g. those that only affect high percentile concentrations such as a chimney stack.

Similar to other functions, flexible conditioning is available through the type option. It is easy for example to consider multiple percentile values for a pollutant by season, year and so on. See examples below.

### 6.2 Options available

The percentileRose function has the following options:

- mydata A data frame minimally containing wd and a numeric field to plot pollutant.
- pollutant Mandatory. A pollutant name corresponding to a variable in a data frame should be supplied e.g. pollutant = "nox". More than one pollutant can be supplied e.g. pollutant = c("no2", "o3") provided there is only one type.
- wd Name of the wind direction field.
- type determines how the data are split i.e. conditioned, and then plotted. The default is will produce a single plot using the entire data. Type can be one of the built-in types as detailed in cutData e.g. "season", "year", "weekday" and so on. For example, type = "season" will produce four plots — one for each season.

It is also possible to choose type as another variable in the data frame. If that variable is numeric, then the data will be split into four quantiles (if possible) and labelled accordingly. If type is an existing character or factor variable, then those categories/levels will be used directly. This offers great flexibility for understanding the variation of different variables and how they depend on one another.

Type can be up length two e.g. type = c("season", "weekday") will produce a 2x2 plot split by season and day of the week. Note, when two types are provided the first forms the columns and the second the rows.

- smooth Should the wind direction data be smoothed using a cyclic spline?

method	When <b>method</b> = "default" the supplied percentiles by wind direction are calculated. When <b>method</b> = "cpf" the conditional probability func- tion (CPF) is plotted and a single (usually high) percentile level is supplied. The CPF is defined as CPF = my/ny, where my is the number of samples in the wind sector y with mixing ratios greater than the <i>overall</i> percentile concentration, and ny is the total number of samples in the same wind sector (see Ashbaugh et al., 1985).
cols	Colours to be used for plotting. Options include "default", "increment", "heat", "jet" and RColorBrewer colours — see the openair openColours function for more details. For user defined the user can supply a list of colour names recognised by R (type colours() to see the full list). An example would be cols = c("yellow", "green", "blue")
angle	Default angle of "spokes" is when <b>smooth</b> = <b>FALSE</b> .
mean	Show the mean by wind direction as a line?
mean.lty	Line type for mean line.
mean.lwd	Line width for mean line.
mean.col	Line colour for mean line.
fill	Should the percentile intervals be filled (default) or should lines be drawn (fill = FALSE).
intervals	User-supplied intervals for the scale e.g. intervals = $c(0, 10, 30, 50)$
angle.scal	e The pollutant scale is by default shown at a 45 degree angle. Sometimes the placement of the scale may interfere with an interesting feature. The user can therefore set angle.scale to another value (between o and 360 degrees) to mitigate such problems. For example angle.scale = 315 will draw the scale heading in a NW direction.
auto.text	Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE titles and axis labels will automat- ically try and format pollutant names and units properly e.g. by subscript- ing the '2' in NO2.
key.header	Adds additional text/labels to the scale key. For example, passing op- tionskey.header = "header", key.footer = "footer" adds addi- tion text above and below the scale key. These arguments are passed to drawOpenKey via quickText, applying the auto.text argument, to handle formatting.
key.footer	key.header.
key.positi	on Location where the scale key is to plotted. Allowed arguments currently include "top", "right", "bottom" and "left".
key	Fine control of the scale key via draw0penKey. See draw0penKey for further details.
	Other graphical parameters are passed onto cutData and lattice:xyplot. For example, percentileRose passes the option hemisphere = "southern"

percentileRose(mydata, pollutant = "o3")



FIGURE 6.1 A percentileRose plot of  $O_3$  concentrations at Marylebone Road. The percentile intervals are shaded and are shown by wind direction. It shows for example that higher concentrations occur for northerly winds, as expected at this location. However, it also shows, for example the actual value of the 95th percentile  $O_3$  concentration.

on to cutData to provide southern (rather than default northern) hemisphere handling of type = "season". Similarly, common graphical arguments, such as xlim and ylim for plotting ranges and lwd for line thickness when using fill = FALSE, are passed on xyplot, although some local modifications may be applied by openair. For example, axis and title labelling options (such as xlab, ylab and main) are passed to xyplot via quickText to handle routine formatting.

### 6.3 Example of use

The first example is a basic plot of percentiles of  $O_3$  shown in Figure 6.1.

A slightly more interesting plot is shown in Figure 6.2 for SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. We also take the opportunity of changing some of the default options. In this case it can be clearly seen that the highest concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub> are dominated by east and south-easterly winds; likely reflecting the influence of stack emissions in those directions.

A smoothed version of Figure 6.2 can be plotted by:

Lots more insight can be gained by considering how percentile values vary by other factors i.e. conditioning. For example, what do  $O_3$  concentrations look like split by season and whether it is daylight or nighttime hours? We can set the type to consider season *and* whether it is daylight or nighttime.<sup>6</sup> This Figure reveals some interesting features. First,  $O_3$  concentrations are higher in the spring and summer and when the wind is from the north.  $O_3$  concentrations are higher on average at this site in spring

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>In choosing type = "daylight" the default is to consider a latitude of central London (or close to). Users can set the latitude in the function call if working in other parts of the world.



FIGURE 6.2 A percentileRose plot of SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at Marylebone Road. The percentile intervals are shaded and are shown by wind direction. This plot sets some user-defined percentile levels to consider the higher SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, moves the key to the right and uses an alternative colour scheme.

due to the peak of northern hemispheric  $O_3$  and to some extent local production. This may also explain why  $O_3$  concentrations are somewhat higher at nighttime in spring compared with summer. Second, peak  $O_3$  concentrations are higher during daylight hours in summertime when the wind is from the south-east. This will be due to more local (UK/European) production that is photochemically driven — and hence more important during daylight hours.

The percentileRose function can also plot conditional probability functions (CPF) (Ashbaugh et al. 1985). The CPF is defined as  $CPF = m_{\theta}/n_{\theta}$ , where  $m_{\theta}$  is the number of samples in the wind sector  $\theta$  with mixing ratios greater than some 'high' concentration, and  $n_{\theta}$  is the total number of samples in the same wind sector. CPF analysis is very useful for showing which wind directions are dominated by high concentrations and give the probability of doing so. In **openair**, a CPF plot can be produced as shown in Figure 6.4. Note that in these plots only one percentile is provided and the method must be supplied. In Figure 6.4 it is clear that the high concentrations (greater than the 95th percentile of *all* observations) is dominated by easterly wind directions. There are very low conditional probabilities of these concentrations being experienced for other wind directions.

It is easy to plot several species on the same plot and this works well because they all have the same probability scale (i.e. o to 1). In the example below (not shown) it is easy to see for each pollutant the wind directions that dominate the contributions to the highest (95th percentile) concentrations. For example, the highest CO and NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations are totally dominated by south/south-westerly winds and the probability of their being such high concentrations from other wind directions is effectively zero.



FIGURE 6.3 A percentileRose plot of  $O_3$  concentrations at Marylebone Road. The percentile intervals are shaded and are shown by wind direction. The plot show the variation by season and whether it is nighttime or daylight hours.



FIGURE 6.4 A CPF plot of SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at Marylebone Road.

# 7 The polarFreq function

# 7.1 Purpose

see also windRose percentileRose polarPlot

This is a custom-made plot to compactly show the distribution of wind speeds and directions from meteorological measurements. It is similar to the traditional wind rose, but includes a number of enhancements to also show how concentrations of pollutants and other variables vary. It can summarise all available data, or show it by different time periods e.g. by year, month, day of the week. It can also consider a wide range of statistics.

# 7.2 Options available

The **polarFreq** function has the following options:

- mydata A data frame minimally containing ws, wd and date.
- pollutant Mandatory. A pollutant name corresponding to a variable in a data frame should be supplied e.g. pollutant = "nox"
- statistic The statistic that should be applied to each wind speed/direction bin. Can be "frequency", "mean", "median", "max" (maximum), "stdev" (standard deviation) or "weighted.mean". The option "frequency" (the default) is the simplest and plots the frequency of wind speed/direction in different bins. The scale therefore shows the counts in each bin. The option "mean" will plot the mean concentration of a pollutant (see next point) in wind speed/direction bins, and so on. Finally, "weighted.mean" will plot the concentration of a pollutant weighted by wind speed/direction. Each segment therefore provides the percentage overall contribution to the total concentration. More information is given in the examples. Note that for options other than "frequency", it is necessary to also provide the name of a pollutant. See function cutData for further details.
- ws.int Wind speed interval assumed. In some cases e.g. a low met mast, an interval of 0.5 may be more appropriate.
- wd.nint Number of intervals of wind direction.
- grid.line Radial spacing of grid lines.
- breaks The user can provide their own scale. breaks expects a sequence of numbers that define the range of the scale. The sequence could represent one with equal spacing e.g. breaks = seq(0, 100, 10) a scale from o-10 in intervals of 10, or a more flexible sequence e.g. breaks = c(0, 1, 5, 7, 10), which may be useful for some situations.
- trans Should a transformation be applied? Sometimes when producing plots of this kind they can be dominated by a few high points. The default

therefore is TRUE and a square-root transform is applied. This results in a non-linear scale and (usually) a better representation of the distribution. If set to FALSE a linear scale is used.

type determines how the data are split i.e. conditioned, and then plotted. The default is will produce a single plot using the entire data. Type can be one of the built-in types as detailed in cutData e.g. "season", "year", "weekday" and so on. For example, type = "season" will produce four plots — one for each season.

> It is also possible to choose type as another variable in the data frame. If that variable is numeric, then the data will be split into four quantiles (if possible) and labelled accordingly. If type is an existing character or factor variable, then those categories/levels will be used directly. This offers great flexibility for understanding the variation of different variables and how they depend on one another.

> Type can be up length two e.g. type = c("season", "weekday") will produce a 2x2 plot split by season and day of the week. Note, when two types are provided the first forms the columns and the second the rows.

- min.bin The minimum number of points allowed in a wind speed/wind direction bin. The default is 1. A value of two requires at least 2 valid records in each bin an so on; bins with less than 2 valid records are set to NA. Care should be taken when using a value > 1 because of the risk of removing real data points. It is recommended to consider your data with care. Also, the polarPlot function can be of use in such circumstances.
- ws.upper A user-defined upper wind speed to use. This is useful for ensuring a consistent scale between different plots. For example, to always ensure that wind speeds are displayed between 1-10, set ws.int = 10.
- offset offset controls the size of the 'hole' in the middle and is expressed as a
  percentage of the maximum wind speed. Setting a higher offset e.g. 50
  is useful for statistic = "weighted.mean" when ws.int is greater
  than the maximum wind speed. See example below.
- **border.col** The colour of the boundary of each wind speed/direction bin. The default is transparent. Another useful choice sometimes is "white".
- key.header, key.footer Adds additional text/labels to the scale key. For example, passing options key.header = "header", key.footer = "footer" adds addition text above and below the scale key. These arguments are passed to drawOpenKey via quickText, applying the auto.text argument, to handle formatting.
- key.position Location where the scale key is to plotted. Allowed arguments currently include "top", "right", "bottom" and "left".
- key Fine control of the scale key via draw0penKey. See draw0penKey for further details.
- auto.text Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE titles and axis labels will automatically try and format pollutant names and units properly e.g. by subscripting the '2' in NO2.

polarFreq(mydata)



FIGURE 7.1 Use of **polarFreq** function to plot wind speed/directions. Each cell gives the total number of hours the wind was from that wind speed/direction in a particular year. The number of hours is coded as a colour scale shown to the right. The scale itself is non-linear to help show the overall distribution. The dashed circular grey lines show the wind speed scale. The date range covered by the data is shown in the strip.

... Other graphical parameters passed onto lattice:xyplot and cutData. For example, polarFreq passes the option hemisphere = "southern" on to cutData to provide southern (rather than default northern) hemisphere handling of type = "season". Similarly, common axis and title labelling options (such as xlab, ylab, main) are passed to xyplot via quickText to handle routine formatting.

For type = "site", it is necessary to format the input data into columns date, ws, wd, site (and maybe pollutant). This means that date, for example is repeated a number of times equal to the number of sites.

## 7.3 Example of use

This section shows an example output and use, using our data frame mydata. The function is very simply run as shown in Figure 7.1. This produces the plot shown in Figure 7.1.

By setting type = "year", the frequencies are shown separately by year as shown in Figure 7.2, which shows that most of the time the wind is from a south-westerly direction with wind speeds most commonly between  $2-6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . In 2000 there seemed to be a lot of conditions where the wind was from the south-west (leading to high pollutant concentrations at this location). The data for 2003 also stand out due to the relatively large number of occasions where the wind was from the east. Note the default colour scale, which has had a square-root transform applied, is used to provide a better visual distribution of the data.

The polarFreq function can also usefully consider pollutant concentrations. Figure 7.3 shows the mean concentration of  $SO_2$  by wind speed and wind direction and clearly highlights that  $SO_2$  concentrations tend to be highest for easterly winds and for 1998 in particular.

polarFreq(mydata, type = "year")



FIGURE 7.2 Use of **polarFreq** function to plot wind speed/directions by year. Each cell gives the total number of hours the wind was from that wind speed/direction in a particular year. The number of hours is coded as a colour scale shown to the right. The scale itself is non-linear to help show the overall distribution. The dashed circular grey lines show the wind speed scale.

By weighting the concentrations by the frequency of occasions the wind is from a certain direction and has a certain speed, gives a better indication of the conditions that dominate the overall mean concentrations. Figure 7.4 shows the weighted mean concentration of  $SO_2$  and highlights that annual mean concentrations are dominated by south-westerly winds i.e. contributions from the road itself — and not by the fewer higher hours of concentrations when the wind is easterly. However, 2003 looks interesting because for that year, significant contributions to the overall mean were due to easterly wind conditions.

These plots when applied to other locations can reveal some useful features about different sources. For example, it may be that the highest concentrations measured only occur infrequently, and the weighted mean plot can help show this.

The code required to make Figure 7.3 and 7.4 is shown below.

Users are encouraged to try out other plot options. However, one potentially useful



FIGURE 7.3 Use of polarFreq function to plot mean SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (ppb) by wind speed/directions and year.

plot is to select a few specific years of user interest. For example, what if you just wanted to compare two years e.g. 2000 and 2003? This is easy to do by sending a subset of data to the function. Use here can be made of the **openair** selectByDate function.

The polarFreq function can also be used to gain an idea about the wind directions that contribute most to the overall mean concentrations. As already shown, use of the option statistic = "weighted.mean" will show the percentage contribution by wind direction and wind speed bin. However, often it is unnecessary to consider different wind speed intervals. To make the plot more effective, a few options are set as shown in Figure 7.5. First, the statistic = "weighted.mean" is chosen to ensure that the plot shows concentrations weighted by their frequency of occurrence



FIGURE 7.4 Use of polarFreq function to plot weighted mean  $SO_2$  concentrations (ppb) by wind speed/directions and year.

of wind direction. For this plot, we are mostly interested in just the contribution by wind direction and not wind speed. Setting the ws.int to be above the maximum wind speed in the data set ensures that all data are shown in one interval. Rather than having a square-root transform applied to the colour scale, we choose to have a linear scale by setting trans = FALSE. Finally, to show a 'disk', the offset is set at 80. Increasing the value of the offset will narrow the disk.

While Figure 7.5 is useful – e.g. it clearly shows that concentrations of  $NO_x$  at this site are totally dominated by south-westerly winds, the use of pollutionRose for this type of plot is more effective, as shown in Section 5.



FIGURE 7.5 The percentage contribution to overall mean concentrations of  $NO_x$  at Marylebone Road.

# 8 The polarPlot and polarCluster functions

### 8.1 Purpose

see also polarFreq polarAnnulus percentileRose pollutionRose

The **polarPlot** function plots a *bivariate polar plot* of concentrations. Concentrations are shown to vary by wind speed and wind direction. In many respects they are similar to the plots shown in (§7) but include some additional enhancements. These enhancements include: plots are shown as a continuous surface and surfaces are calculated through modelling using smoothing techniques. These plots are not entirely new as others have considered the joint wind speed-direction dependence of concentrations (see for example Yu et al. (2004)). However, plotting the data in polar coordinates and for the purposes of source identification is new. Furthermore, the basic polar plot is since been enhanced in many ways as described below. Recent publications that describe or use the technique are Carslaw et al. (2006) and Westmoreland et al. (2007). These plots have proved to be useful for quickly gaining a graphical impression of potential sources influences at a location.

The **polarPlot** function is described in more detail in Carslaw et al. (2006) where it is used to triangulate sources in an airport setting, Carslaw and Beevers (2013) where it is used with a clustering technique to identify features in a polar plot with similar characteristics and Uria-Tellaetxe and Carslaw (2014) where it is extended to include a conditional probability function to extract more information from the plots. The latter paper is Open Access and can be downloaded from the **openair** website.

For many, maybe most situations, increasing wind speed generally results in lower concentrations due to increased dilution through advection and increased mechanical turbulence. There are, however, many processes that can lead to interesting concentration-wind speed dependencies and we will provide a more theoretical treatment of this in due course. However, below are a few reasons why concentrations can change with increasing wind speeds.

• Buoyant plumes from tall stacks can be brought down to ground-level resulting in high concentrations under high wind speed conditions.

- Particle suspension increases with increasing wind speeds e.g. PM<sub>10</sub> from spoil heaps and the like.
- 'Particle' suspension can be important close to coastal areas where higher wind speeds generate more sea spray.
- The wind speed dependence of concentrations in a street canyon can be very complex: higher wind speeds do not always results in lower concentrations due to re-circulation. Bivariate polar plots are very good at revealing these complexities.
- As Carslaw et al. (2006) showed, aircraft emissions have an unusual wind speed dependence and this can help distinguish them from other sources. If several measurement sites are available, polar plots can be used to triangulate different sources.
- Concentrations of  $NO_2$  can increase with increasing wind speed or at least not decline steeply due to increased mixing. This mixing can result in  $O_3$ -rich air converting NO to  $NO_2$ .

The function has been developed to allow variables other than wind speed to be plotted with wind direction in polar coordinates. The key issue is that the other variable plotted against wind direction should be discriminating in some way. For example, temperature can help reveal high-level sources brought down to ground level in unstable atmospheric conditions, or show the effect a source emission dependent on temperature e.g. biogenic isoprene. For research applications where many more variables could be available, discriminating sources by these other variables could be very insightful.

Bivariate polar plots are constructed in the following way. First, wind speed, wind direction and concentration data are partitioned into wind speed-direction bins and the mean concentration calculated for each bin. Testing on a wide range of data suggests that wind direction intervals at 10 degrees and 30 wind speed intervals capture sufficient detail of the concentration distribution. The wind direction data typically available are generally rounded to 10 degrees and for typical surface measurements of wind speed in the range 0 to 20 to 30 m s<sup>-1</sup>, intervals greater than 30 would be difficult to justify based on a consideration of the accuracy of the instruments. Binning the data in this way is not strictly necessary but acts as an effective data reduction technique without affecting the fidelity of the plot itself. Furthermore, because of the inherent wind direction variability in the atmosphere, data from several weeks, months or years typically used to construct a bivariate polar plot tends to be diffuse and does not vary abruptly with either wind direction or speed and more finely resolved bin sizes or working with the raw data directly does not yield more information.

The wind components, u and v are calculated i.e.

$$\mathbf{u} = \overline{\mathbf{u}}.\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{\theta}\right), \mathbf{v} = \overline{\mathbf{u}}.\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{\theta}\right) \tag{1}$$

with  $\overline{u}$  is the mean hourly wind speed and  $\theta$  is the mean wind direction in degrees with 90 degrees as being from the east.

The calculations above provides a u, v, concentration (C) surface. While it would be possible to work with this surface data directly a better approach is to apply a model to the surface to describe the concentration as a function of the wind components u and v to extract real source features rather than noise. A flexible framework for fitting a surface is to use a Generalized Additive Model (GAM) e.g. (Hastie and Tibshirani 1990; Wood 2006). GAMs are a useful modelling framework with respect to air pollution

prediction because typically the relationships between variables are non-linear and variable interactions are important, both of which issues can be addressed in a GAM framework. GAMs can be expressed as shown in Equation 2:

$$\sqrt{C_i} = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n s_j(x_{ij}) + e_i$$
 (2)

where  $C_i$  is the ith pollutant concentration,  $\beta_0$  is the overall mean of the response,  $s_j(x_{ij})$  is the smooth function of ith value of covariate j, n is the total number of covariates, and  $e_i$  is the ith residual. Note that  $C_i$  is square-root transformed as the transformation generally produces better model diagnostics e.g. normally distributed residuals.

The model chosen for the estimate of the concentration surface is given by Equation 3. In this model the square root-transformed concentration is a smooth function of the bivariate wind components u and v. Note that the smooth function used is *isotropic* because u and v are on the same scales. The isotropic smooth avoids the potential difficulty of smoothing two variables on different scales e.g. wind speed and direction, which introduces further complexities.

$$\sqrt{C_i} = s(u, v) + e_i \tag{3}$$

#### 8.2 Options available

The **polarPlot** function has the following options:

- mydata A data frame minimally containing wd, another variable to plot in polar coordinates (the default is a column "ws" wind speed) and a pollutant. Should also contain date if plots by time period are required.
- pollutant Mandatory. A pollutant name corresponding to a variable in a data frame should be supplied e.g. pollutant = "nox". There can also be more than one pollutant specified e.g. pollutant = c("nox", "no2"). The main use of using two or more pollutants is for model evaluation where two species would be expected to have similar concentrations. This saves the user stacking the data and it is possible to work with columns of data directly. A typical use would be pollutant = c("obs", "mod") to compare two columns "obs" (the observations) and "mod" (modelled values). When pair-wise statistics such as Pearson correlation and regression techniques are to be plotted, pollutant takes two elements too. For example, pollutant = c("bc", "pm25") where "bc" is a function of "pm25".
- x Name of variable to plot against wind direction in polar coordinates, the default is wind speed, "ws".
- wd Name of wind direction field.
- type determines how the data are split i.e. conditioned, and then plotted. The default is will produce a single plot using the entire data. Type can be one of the built-in types as detailed in cutData e.g. "season", "year", "weekday" and so on. For example, type = "season" will produce four plots — one for each season.

It is also possible to choose type as another variable in the data frame. If that variable is numeric, then the data will be split into four quantiles

(if possible) and labelled accordingly. If type is an existing character or factor variable, then those categories/levels will be used directly. This offers great flexibility for understanding the variation of different variables and how they depend on one another.

Type can be up length two e.g. type = c("season", "weekday") will produce a 2x2 plot split by season and day of the week. Note, when two types are provided the first forms the columns and the second the rows.

statistic The statistic that should be applied to each wind speed/direction bin. Because of the smoothing involved, the colour scale for some of these statistics is only to provide an indication of overall pattern and should not be interpreted in concentration units e.g. for statistic = "weighted.mean" where the bin mean is multiplied by the bin frequency and divided by the total frequency. In many cases using polarFreq will be better. Setting statistic = "weighted.mean" can be useful because it provides an indication of the concentration \* frequency of occurrence and will highlight the wind speed/direction conditions that dominate the overall mean.Can be:

- "mean" (default), "median", "max" (maximum), "frequency". "stdev" (standard deviation), "weighted.mean".
- **statistic** = "nwr" Implements the Non-parametric Wind Regression approach of Henry et al. (2009) that uses kernel smoothers. The **openair** implementation is not identical because Gaussian kernels are used for both wind direction and speed. The smoothing is controlled by ws\_spread and wd\_spread.
- **statistic** = "**cpf**" the conditional probability function (CPF) is plotted and a single (usually high) percentile level is supplied. The CPF is defined as CPF = my/ny, where my is the number of samples in the y bin (by default a wind direction, wind speed interval) with mixing ratios greater than the *overall* percentile concentration, and ny is the total number of samples in the same wind sector (see Ashbaugh et al., 1985). Note that percentile intervals can also be considered; see **percentile** for details.
- When statistic = "r", the Pearson correlation coefficient is calculated for *two* pollutants. The calculation involves a weighted Pearson correlation coefficient, which is weighted by Gaussian kernels for wind direction an the radial variable (by default wind speed). More weight is assigned to values close to a wind speed-direction interval. Kernel weighting is used to ensure that all data are used rather than relying on the potentially small number of values in a wind speed-direction interval.
- "robust.slope" is another option for pair-wise statisitics and "quantile.slope", which uses quantile regression to estimate the slope for a particular quantile level (see also tau for setting the quantile level).
- resolution Two plot resolutions can be set: "normal" and "fine" (the default), for a smoother plot. It should be noted that plots with a "fine" resolution can take longer to render.
- **limits** The function does its best to choose sensible limits automatically. However, there are circumstances when the user will wish to set different

ones. An example would be a series of plots showing each year of data separately. The limits are set in the form c(lower, upper), so limits = c(0, 100) would force the plot limits to span 0-100.

- exclude.missing Setting this option to TRUE (the default) removes points from the plot that are too far from the original data. The smoothing routines will produce predictions at points where no data exist i.e. they predict. By removing the points too far from the original data produces a plot where it is clear where the original data lie. If set to FALSE missing data will be interpolated.
- uncertainty Should the uncertainty in the calculated surface be shown? If TRUE three plots are produced on the same scale showing the predicted surface together with the estimated lower and upper uncertainties at the 95 understand whether features are real or not. For example, at high wind speeds where there are few data there is greater uncertainty over the predicted values. The uncertainties are calculated using the GAM and weighting is done by the frequency of measurements in each wind speed-direction bin. Note that if uncertainties are calculated then the type is set to "default".
- percentile If statistic = "percentile" then percentile is used, expressed from o to 100. Note that the percentile value is calculated in the wind speed, wind direction 'bins'. For this reason it can also be useful to set min.bin to ensure there are a sufficient number of points available to estimate a percentile. See quantile for more details of how percentiles are calculated.

**percentile** is also used for the Conditional Probability Function (CPF) plots. **percentile** can be of length two, in which case the percentile *interval* is considered for use with CPF. For example, **percentile** = c(90, 100) will plot the CPF for concentrations between the 90 and 100th percentiles. Percentile intervals can be useful for identifying specific sources. In addition, **percentile** can also be of length 3. The third value is the 'trim' value to be applied. When calculating percentile intervals many can cover very low values where there is no useful information. The trim value ensures that values greater than or equal to the trim \* mean value are considered *before* the percentile intervals are calculated. The effect is to extract more detail from many source signatures. See the manual for examples. Finally, if the trim value is less than zero the percentile range is interpreted as absolute concentration values and subsetting is carried out directly.

- cols Colours to be used for plotting. Options include "default", "increment", "heat", "jet" and RColorBrewer colours—see the openair openColours function for more details. For user defined the user can supply a list of colour names recognised by R (type colours() to see the full list). An example would be cols = c("yellow", "green", "blue"). cols can also take the values "viridis", "magma", "inferno", or "plasma" which are the viridis colour maps ported from Python's Matplotlib library.
- weights At the edges of the plot there may only be a few data points in each wind speed-direction interval, which could in some situations distort the plot if the concentrations are high. weights applies a weighting to reduce their

influence. For example and by default if only a single data point exists then the weighting factor is 0.25 and for two points 0.5. To not apply any weighting and use the data as is, use weights = c(1, 1, 1).

An alternative to down-weighting these points they can be removed altogether using min.bin.

- min.bin The minimum number of points allowed in a wind speed/wind direction bin. The default is 1. A value of two requires at least 2 valid records in each bin an so on; bins with less than 2 valid records are set to NA. Care should be taken when using a value > 1 because of the risk of removing real data points. It is recommended to consider your data with care. Also, the polarFreq function can be of use in such circumstances.
- mis.col When min.bin is > 1 it can be useful to show where data are removed on the plots. This is done by shading the missing data in mis.col. To not highlight missing data when min.bin > 1 choose mis.col = "transparent".
- alpha The alpha transparency to use for the plotting surface (a value between o and 1 with zero being fully transparent and 1 fully opaque). Setting a value below 1 can be useful when plotting surfaces on a map using the package openairmapss.
- upper This sets the upper limit wind speed to be used. Often there are only a relatively few data points at very high wind speeds and plotting all of them can reduce the useful information in the plot.
- angle.scale The wind speed scale is by default shown at a 315 degree angle. Sometimes the placement of the scale may interfere with an interesting feature. The user can therefore set angle.scale to another value (between o and 360 degrees) to mitigate such problems. For example angle.scale
  45 will draw the scale heading in a NE direction.
- units The units shown on the polar axis scale.
- force.positive The default is TRUE. Sometimes if smoothing data with steep gradients it is possible for predicted values to be negative. force.positive = TRUE ensures that predictions remain positive. This is useful for several reasons. First, with lots of missing data more interpolation is needed and this can result in artifacts because the predictions are too far from the original data. Second, if it is known beforehand that the data are all positive, then this option carries that assumption through to the prediction. The only likely time where setting force.positive = FALSE would be if background concentrations were first subtracted resulting in data that is legitimately negative. For the vast majority of situations it is expected that the user will not need to alter the default option.
- k This is the smoothing parameter used by the gam function in package mgcv. Typically, value of around 100 (the default) seems to be suitable and will resolve important features in the plot. The most appropriate choice of k is problem-dependent; but extensive testing of polar plots for many different problems suggests a value of k of about 100 is suitable. Setting k to higher values will not tend to affect the surface predictions by much but will add to the computation time. Lower values of k will increase smoothing. Sometimes with few data to plot polarPlot will fail. Under these circumstances it can be worth lowering the value of k.

- normalise If TRUE concentrations are normalised by dividing by their mean value. This is done *after* fitting the smooth surface. This option is particularly useful if one is interested in the patterns of concentrations for several pollutants on different scales e.g. NOx and CO. Often useful if more than one pollutant is chosen.
- key.header Adds additional text/labels to the scale key. For example, passing the options key.header = "header", key.footer = "footer1" adds addition text above and below the scale key. These arguments are passed to drawOpenKey via quickText, applying the auto.text argument, to handle formatting.
- key.footer see key.footer.
- key.position Location where the scale key is to plotted. Allowed arguments currently include "top", "right", "bottom" and "left".
- key Fine control of the scale key via draw0penKey. See draw0penKey for further details.
- auto.text Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE titles and axis labels will automatically try and format pollutant names and units properly e.g. by subscripting the '2' in NO2.
- ws\_spread The value of sigma used for Gaussian kernel weighting of wind speed when statistic = "nwr" or when correlation and regression statistics are used such as r. Default is 0.5.
- wd\_spread The value of sigma used for Gaussian kernel weighting of wind direction when statistic = "nwr" or when correlation and regression statistics are used such as r. Default is 4.
- kernel Type of kernel used for the weighting procedure for when correlation or regression techniques are used. Only "gaussian" is supported but this may be enhanced in the future.
- tau The quantile to be estimated when statistic is set to "quantile.slope". Default is 0.5 which is equal to the median and will be ignored if "quantile.slope" is not used.
- ... Other graphical parameters passed onto lattice:levelplot and cutData. For example, polarPlot passes the option hemisphere = "southern" on to cutData to provide southern (rather than default northern) hemisphere handling of type = "season". Similarly, common axis and title labelling options (such as xlab, ylab, main) are passed to levelplot via quickText to handle routine formatting.

### 8.3 Example of use

We first use the function in its simplest form to make a polar plot of  $NO_x$ . The code is very simple as shown in Figure 8.1.

This produces Figure 8.1. The scale is automatically set using whatever units the original data are in. This plot clearly shows highest  $NO_x$  concentrations when the wind is from the south-west. Given that the monitor is on the *south* side of the street and the

```
polarPlot(mydata, pollutant = "nox")
```



FIGURE 8.1 Default use of the **polarPlot** function applied to Marylebone Road  $NO_x$  concentrations.

highest concentrations are recorded when the wind is blowing *away* from the monitor is strong evidence of street canyon recirculation.

Figure 8.2 and Figure 8.3 shows polar plots using different defaults and for other pollutants. In the first (Figure 8.2, a different colour scheme is used and some adjustments are made to the key. In Figure 8.3, SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are shown. What is interesting about this plot compared with either Figure 8.2 or Figure 8.1 is that the concentration pattern is very different i.e. high concentrations with high wind speeds from the east. The most likely source of this SO<sub>2</sub> are industrial sources to the east of London. The plot does still however show evidence of a source to the south-west, similar to the plot for NO<sub>x</sub>, which implies that road traffic sources of SO<sub>2</sub> can also be detected.

These plots often show interesting features at higher wind speeds. For these conditions there can be very few measurements and therefore greater uncertainty in the calculation of the surface. There are several ways in which this issue can be tackled. First, it is possible to avoid smoothing altogether and use polarFreq. The problem with this approach is that it is difficult to know how best to bin wind speed and direction: the choice of interval tends to be arbitrary. Second, the effect of setting a minimum number of measurements in each wind speed-direction bin can be examined through min.bin. It is possible that a single point at high wind speed conditions can strongly affect the surface prediction. Therefore, setting min.bin = 3, for example, will remove all wind speed-direction bins with fewer than 3 measurements before fitting the surface. This is a useful strategy for testing how sensitive the plotted surface is to the number of measurements available. While this is a useful strategy to get a feel for how the surface changes with different min.bin settings, it is still difficult to know how many points should be used as a minimum. Third, consider setting uncertainty = TRUE. This option will show the predicted surface together with upper and lower 95% confidence intervals, which take account of the frequency of measurements. The uncertainty approach ought to be the most robust and removes any arbitrary setting of other options. There is a close relationship between the amount of smoothing an the uncertainty: more smoothing will tend to reveal less detail and lower uncertainties in the fitted surface and vice-versa.

The default however is to down-weight the bins with few data points when fitting a



FIGURE 8.2 Example plots using the polarPlot function with different options for the mean concentration of  $NO_x$ .

```
polarPlot(mydata, pollutant = "so2")
```



FIGURE 8.3 Example plots using the polarPlot function for the mean concentration of SO<sub>2</sub>.

surface. Weights of 0.25, 0.5 and 0.75 are used for bins containing 1, 2 and 3 data points respectively. The advantage of this approach is that no data are actually removed (which is what happens when using min.bin). This approach should be robust in a very wide range of situations and is also similar to the approaches used when trying to locate sources when using back trajectories as described in Section 19. Users can ignore the automatic weighting by supplying the option weights = c(1, 1, 1).

polarFreq provides an un-smoothed surface

A very useful approach for understanding air pollution is to consider ratios of pollutants. One reason is that pollutant ratios can be largely independent of meteorological variation. In many circumstances it is possible to gain a lot of insight into sources if pollutant ratios are considered. First, it is necessary to calculate a ratio, which is easy

```
SO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>x</sub> ratio
```

polarPlot(mydata, pollutant = "ratio", main = "so2/nox ratio")

FIGURE 8.4 Bivariate polar plot of the ratio of  $SO_2/NO_x$ .

in R. In this example we consider the ratio of  $SO_2/NO_x$ :

```
mydata <- transform(mydata, ratio = so2 / nox)</pre>
```

Working with ratios of pollutants This makes a new variable called ratio. Sometimes it can be problematic if there are values equal to zero on the denominator, as is the case here. The mean and maximum value of the ratio is infinite, as shown by the Inf in the statistics below. Luckily, R can deal with infinity and the **openair** functions will remove these values before performing calculations. It is very simple therefore to calculate ratios.

```
summary(mydata[, "ratio"])
## Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max. NA's
## 0.000 0.018 0.024 Inf 0.034 Inf 11782
```

A polar plot of the  $SO_2/NO_x$  ratio is shown in Figure 8.4. The plot highlights some new features not seen before. First, to the north there seems to be evidence that the air tends to have a higher  $SO_2/NO_x$  ratio. Also, the source to the east has a higher  $SO_2/NO_x$ ratio compared with that when the wind is from the south-west i.e. dominated by road sources. It seems therefore that the easterly source(s), which are believed to be industrial sources have a different  $SO_2/NO_x$  ratio compared with road sources. This is a very simple analysis, but ratios can be used effectively in many functions and are particularly useful in the presence of high source complexity.

Sometimes when considering ratios it might be necessary to limit the values in some way; perhaps due to some unusually low value denominator data resulting in a few very high values for the ratio. This is easy to do with the subset command. The code below selects ratios less than 0.1.

```
polarPlot(subset(mydata, ratio < 0.1), pollutant = "ratio")</pre>
```

The uncertainties in the surface can be calculated by setting the option uncertainty = TRUE. The details are described above and here we show the example of SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (Figure 8.5). In general the uncertainties are higher at high wind speeds i.e. at

mean

```
        lower uncertainty
        prediction
        upper uncertainty

        25
        N
        25
        N
        25
```

polarPlot(mydata, pollutant = "so2", uncertainty = TRUE)



FIGURE 8.5 Bivariate polar plot of SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at Marylebone Road. Three surfaces are shown: the central prediction (middle) and the lower and upper 95% estimated uncertainties. These plots help to show that in this particular case, some of the concentrations for strong easterly and south-easterly winds are rather uncertain. However, the central feature to the east remains, suggesting this feature is 'real' and not an artifact of there being too few data.

the 'fringes' of a plot where there are fewer data. However, the magnitude depends on both the frequency and magnitude of the concentration close to the points of interest. The pattern of uncertainty is not always obvious and it can differ markedly for different pollutants.

The polarPlot function can also produce plots dependent on another variable (see the type option). For example, the variation of  $SO_2$  concentrations at Marylebone Road by hour of the day in the code below. The function was called as shown in in this case the minimum number of points in each wind speed/direction was set to 2.

```
polarPlot(mydata, pollutant = "so2", type = "hour", min.bin = 2)
```

This plot shows that concentrations of  $SO_2$  tend to be highest from the east (as also shown in Figure 8.3) and for hours in the morning. Together these plots can help better understand different source types. For example, does a source only seem to be present during weekdays, or winter months etc. In the case of type = "hour", the more obvious presence during the morning hours could be due to meteorological factors and this possibility should be investigated also. In other settings where there are many sources that vary in their source emission and temporal characteristics, the polarPlot function should prove to be very useful.

One issue to be aware of is the amount of data required to generate some of these plots; particularly the hourly plots. If only a relatively short time series is available there may not be sufficient information to produce useful plots. Whether this is important or not will depend on the specific circumstances e.g. the prevalence of wind speeds and directions from the direction of interest. When used to produce many plots (e.g. type = "hour"), the run time can be quite long.

#### 8.3.1 Nonparametric Wind Regression, NWR

An alternative approach to modelling the surface concentrations with a GAM is to use kernel smoothers, as described by Henry et al. (2009). In NWR, smoothing is achieved using nonparametric kernel smoothers that weight concentrations on a surface according to their proximity to defined wind speed and direction intervals. In the approach adopted in **openair** (which is not identical to Henry et al. (2009)), Gaussian smoothers are used for both wind direction and wind speed. Unlike the default GAM approach in **openair**, the NWR technique works directly with the raw (often hourly) data. It tends to provide similar results to **openair** but may have advantages in certain situations e.g. when there is insufficient data available to use a GAM. The width of the Gaussian kernels ( $\sigma$ ) is controlled by the options wd\_spread and ws\_spread.

An example for  $SO_2$  concentrations in shown in Figure 8.6, which can be compared with Figure 8.3.





FIGURE 8.6 polarPlot of SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at Marylebone Road based on the NWR approach.

#### 8.3.2 Conditional Probability Function (CPF) plot

The conditional probability functions (CPF) was described on page 52 in the context of the percentileRose function. The CPF was originally used to show the wind directions that dominate a (specified) high concentration of a pollutant; showing the probability of such concentrations occurring by wind direction (Ashbaugh et al. 1985). However, these ideas can very usefully be applied to bivariate polar plots. In this case the CPF is defined as CPF =  $m_{\theta,j}/n_{\theta,j}$ , where  $m_{\theta,j}$  is the number of samples in the wind sector  $\theta$  and wind speed interval j with mixing ratios greater than some 'high' concentration, and  $n_{\theta,j}$  is the total number of samples in the same wind directionspeed interval. Note that j does not have to be wind speed but could be any numeric variable e.g. ambient temperature. CPF analysis is very useful for showing which wind direction, wind speed intervals are dominated by high concentrations and give the probability of doing so. A full explanation of the development and use of the bivariate case of the CPF is described in Uria-Tellaetxe and Carslaw (2014) where it is applied to monitoring data close to a steelworks.

An example of a CPF polar plot is shown in Figure 8.7 for the 90th percentile concentration of  $SO_2$ . This plot shows that for most wind speed-directions the probability of

```
polarPlot(mydata, pollutant = "so2", statistic = "cpf", percentile = 90)
```



FIGURE 8.7 polarPlot of SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at Marylebone Road based on the CPF function.

 $SO_2$  concentrations being greater than the 90th percentile is zero. The clearest areas where the probability is higher is to the east. Indeed, the plot now clearly reveals two potential sources of  $SO_2$ , which are not as apparent in the 'standard' plot shown in Figure 8.3. Note that Figure 8.7 also gives the calculated percentile at the bottom of the plot (9.2 ppb in this case). Figure 8.7 can also be compared with the CPF plot based only on wind direction shown in Figure 6.4. While Figure 6.4 very clearly shows that easterly wind dominate high concentrations of  $SO_2$ , Figure 8.7 provides additional valuable information by also considering wind speed, which in this case is able to discriminate between two sources (or groups of sources) to the east.

The polar CPF plot is therefore potentially very useful for source identification and characterisation. It is, for example, worth also considering other percentile levels and other pollutants. For example, considering the 95th percentile for SO<sub>2</sub> 'removes' one of the sources (the one at highest wind speed). This helps to show some maybe important differences between the sources that could easily have been missed. Similarly, considering other pollutants can help build up a good understanding of these sources. A CPF plot for NO<sub>2</sub> at the 90th percentile shows the single dominance of the road source. However, a CPF plot at the 75th percentile level indicates source contributions from the east (likely tall stacks), which again are not as clear in the standard bivariate polar plot. Considering a range of percentile values can therefore help to build up a more complete understanding of source contributions.

However, even more useful information can be gained by considering *intervals* of percentiles e.g. 50-60, 60-70 etc. By considering intervals of percentiles it becomes clear that some sources only affect a limited percentile range. **polarPlot** can accept a **percentile** argument of length two e.g. **percentile** = c(80, 90). In this case concentrations in the range from the lower to upper percentiles will be considered. In Figure 8.8 for example, it is apparent that the road source to the south west is only important between the 60 to 90th percentiles. As mentioned previously, the chimney stacks to the east are important for the higher percentiles (90 to 100). What is interesting though is the emergence of what appears to be other sources at the lower percentile intervals. These potential sources are not apparent in Figure 8.3. The other interesting aspect is that it does seem that specific sources tend to be prominent for specific percentile ranges. If this characteristic is shown to be the case more generally,
<pre>polarPlot(mydata,</pre>	poll =	"so2",	stati =	"cpf",	percentile	=	<b>c</b> (0, <sup>-</sup>	10))
<pre>polarPlot(mydata,</pre>	poll =	"so2",	stati =	"cpf",	percentile	=	<b>c</b> (10,	20))
<pre>polarPlot(mydata,</pre>	poll =	"so2",	stati =	"cpf",	percentile	=	<b>c</b> (20,	30))
<pre>polarPlot(mydata,</pre>	poll =	"so2",	stati =	"cpf",	percentile	=	<b>c</b> (30,	40))
<pre>polarPlot(mydata,</pre>	poll =	"so2",	stati =	"cpf",	percentile	=	<b>c</b> (40,	50))
<pre>polarPlot(mydata,</pre>	poll =	"so2",	stati =	"cpf",	percentile	=	<b>c</b> (50,	60))
<pre>polarPlot(mydata,</pre>	poll =	"so2",	stati =	"cpf",	percentile	=	<b>c</b> (60,	70))
<pre>polarPlot(mydata,</pre>	poll =	"so2",	stati =	"cpf",	percentile	=	<b>c</b> (70,	80))
<pre>polarPlot(mydata,</pre>	poll =	"so2",	stati =	"cpf",	percentile	=	<b>c</b> (80,	90))
<pre>polarPlot(mydata,</pre>	poll =	"so2",	stati =	"cpf",	percentile	=	<b>c</b> (90,	100))



FIGURE 8.8 polarPlot of SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at Marylebone Road based on the CPF function for a range of percentile intervals from 0-10, 10-20, ..., 90-100.

then CPF intervals could be a powerful way in which to identify many sources. Whether these particular sources are important or not is questionable and depends on the aims of the analysis. However, there is no reason to believe that the potential sources shown in the percentile ranges 0 to 50 are artifacts. They could for example be signals from more distant point sources whose plumes have diluted more over longer distances. Such sources would be 'washed out' in an ordinary polar plot. For a fuller example of this approach see Uria-Tellaetxe and Carslaw (2014).

Note that it is easy to work out what the concentration intervals are for the percentiles shown in Figure 8.8:

```
quantile(mydata$so2, probs = seq(0, 1, by = 0.1), na.rm = TRUE)
## 0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%
## 0.0 1.0 1.9 2.5 3.2 4.0 4.9 5.9 7.2 9.2 63.2
```

To plot the Figures on one page it is necessary to make the plot objects first and then decide how to plot them. To plot the Figures in a particular layout see page 14.

#### 8.3.3 Pairwise statistics

Grange et al. (2016) further developed the capabilities of the **polarPlot** function by allowing pairwise statistics to be used. This method makes it possible to consider the relationship between two pollutants to be considered. The relationship between two pollutants often yields useful source apportionment information and when combined with the **polarPlot** function can provide enhanced information. The pairwise statistics that can be considered include':

- The Pearson correlation coefficient, r;
- The robust slope (gradient) resulting from a linear regressions between two pollutants.
- The quantile slope from a quantile regression applied to two variables with a quantile value of tau. By default the median slope (i.e. tau = 0.5) is used by the actual level can be set by the user.

The calculation involves a weighted Pearson correlation coefficient, which is weighted by Gaussian kernels for wind direction an the radial variable (by default wind speed). More weight is assigned to values close to a wind speed-direction interval. Kernel weighting is used to ensure that all data are used rather than relying on the potentially small number of values in a wind speed-direction interval.

The calculation involves a weighted statistics by Gaussian kernels for wind direction and the radial variable (by default wind speed). More weight is assigned to values close to a wind speed-direction interval. Kernel weighting is used to ensure that all data are used rather than relying on the potentially small number of values in a wind speed-direction interval.

An example usage scenario is that measurements of metal concentrations are made close to a steel works where there is interest in understanding the principal sources. While it is useful to consider the correlation between potentially many metal concentrations, the contention is that if the correlation is also considered as a function of wind speed and direction, improved information will be available of the types of sources contributing. For example, it may be that Fe and Mn are quite strongly correlated overall, but they tend to be most correlated under specific wind speed and direction ranges — suggesting a specific source origin.

As an example of usage we will consider the relationship between  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{10}$  at the rural Harwell site in Oxfordshire. Additionally, we will use meteorological data from a nearby site rather than rely on modelled values that are provided in importAURN.

```
library(worldmet) # to access met data
library(tidyverse)
har <- importAURN("har", year = 2013)
# import met data from nearby site (Benson)
met <- importNOAA(code = "036580-99999", year = 2013)
# merge AQ and met but don't use modelled ws and wd
har <- inner_join(
    select(har, -ws, -wd),
    met,
    by = "date"
)
```

An example pairwise regression surface relationship relating  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{10}$  is shown in Figure 8.9. This plot reveals that that almost all the  $PM_{10}$  is in the form



FIGURE 8.9 Use of the **polarPlot** function to investigate the linear regression slope between  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{10}$  at Harwell in 2013. In this case the robust slope is calculated.

of  $PM_{2.5}$  when the wind has an easterly component, which is attributed to the large secondary contribution likely dominated by ammonium nitrate.

A simple scatter plot between  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{10}$  strongly suggests a 1:1 relationship and it is not obvious that there is a higher  $PM_{2.5}/PM_{10}$  ratio when the wind is from the east.

#### 8.3.4 The polarCluster function for feature identification and extraction

The **polarPlot** function will often identify interesting features that would be useful to analyse further. It is possible to select areas of interest based only on a consideration of a plot. Such a selection could be based on wind direction and wind speed intervals for example e.g.

subdata <- subset(mydata, ws >3 & wd >= 180 & wd <=270)

which would select wind speeds >3 m s<sup>-1</sup> and wind directions from 180 to 270 degrees from mydata. That subset of data, subdata, could then be analysed using other functions. While this approach may be useful in many circumstances it is rather arbitrary. In fact, the choice of 'interesting feature' in the first place can even depend on the colour scale used, which is not very robust. Furthermore, many interesting patterns can be difficult to select and won't always fall into convenient intervals of other variables such as wind speed and direction.

A better approach is to use a method that can select group similar features together. One such approach is to use *cluster analysis*. **openair** uses k-means clustering as a way in which bivariate polar plot features can be identified and grouped. The main purpose of grouping data in this way is to identify records in the original time series data by cluster to enable post-processing to better understand potential source characteristics. The process of grouping data in k-means clustering proceeds as follows. First, k points are randomly chosen form the space represented by the objects that are being clustered into k groups. These points represent initial group centroids. Each object is assigned to the group that has the closest centroid. When all objects have been assigned, the positions of the k centroids is re-calculated. The previous two steps are repeated until the centroids no longer move. This produces a separation of the objects into groups from which the metric to be minimised can be calculated.

Central to the idea of clustering data is the concept of distance i.e. some measure of similarity or dissimilarity between points. Clusters should be comprised of points separated by small distances relative to the distance between the clusters. Careful consideration is required to define the distance measure used because the effectiveness of clustering itself fundamentally depends on its choice. The similarity of concentrations shown in Figure 8.1 for example is determined by three variables: the u and v wind components and the concentration. All three variables are equally important in characterising the concentration-location information, but they exist on different scales i.e. a wind speed-direction measure and a concentration. Let  $X = \{x_i\}, i = 1, ..., n$  be a set of n points to be clustered into K clusters,  $C = \{c_k, k = 1, ..., K\}$ . The basic k-means algorithm for K clusters is obtained by minimising:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{x_i \in c_k} ||x_i - \mu_k||^2$$
(4)

where  $||x_i - \mu_k||^2$  is a chosen distance measure,  $\mu_k$  is the mean of cluster  $c_k$ . The distance measure is defined as the Euclidean distance:

$$d_{x,y} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{J} (x_j - y_j)^2\right)^{1/2}$$
(5)

Where  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are two J-dimensional vectors, which have been standardized by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation. In the current case J is of length three i.e. the wind components u and v and the concentration C, each of which is standardized e.g.:

$$x_{j} = \left(\frac{x_{j} - \overline{x}}{\sigma_{x}}\right) \tag{6}$$

Standardization is necessary because the wind components u and v are on different scales to the concentration. In principle, more weight could be given to the concentration rather than the u and v components, although this would tend to identify clusters with similar concentrations but different source origins.

**polarCluster** can be thought of as the 'local' version of clustering of back trajectories. Rather than using air mass origins, wind speed, wind direction and concentration are used to group similar conditions together. Section 19.3 provides the details of clustering back trajectories in **openair**. A fuller description of the clustering approach is described in Carslaw and Beevers (2013).

The polarCluster function has the following options.

mydata A data frame minimally containing wd, another variable to plot in polar coordinates (the default is a column "ws" — wind speed) and a pollutant. Should also contain date if plots by time period are required.

- pollutant Mandatory. A pollutant name corresponding to a variable in a data frame should be supplied e.g. pollutant = "nox". Only one pollutant can be chosen.
- x Name of variable to plot against wind direction in polar coordinates, the default is wind speed, "ws".
- wd Name of wind direction field.
- n.clusters Number of clusters to use. If n.clusters is more than length 1, then a lattice panel plot will be output showing the clusters identified for each one of n.clusters.
- cols
  Colours to be used for plotting. Useful options for categorical data are avilable from RColorBrewer colours see the openair openColours function for more details. Useful schemes include "Accent", "Dark2", "Paired", "Pastel1", "Pastel2", "Set1", "Set2", "Set3" but see ?brewer .pal for the maximum useful colours in each. For user defined the user can supply a list of colour names recognised by R (type colours() to see the full list). An example would be cols = c("yellow", "green", "blue").
- angle.scale The wind speed scale is by default shown at a 315 degree angle. Sometimes the placement of the scale may interfere with an interesting feature. The user can therefore set angle.scale to another value (between o and 360 degrees) to mitigate such problems. For example angle.scale
  45 will draw the scale heading in a NE direction.
- units The units shown on the polar axis scale.
- auto.text Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE titles and axis labels will automatically try and format pollutant names and units properly e.g. by subscripting the '2' in NO2.
- ... Other graphical parameters passed onto polarPlot, lattice:levelplot and cutData. Common axis and title labelling options (such as xlab, ylab, main) are passed via quickText to handle routine formatting.

The use of the **polarCluster** is very similar to the use of all **openair** functions. While there are many techniques available to try and find the optimum number of clusters, it is difficult for these approaches to work in a consistent way for identifying features in bivariate polar plots. For this reason it is best to consider a range of solutions that covers a number of clusters.

Cluster analysis is computationally intensive and the **polarCluster** function can take a comparatively long time to run. The basic idea is to calculate the solution to several cluster levels and then choose one that offers the most appropriate solution for post-processing.

The example given below is for concentrations of  $SO_2$ , shown in Figure 8.3 and the aim is to identify features in that plot. A range of numbers of clusters will be calculated — in this case from two to ten.

The real benefit of **polarCluster** is being able to identify clusters in the original data frame. To do this, the results from the analysis must be read into a new variable, as in Figure 8.11, where the results are read into a data frame **results**. Now it is possible to use this new information. In the 8-cluster solution to Figure 8.11, cluster 6 seems to



polarCluster(mydata, pollutant="so2", n.clusters=2:10, cols= "Set2")

FIGURE 8.10 Use of the **polarCluster** function applied to  $SO_2$  concentrations at Marylebone Road. In this case 2 to 10 clusters have been chosen.

capture the elevated  $SO_2$  concentrations to the east well (see Figure 8.3 for comparison), while cluster 5 will strongly represent the road contribution.

The results are here:

```
results <- polarCluster(mydata, pollutant="so2", n.clusters=8, cols= "Set2")</pre>
```



FIGURE 8.11 Use of the **polarCluster** function applied to  $SO_2$  concentrations at Marylebone Road. In this case 8 clusters have been chosen.

```
head(results[["data"]])
```

##		.id		date	WS	wd	nox	no2	о3	pm10	so2	CO	pm25	ws2	wd2
##	1	1	1998-01-01	00:00:00	0.6	280	285	39	1	29	4.7	3.37	NA	4.0	259
##	2	10	1998-01-01	09:00:00	4.0	170	113	39	2	12	2.9	1.21	NA	5.6	209
##	3	100	1998-01-05	03:00:00	8.8	240	53	24	16	12	1.6	0.54	NA	11.9	386
##	4	1000	1998-02-11	15:00:00	7.2	230	372	92	2	49	8.6	3.72	NA	7.4	236
##	5	10000	1999-02-21	15:00:00	6.4	290	71	32	20	10	2.6	1.05	8	7.1	334
##	6	10001	1999-02-21	16:00:00	7.7	290	85	39	16	12	2.9	1.48	9	9.6	305
##		ratio	cluster												
##	1	0.017	4												
##	2	0.026	5												
##	3	0.030	4												
##	4	0.023	4												
##	5	0.037	7												
##	6	0.034	7												

Note that there is an additional column **cluster** that gives the cluster a particular row belongs to and that this is a *character* variable. It might be easier to read these results into a new data frame:

```
results <- results[["data"]]</pre>
```

It is easy to find out how many points are in each cluster:

```
table(results[, "cluster"])
##
## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
## 206 412 160 24133 16049 2590 7839 2918
```

Now other **openair** analysis functions can be used to analyse the results. For example, to consider the temporal variations by cluster:



FIGURE 8.12 Temporal variation in daily  $SO_2$  concentration at the Marylebone Road site show by contribution of each cluster for 2003.

Or if we just want to plot a couple of clusters (5 and 6) *using the same colours* as in Figure 8.11:

```
timeVariation(subset(results, cluster %in% c("C5", "C6")), pollutant="so2",
            group = "cluster", col = openColours("Set2", 8)[5:6], lwd = 3)
```

**polarCluster** will work on any surface that can be plotted by **polarPlot** e.g. the radial variable does not have to be wind speed but could be another variable such as temperature. While it is not always possible for **polarCluster** to identify all features in a surface it certainly makes it easier to post-process **polarPlots** using other **openair** functions or indeed other analyses altogether.

Another useful way of understanding the clusters is to use the timeProp function, which can display a time series as a bar chart split by a categorical variable (in this case the cluster). In this case it is useful to plot the time series of SO<sub>2</sub> and show how much of the concentration is contributed to by each cluster. Such a plot is shown in Figure 8.12. It is now easy to see for example that many of the peaks in SO<sub>2</sub> are associated with cluster 6 (power station sources from the east), seen in Figure 8.11. Cluster 6 is particularly prominent during springtime, but those sources also make important contributions through the whole year.

## 9 The polarAnnulus function

## 9.1 Purpose

see also polarFreq polarPlot percentileRose pollutionRose

The **polarAnnulus** function provides a way in which to consider the temporal aspects of a pollutant concentration by wind direction. This is another means of visualising diurnal, day of week, seasonal and trend variations. Plotting as an annulus, rather than a circle avoids to some extent the difficulty in interpreting values close to the origin. These plots have the capacity to display potentially important information regarding sources; particularly if more than one pollutant is available.

#### 9.2 Options available

The **polarAnnulus** function has the following options:

- mydata A data frame minimally containing date, wd and a pollutant.
- pollutant Mandatory. A pollutant name corresponding to a variable in a data frame should be supplied e.g. pollutant = "nox". There can also be more than one pollutant specified e.g. pollutant = c("nox", "no2"). The main use of using two or more pollutants is for model evaluation where two species would be expected to have similar concentrations. This saves the user stacking the data and it is possible to work with columns of data directly. A typical use would be pollutant = c("obs", "mod") to compare two columns "obs" (the observations) and "mod" (modelled values).

resolution Two plot resolutions can be set: "normal" and "fine" (the default).

- local.tz Should the results be calculated in local time that includes a treatment of daylight savings time (DST)? The default is not to consider DST issues, provided the data were imported without a DST offset. Emissions activity tends to occur at local time e.g. rush hour is at 8 am every day. When the clocks go forward in spring, the emissions are effectively released into the atmosphere typically 1 hour earlier during the summertime i.e. when DST applies. When plotting diurnal profiles, this has the effect of "smearing-out" the concentrations. Sometimes, a useful approach is to express time as local time. This correction tends to produce better-defined diurnal profiles of concentration (or other variables) and allows a better comparison to be made with emissions/activity data. If set to FALSE then GMT is used. Examples of usage include local.tz = "Europe/London", local.tz = "America/New\_York". See cutData and import for more details.
- period This determines the temporal period to consider. Options are "hour" (the default, to plot diurnal variations), "season" to plot variation throughout the year, "weekday" to plot day of the week variation and "trend" to plot the trend by wind direction.
- type determines how the data are split i.e. conditioned, and then plotted. The default is will produce a single plot using the entire data. Type can be one of the built-in types as detailed in cutData e.g. "season", "year", "weekday" and so on. For example, type = "season" will produce four plots — one for each season.

It is also possible to choose type as another variable in the data frame. If that variable is numeric, then the data will be split into four quantiles (if possible) and labelled accordingly. If type is an existing character or factor variable, then those categories/levels will be used directly. This offers great flexibility for understanding the variation of different variables and how they depend on one another.

Type can be up length two e.g. type = c("season", "site") will produce a 2x2 plot split by season and site. The use of two types is mostly meant for situations where there are several sites. Note, when two types are provided the first forms the columns and the second the rows. Also note that for the polarAnnulus function some type/period combinations are forbidden or make little sense. For example, type = "season" and period = "trend" (which would result in a plot with too many gaps in it for sensible smoothing), or type = "weekday" and period = "weekday".

- statistic The statistic that should be applied to each wind speed/direction bin. Can be "mean" (default), "median", "max" (maximum), "frequency". "stdev" (standard deviation), "weighted.mean" or "cpf" (Conditional Probability Function). Because of the smoothing involved, the colour scale for some of these statistics is only to provide an indication of overall pattern and should not be interpreted in concentration units e.g. for statistic = "weighted.mean" where the bin mean is multiplied by the bin frequency and divided by the total frequency. In many cases using polarFreq will be better. Setting statistic = "weighted.mean" can be useful because it provides an indication of the concentration \* frequency of occurrence and will highlight the wind speed/direction conditions that dominate the overall mean.
- percentile If statistic = "percentile" or statistic = "cpf" then percentile is used, expressed from 0 to 100. Note that the percentile value is cal- culated in the wind speed, wind direction 'bins'. For this reason it can also be useful to set min.bin to ensure there are a sufficient number of points available to estimate a percentile. See quantile for more details of how percentiles are calculated.
- **limits** Limits for colour scale.
- cols
  Colours to be used for plotting. Options include "default", "increment", "heat", "jet" and user defined. For user defined the user can supply a list of colour names recognised by R (type colours() to see the full list). An example would be cols = c("yellow", "green", "blue")
- width The width of the annulus; can be "normal" (the default), "thin" or "fat".
- min.bin The minimum number of points allowed in a wind speed/wind direction bin. The default is 1. A value of two requires at least 2 valid records in each bin an so on; bins with less than 2 valid records are set to NA. Care should be taken when using a value > 1 because of the risk of removing real data points. It is recommended to consider your data with care. Also, the polarFreq function can be of use in such circumstances.
- exclude.missing Setting this option to TRUE (the default) removes points from the plot that are too far from the original data. The smoothing routines will produce predictions at points where no data exist i.e. they predict. By removing the points too far from the original data produces a plot where it is clear where the original data lie. If set to FALSE missing data will be interpolated.
- date.pad For type = "trend" (default), date.pad = TRUE will pad-out missing data to the beginning of the first year and the end of the last year. The purpose is to ensure that the trend plot begins and ends at the beginning or end of year.

force.positive The default is TRUE. Sometimes if smoothing data with steep gradients it is possible for predicted values to be negative. force.positive = TRUE ensures that predictions remain postive. This is useful for several reasons. First, with lots of missing data more interpolation is needed and this can result in artifacts because the predictions are too far from the original data. Second, if it is known beforehand that the data are all postive, then this option carries that assumption through to the prediction. The only likely time where setting force.positive = FALSE would be if background concentrations were first subtracted resulting in data that is legitimately negative. For the vast majority of situations it is expected that the user will not need to alter the default option.

- k The smoothing value supplied to gam for the temporal and wind direction components, respectively. In some cases e.g. a trend plot with less than 1-year of data the smoothing with the default values may become too noisy and affected more by outliers. Choosing a lower value of k (say 10) may help produce a better plot.
- normalise If TRUE concentrations are normalised by dividing by their mean value. This is done *after* fitting the smooth surface. This option is particularly useful if one is interested in the patterns of concentrations for several pollutants on different scales e.g. NOx and CO. Often useful if more than one pollutant is chosen.
- key.header Adds additional text/labels to the scale key. For example, passing the options key.header = "header", key.footer = "footer1" adds addition text above and below the scale key. These arguments are passed to drawOpenKey via quickText, applying the auto.text argument, to handle formatting.
- key.footer seekey.header.
- key.position Location where the scale key is to plotted. Allowed arguments currently include "top", "right", "bottom" and "left".
- key Fine control of the scale key via drawOpenKey. See drawOpenKey for further details.
- auto.text Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE titles and axis labels will automatically try and format pollutant names and units properly e.g. by subscripting the '2' in NO2.
- ... Other graphical parameters passed onto lattice:levelplot and cutData. For example, polarAnnulus passes the option hemisphere = "southern" on to cutData to provide southern (rather than default northern) hemisphere handling of type = "season". Similarly, common axis and title labelling options (such as xlab, ylab, main) are passed to levelplot via quickText to handle routine formatting.

## 9.3 Example of use

We apply the four variations of the **polarAnnulus** plot to  $PM_{10}$  concentrations at Marylebone Road. Figure 9.1 shows the different temporal components. Similar to other analyses for  $PM_{10}$ , the trend plot show that concentrations are dominated by

southerly winds and there is little overall change in concentrations from 1998 to 2005, as shown by the red colouring over the period. The seasonal plot shows that February/March is important for easterly winds, while the summer/late summer period is more important for southerly and south-westerly winds. The day of the week plot clearly shows concentrations to be elevated for during weekdays but not weekends — for all wind directions. Finally, the diurnal plot highlights that higher concentrations are observed from 6 am to 6 pm.

Interestingly, the plot for  $NO_x$  and CO (not shown, but easily produced) did not show such a strong contribution for south-easterly winds. This raises the question as to whether the higher particle concentrations seen for these wind directions are dominated by different sources (i.e. not the road itself). One explanation is that during easterly flow, concentrations are strongly affected by long-range transport. However, as shown in the diurnal plot in Figure 9.1, the contribution from the south-east also has a sharply defined profile — showing very low concentrations at night, similar to the likely contribution from the road. This type of profile might not be expected from a long-range source where emissions are well-mixed and secondary particle formation has had time to occur. The same is also true for the day of the week plot, where there is little evidence of 'smeared-out' long-range transport sources. These findings may suggest a different, local source of PM<sub>10</sub> that is not the road itself. Clearly, a more detailed analysis would be required to confirm the patterns shown; but it does highlight the benefit of being able to analyse data in different ways.

Where there is interest in considering the wind direction dependence of concentrations, it can be worth filtering for wind speeds. At low wind speed with wind direction becomes highly variable (and is often associated with high pollutant concentrations). Therefore, for some situations it might be worth considering removing the very low wind speeds. The code below provides two ways of doing this using the **subset** function. The first selects data where the wind speed is  $> 2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . The second part shows how to select wind speeds greater than the 10th percentile, using the **quantile** function. The latter way of selecting is quite useful, because it is known how much data are selected i.e. in this case 90 %. It is worth experimenting with different values because it is also important not to lose information by ignoring wind speeds that provide useful information.

```
data(mydata)
polarAnnulus(mydata, poll = "pm10", period = "trend", main = "Trend")
polarAnnulus(mydata, poll = "pm10", period = "season", main = "Season")
polarAnnulus(mydata, poll = "pm10", period = "weekday", main = "Weekday")
polarAnnulus(mydata, poll = "pm10", period = "hour", main = "Hour")
Trend Season
```



FIGURE 9.1 Examples of the polarAnnulus function applied to Marylebone Road

## 10 The timePlot function

### 10.1 Purpose

see also smoothTrend TheilSen timeVariation scatterPlot

The timePlot function is designed to quickly plot time series of data, perhaps for several pollutants or variables. This is, or should be, a very common task in the analysis of air pollution. In doing so, it is helpful to be able to plot several pollutants at the same time (and maybe other variables) and quickly choose the time periods of interest. It will plot time series of type Date and hourly and high time resolution data.

The function offers fine control over many of the plot settings such as line type, colour and width. If more than one pollutant is selected, then the time series are shown in a compact way in different panels with different scales. Sometimes it is useful to get and idea of whether different variables 'go up and down' together. Such comparisons in timePlot are made easy by setting group = TRUE, and maybe also normalise = "mean". The latter setting divides each variable by its mean value, thus enabling several variables to be plotted together using *the same scale*. The normalise option will also take a date as a string (in British format dd/mm/YYYY), in which case all data are normalise to equal 100 at that time. Normalising data like this makes it easy to

compare time series on different scales e.g. emissions and ambient measurements.

timePlot works very well in conjunction with selectByDate, which makes it easy to select specific time series intervals. See (§24.1) for examples of how to select parts of a data frame based on the date.

Another useful feature of timePlot is the ability to average the data in several ways. This makes it easy, for example, to plot daily or monthly means from hourly data, or hourly means from 15-minute data. See the option avg.time for more details and (§24.4) where a full description of time averaging of data frames is given.

### 10.2 Options available

- mydata A data frame of time series. Must include a date field and at least one variable to plot.
- pollutant Name of variable to plot. Two or more pollutants can be plotted, in which case a form like pollutant = c("nox", "co") should be used.
- **group** If more than one pollutant is chosen, should they all be plotted on the same graph together? The default is FALSE, which means they are plotted in separate panels with their own scaled. If TRUE then they are plotted on the same plot with the same scale.
- stack If TRUE the time series will be stacked by year. This option can be useful if there are several years worth of data making it difficult to see much detail when plotted on a single plot.
- normalise Should variables be normalised? The default is is not to normalise the data. normalise can take two values, either "mean" or a string representing a date in UK format e.g. "1/1/1998" (in the format dd/mm/YYYY). If normalise = "mean" then each time series is divided by its mean value. If a date is chosen, then values at that date are set to 100 and the rest of the data scaled accordingly. Choosing a date (say at the beginning of a time series) is very useful for showing how trends diverge over time. Setting group = TRUE is often useful too to show all time series together in one panel.
- avg.time This defines the time period to average to. Can be "sec", "min", "hour", "day", "DSTday", "week", "month", "quarter" or "year". For much increased flexibility a number can precede these options followed by a space. For example, a timeAverage of 2 months would be period = "2 month". See function timeAverage for further details on this.
- data.thresh The data capture threshold to use (%) when aggregating the data using avg.time. A value of zero means that all available data will be used in a particular period regardless if of the number of values available. Conversely, a value of 100 will mean that all data will need to be present for the average to be calculated, else it is recorded as NA. Not used if avg.time = "default".
- statistic The statistic to apply when aggregating the data; default is the mean. Can be one of "mean", "max", "min", "median", "frequency", "sd", "percentile". Note that "sd" is the standard deviation and "frequency" is the number (frequency) of valid records in the period. "percentile" is the percentile level (%) between 0-100, which can be set using the "percentile" option - see below. Not used if avg.time = "default".

- percentile The percentile level in % used when statistic = "percentile" and when aggregating the data with avg.time. More than one percentile level is allowed for type = "default" e.g. percentile = c(50, 95). Not used if avg.time = "default".
- date.pad Should missing data be padded-out? This is useful where a data frame consists of two or more "chunks" of data with time gaps between them. By setting date.pad = TRUE the time gaps between the chunks are shown properly, rather than with a line connecting each chunk. For irregular data, set to FALSE. Note, this should not be set for type other than default.
- type determines how the data are split i.e. conditioned, and then plotted. The default is will produce a single plot using the entire data. Type can be one of the built-in types as detailed in cutData e.g. "season", "year", "weekday" and so on. For example, type = "season" will produce four plots — one for each season.

It is also possible to choose **type** as another variable in the data frame. If that variable is numeric, then the data will be split into four quantiles (if possible) and labelled accordingly. If type is an existing character or factor variable, then those categories/levels will be used directly. This offers great flexibility for understanding the variation of different variables and how they depend on one another.

Only one type is currently allowed in timePlot.

- plot.type The lattice plot type, which is a line (plot.type = "l") by default. Another useful option is plot.type = "h", which draws vertical lines.
- key Should a key be drawn? The default is TRUE.
- log Should the y-axis appear on a log scale? The default is FALSE. If TRUE a well-formatted log10 scale is used. This can be useful for plotting data for several different pollutants that exist on very different scales. It is therefore useful to use log = TRUE together with group = TRUE.
- windflow This option allows a scatter plot to show the wind speed/direction as an arrow. The option is a list e.g. windflow = list(col = "grey", lwd = 2, scale = 0.1). This option requires wind speed (ws) and wind direction (wd) to be available.

The maximum length of the arrow plotted is a fraction of the plot dimension with the longest arrow being scale of the plot x-y dimension. Note, if the plot size is adjusted manually by the user it should be re-plotted to ensure the correct wind angle. The list may contain other options to panel.arrows in the lattice package. Other useful options include length, which controls the length of the arrow head and angle, which controls the angle of the arrow head. This option works best where there are not too many data to ensure overplotting does not become a problem.

- smooth Should a smooth line be applied to the data? The default is FALSE.
- ci If a smooth fit line is applied, then ci determines whether the 95% confidence intervals are shown.
- y.relation This determines how the y-axis scale is plotted. "same" ensures all panels use the same scale and "free" will use panel-specific scales. The latter is a useful setting when plotting data with very different values.
- ref.x See ref.y for details. In this case the correct date format should be used for a vertical line e.g. ref.x = list(v = as.POSIXct("2000-06-15"), lty = 5).
- ref.y A list with details of the horizontal lines to be added representing reference line(s). For example, ref.y = list(h = 50, lty = 5) will add a dashed horizontal line at 50. Several lines can be plotted e.g. ref.y = list(h = c(50, 100), lty = c(1, 5), col = c("green", "blue")). See panel.abline in the lattice package for more details on adding/controlling lines.
- key.columns Number of columns to be used in the key. With many pollutants a single column can make to key too wide. The user can thus choose to use several columns by setting columns to be less than the number of pollutants.
- key.position Location where the scale key is to plotted. Can include "top", "bottom", "right" and "left".
- name.pol This option can be used to give alternative names for the variables plotted. Instead of taking the column headings as names, the user can supply replacements. For example, if a column had the name "nox" and the user wanted a different description, then setting name.pol = "nox before change" can be used. If more than one pollutant is plotted then use c e.g. name.pol = c("nox here", "o3 there").
- date.breaks Number of major x-axis intervals to use. The function will try and choose a sensible number of dates/times as well as formatting the date/time appropriately to the range being considered. This does not always work as desired automatically. The user can therefore increase or decrease the number of intervals by adjusting the value of date.breaks up or down.
- date.format This option controls the date format on the x-axis. While timePlot
   generally sets the date format sensibly there can be some situations where
   the user wishes to have more control. For format types see strptime.
   For example, to format the date like "Jan-2012" set date.format = "%b%Y".
- auto.text Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE titles and axis labels will automatically try and format pollutant names and units properly e.g. by subscripting the '2' in NO2.
- ... Other graphical parameters are passed onto cutData and lattice:xyplot. For example, timePlot passes the option hemisphere = "southern"

on to cutData to provide southern (rather than default northern) hemisphere handling of type = "season". Similarly, most common plotting parameters, such as layout for panel arrangement and pch and cex for plot symbol type and size and lty and lwd for line type and width, as passed to xyplot, although some maybe locally managed by openair on route, e.g. axis and title labelling options (such as xlab, ylab, main) are passed via quickText to handle routine formatting. See examples below.

## 10.3 Example of use

A full set of examples is shown in the help pages — see ?timePlot for details. At the basic level, concentrations are shown using a simple call e.g. to plot time series of  $NO_x$  and  $O_3$  in separate panels with their own scales.

timePlot(mydata, pollutant = c("nox", "o3"), y.relation = "free")

Often it is necessary to only consider part of a time series and using the **openair** function **selectByDate** makes it easy to do this. Some examples are shown below. To plot data only for 2003:

```
timePlot(selectByDate(mydata, year = 2003), pollutant = c("nox", "o3"), y.relation = "free")
```

Plots for several pollutants for August 2003, are shown in Figure 10.1. Some other examples (not plotted) are:

An example of normalising data is shown in Figure 10.2. In this plot we have:

- Averaged the data to annual means;
- Chosen to normalise to the beginning of 2008;
- Set the line width to 4 and the line type to 1 (continuous line);
- Chosen to group the data in one panel.

Figure 10.2 shows that concentrations of  $NO_2$  and  $O_3$  have increased over the period 1998–2005;  $SO_2$  and CO have shown the greatest reductions (by about 60%), whereas  $NO_r$  concentrations have decreased by about 20%.

Another example is grouping pollutants from *several sites* on one plot. It is easy to import data from several sites and to plot the data in separate panels e.g.



FIGURE 10.1 Time series for several variables using the timePlot and the selectByDate functions. The data shown are for August 2003.

```
## import data from 3 sites
thedata <- importAURN(site = c("kc1", "my1", "nott"), year = 2005:2010)
## plot it
timePlot(thedata, pollutant = "nox", type = "site", avg.time = "month")</pre>
```

Using the code above it is also possible to include several species. But what if we wanted to plot  $NO_x$  concentrations across all sites in one panel? An example of how to do this is shown below. Note, in order to make referring to the columns easier, we will drop the full (long) site name and use the site code instead.



FIGURE 10.2 An example of normalising time series data to fix values to equal 100 at the beginning of 1998.

```
## first drop site name
thedata <- subset(thedata, select = -site)
## now reshape the data using the reshape package
thedata <- melt(thedata, id.vars = c("date", "code"))
thedata <- dcast(thedata, ... ~ code + variable)</pre>
```

The final step will make columns of each site/pollutant combination e.g. 'KC1\_nox', 'KC1\_pm10' and so on. It is then easy to use any of these names to make the plot:

An alternative way of selecting all columns containing the character 'nox' is to use the grep command. For example:

If wind speed (ws) and wind direction (wd) are available they can be used in plots and shown as 'wind vectors'. Plotting data in this way conveys more information in an easy to understand way, which works best for relatively short time periods e.g. a pollution episode lasting a few days. As an example Figure 10.3 shows the first 48 hours of  $NO_x$ and  $NO_2$  data with wind arrows shown. The arrows are controlled by a list of option that control the length, shape and colour of the arrows. The maximum length of the arrow plotted is a fraction of the plot dimension with the longest arrow being scale of the plot x-y dimension. Note, if the plot size is adjusted manually by the user it should be re-plotted to ensure the correct wind angle. The list may contain other options to panel.arrows in the lattice package. Other useful options include length, which controls the length of the arrow head and angle, which controls the angle of the arrow



FIGURE 10.3 An example of using the windflow option in timePlot.

head. Wind vector arrows can also be used with the scatterPlot function.

## 11 The timeProp function

The timeProp ('time proportion') function shows time series plots as stacked bar charts. For a particular time, proportions of a chosen variable are shown as a stacked bar chart. The different categories in the bar chart are made up from a character or factor variable in a data frame. The function is primarily developed to support the plotting of cluster analysis output from polarCluster (see Section 8) and trajCluster (see Section 19.3) that consider local and regional (back trajectory) cluster analysis respectively. However, the function has more general use for understanding time series data. In order to plot time series in this way, some sort of time aggregation is needed, which is controlled by the option avg.time.

The plot shows the value of **pollutant** on the y-axis (averaged according to **avg.time**). The time intervals are made up of bars split according to **proportion**. The bars therefore show how the total value of **pollutant** is made up for any time interval.

The timeProp function has the following options:

mydata	A data frame containing the fields date, pollutant and a splitting var	ri-
	able proportion	

- pollutant Name of the pollutant to plot contained in mydata.
- proportion The splitting variable that makes up the bars in the bar chart e.g. proportion = "cluster" if the output from polarCluster is being analysed. If proportion is a numeric variable it is split into 4 quantiles (by default) by cutData. If proportion is a factor or character variable then the categories are used directly.

avg.time This defines the time period to average to. Can be "sec", "min", "hour", "day", "DSTday", "week", "month", "quarter" or "year". For much increased flexibility a number can precede these options followed by a space. For example, a timeAverage of 2 months would be period = "2 month". In addition, avg.time can equal "season", in which case 3-month seasonal values are calculated with spring defined as March, April, May and so on.

Note that avg.time when used in timeProp should be greater than the time gap in the original data. For example, avg.time = "day" for hourly data is OK, but avg.time = "hour" for daily data is not.

type determines how the data are split i.e. conditioned, and then plotted. The default is will produce a single plot using the entire data. Type can be one of the built-in types as detailed in cutData e.g. "season", "year", "weekday" and so on. For example, type = "season" will produce four plots — one for each season.

> It is also possible to choose **type** as another variable in the data frame. If that variable is numeric, then the data will be split into four quantiles (if possible) and labelled accordingly. If type is an existing character or factor variable, then those categories/levels will be used directly. This offers great flexibility for understanding the variation of different variables and how they depend on one another.

type must be of length one.

- statistic Determines how the bars are calculated. The default ("mean") will provide the contribution to the overall mean for a time interval. statistic = "frequency" will give the proportion in terms of counts.
- **normalise** If **normalise** = **TRUE** then each time interval is scaled to 100. This is helpful to show the relative (percentage) contribution of the proportions.
- date.breaks Number of major x-axis intervals to use. The function will try and choose a sensible number of dates/times as well as formatting the date/time appropriately to the range being considered. This does not always work as desired automatically. The user can therefore increase or decrease the number of intervals by adjusting the value of date.breaks up or down.
- date.format This option controls the date format on the x-axis. While timePlot
   generally sets the date format sensibly there can be some situations where
   the user wishes to have more control. For format types see strptime.
   For example, to format the date like "Jan-2012" set date.format = "%b-%Y".
- key.columns Number of columns to be used in the key. With many pollutants a single column can make to key too wide. The user can thus choose to use several columns by setting columns to be less than the number of pollutants.



FIGURE 11.1 timeProp plot for  $SO_2$  concentrations in 2003. The data are categorised into 8 wind sectors for 3-day averages.

- key.position Location where the scale key is to plotted. Allowed arguments currently include "top", "right", "bottom" and "left".
- **key.title** The title of the key.
- auto.text Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE titles and axis labels etc. will automatically try and format pollutant names and units properly e.g. by subscripting the '2' in NO2.
- ... Other graphical parameters passed onto timeProp and cutData. For example, timeProp passes the option hemisphere = "southern" on to cutData to provide southern (rather than default northern) hemisphere handling of type = "season". Similarly, common axis and title labelling options (such as xlab, ylab, main) are passed to xyplot via quickText to handle routine formatting.

An example of the timeProp function is shown in Figure 11.1. In this example  $SO_2$  concentrations are considered for 2003 (using the selectByDate function). The averaging period is set to 3 days and the mean concentration is plotted and the proportion contribution by wind sector is given. Other options are chosen to place the key at the top and choose the number of columns used in the key. It is apparent from Figure 11.1 that the highest  $SO_2$  concentrations are dominated by winds from an easterly sector, but actually occur throughout the year.

Note that proportion can be an existing categorical (i.e. factor or character) variable in a data frame. If a numeric variable is supplied, then it is typically cut into four quantile levels. So, for example, the plot below would show four intervals of wind speed, which would help show the wind speed conditions that control high  $SO_2$  concentration — and importantly, when they occur.

One of the key uses of timeProp is to post-process cluster analysis data. Users should consider the uses of timeProp for cluster analysis shown in Section 8 and Section 19.3. In both these cases the cluster analysis yields a categorical output directly i.e. cluster, which lends itself to analysis using timeProp.

```
timeProp(selectByDate(mydata, year = 2003),
    pollutant = "so2",
    avg.time = "3 day",
    proportion = "ws", date.breaks = 10,
    key.position = "top", key.columns = 4)
```

# 12 The calendarPlot function

## 12.1 Purpose

Sometimes it is useful to visualise data in a familiar way. Calendars are the obvious way to represent data for data on the time scale of days or months. The calendarPlot function provides an effective way to visualise data in this way by showing daily concentrations laid out in a calendar format. The concentration of a species is shown by its colour. The data can be shown in different ways. By default calendarPlot overlays the day of the month. However, if wind speed and wind direction are available then an arrow can be shown for each day giving the vector-averaged wind direction. In addition, the arrow can be scaled according to the wind speed to highlight both the direction and strength of the wind on a particular day, which can help show the influence of meteorology on pollutant concentrations.

calendarPlot can also show the daily mean concentration as a number on each day and can be extended to highlight those conditions where daily mean (or maximum etc.) concentrations are above a particular threshold. This approach is useful for highlighting daily air quality limits e.g. when the daily mean concentration is greater than  $50 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ .

The calendarPlot function can also be used to plot categorical scales. This is useful for plotting concentrations expressed as an air quality index i.e. intervals of concentrations that are expressed in ways like 'very good', 'good', 'poor' and so on.

## 12.2 Options available

mydata	A data frame minimally containing date and at least one other numeric variable. The date should be in either Date format or class POSIXct.
pollutant	Mandatory. A pollutant name corresponding to a variable in a data frame should be supplied e.g. pollutant = "nox".
year	Year to plot e.g. year = 2003. If not supplied all data potentially spanning several years will be plotted.
month	If only certain month are required. By default the function will plot an entire year even if months are missing. To only plot certain months use the month option where month is a numeric 1:12 e.g. month $= c(1, 12)$ to only plot January and December.
type	Not yet implemented.
annotate	This option controls what appears on each day of the calendar. Can be: "date" — shows day of the month; "wd" — shows vector-averaged wind direction, or "ws" — shows vector-averaged wind direction scaled by wind speed. Finally it can be "value" which shows the daily mean value.

statistic Statistic passed to timeAverage.

cols	Colours to be used for plotting. Options include "default", "increment", "heat", "jet" and RColorBrewer colours — see the openair openColours function for more details. For user defined the user can supply a list of colour names recognised by R (type colours() to see the full list). An example would be cols = c("yellow", "green", "blue")
limits	Use this option to manually set the colour scale limits. This is useful in the case when there is a need for two or more plots and a consistent scale is needed on each. Set the limits to cover the maximimum range of the data for all plots of interest. For example, if one plot had data covering $o-6o$ and another $o-100$ , then set limits = $c(0, 100)$ . Note that data will be ignored if outside the limits range.
lim	A threshold value to help differentiate values above and below lim. It is used when annotate = "value". See next few options for control over the labels used.
col.lim	For the annotation of concentration labels on each day. The first sets the colour of the text below lim and the second sets the colour of the text above lim.
col.arrow	The colour of the annotated wind direction / wind speed arrows.
font.lim	For the annotation of concentration labels on each day. The first sets the font of the text below lim and the second sets the font of the text above lim. Note that font = 1 is normal text and font = 2 is bold text.
cex.lim	For the annotation of concentration labels on each day. The first sets the size of the text below lim and the second sets the size of the text above lim.
digits	The number of digits used to display concentration values when annotate = "value".
data.thres	<ul> <li>b Data capture threshold passed to timeAverage. For example, data.thresh</li> <li>75 means that at least 75% of the data must be available in a day for the value to be calculate, else the data is removed.</li> </ul>
labels	If a categorical scale is required then these labels will be used. Note there is one less label than break. For example, labels = c("good", "bad", "very bad"). breaks must also be supplied if labels are given.
breaks	If a categorical scale is required then these breaks will be used. For example, $breaks = c(0, 50, 100, 1000)$ . In this case "good" corresponds to values between 0 and 50 and so on. Users should set the maximum value of $breaks$ to exceed the maximum data value to ensure it is within the maximum final range e.g. 100-1000 in this case.
w.shift	Controls the order of the days of the week. By default the plot shows Saturday first (w.shift = 0). To change this so that it starts on a Monday for example, set w.shift = 2, and so on.
remove.emp	ty Should months with no data present be removed? Default is TRUE.

main The plot title; default is pollutant and year.

key.header	Adds additional text/labels to the scale key. For example, passing calendarPlot(mydata
	<pre>key.header = "header", key.footer = "footer") adds addition</pre>
	text above and below the scale key. These arguments are passed to
	drawOpenKey via quickText, applying the auto.text argument, to han-
	dle formatting.

key.footer seekey.header.

- key.position Location where the scale key is to plotted. Allowed arguments currently include "top", "right", "bottom" and "left".
- key Fine control of the scale key via draw0penKey. See draw0penKey for further details.
- auto.text Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE titles and axis labels will automatically try and format pollutant names and units properly e.g. by subscripting the '2' in NO2.
- ... Other graphical parameters are passed onto the lattice function lattice:levelplot, with common axis and title labelling options (such as xlab, ylab, main) being passed to via quickText to handle routine formatting.

### 12.3 Example of use

The function is called in the usual way. As a minimum, a data frame, pollutant and year is required. So to show  $O_3$  concentrations for each day in 2003 (Figure 12.1). Note that if year is not supplied the full data set will be used.

It is sometimes useful to annotate the plots with other information. It is possible to show the daily mean wind angle, which can also be scaled to wind speed. The idea here being to provide some information on meteorological conditions on each day. Another useful option is to set annotate = "value" in which case the daily concentration will be shown on each day. Furthermore, it is sometimes useful to highlight particular values more clearly. For example, to highlight daily mean PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations above  $50 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ . This is where setting lim (a concentration limit) is useful. In setting lim the user can then differentiate the values below and above lim by colour of text, size of text and type of text e.g. plain and bold.

Figure 12.2 highlights those days where  $PM_{10}$  concentrations exceed 50 µg m<sup>-3</sup> by making the annotation for those days bigger, bold and orange. Plotting the data in this way clearly shows the days where  $PM_{10} > 50 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ .

Other **openair** functions can be used to plot other statistics. For example, rollingMean could be used to calculate rolling 8-hour mean  $O_3$  concentrations. Then, calendarPlot could be used with statistic = "max" to show days where the maximum daily rolling 8-hour mean  $O_3$  concentration is greater than a certain threshold e.g. 100 or 120  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>.

To show wind angle, scaled to wind speed (Figure 12.3).

Note again that **selectByDate** can be useful. For example, to plot select months:

Figure 12.4 shows an example of plotting data with a categorical scale. In this case the options labels and breaks have been used to define concentration intervals and their descriptions. Note that breaks needs to be one longer than labels. In the example in Figure 12.4 the first interval ('Very low') is defined as concentrations from 0 to 50

```
calendarPlot(mydata, pollutant = "o3", year =2003)
```

	Ja	nua	iry–	-200	)3			Fe	brua	ary-	-20	03			N	larc	:h-2	200	3		
28	29	30	31	1	2	3	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	
4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	35
18	19	20	21	22	23	24	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	
25	26	27	28	29	30	31	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	29	30	31	1	2	3	4	
S	s	М	т	w	т	F	s	s	М	т	W	т	F	s	s	М	Т	W	т	F	30
	ł	٩pri	I–2	003				I	Мау	/-20	003				J	lune	e-2	003	3		
29	30	31	1	2	3	4	26	27	28	29	30	1	2	31	1	2	3	4	5	6	
5	6	7	8	9	10	11	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	25
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	
26	27	28	29	30	1	2	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	28	29	30	1	2	3	4	
3	4	5	6	7	8	9	31	1	2	3	4	5	6	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
S	S	М	Т	W	Т	F	s	S	М	Т	W	Т	F	s	s	М	Т	W	Т	F	20
	•	July	-20	003				A	ugu	st-2	200	3			Sep	tem	nbe	r-20	003		
28	29	July 30	/-2( 1	2003 2	3	4	26	<b>A</b>	28	<b>st–</b> 29	200 30	<b>3</b> 31	1	30	31 31	tem 1	ıbe 2	r–20 3	003 4	5	
28 5	29 6	July 30 7	/-2( 1 8	2 2 9	3 10	4 11	26 2	A 27 3	28 4	st-29 5	200 <sup>30</sup>	3 31 7	1 8	30 6	31 31 7	1 1 8	1be 2 9	r-20 3 10	003 4 11	5 12	
28 5 12	29 6 13	July 30 7 14	(–2( 1 8 15	2 2 9 16	3 10 17	4 11 18	26 2 9	A 27 3 10	28 4 11	st–29 5 12	200 30 6 13	3 31 7 14	1 8 15	30 6 13	31 31 7 14	1 1 8 15	1be 2 9 16	r—20 3 10 17	2003 4 11 18	5 12 19	15
28 5 12 19	29 6 13 20	July 30 7 14 21	(-2( 1 8 15 22	2 9 16 23	3 10 17 24	4 11 18 25	26 2 9 16	Ai 27 3 10 17	28 4 11 18	st–2 29 5 12 19	200 30 6 13 20	3 31 7 14 21	1 8 15 22	30 6 13 20	Sep 31 7 14 21	tem 1 8 15 22	16 23	r—21 3 10 17 24	003 4 11 18 25	5 12 19 26	15
28 5 12 19 26	29 6 13 20 27	July 30 7 14 21 28	(-2( 1 8 15 22 29	2 9 16 23 30	3 10 17 24 31	4 11 18 25 1	26 2 9 16 23	Ai 27 3 10 17 24	28 4 11 18 25	st-29 5 12 19 26	200 30 6 13 20 27	3 31 7 14 21 28	1 8 15 22 29	30 6 13 20 27	Sep 31 7 14 21 28	tem 1 8 15 22 29	16 23 30	r-20 3 10 17 24 1	003 4 11 18 25 2	5 12 19 26 3	15
28 5 12 19 26 2	29 6 13 20 27 3	July 30 7 14 21 28 4	-2( 1 8 15 22 29 5	2 9 16 23 30 6	3 10 17 24 31 7	4 11 18 25 1 8	26 2 9 16 23 30	A 27 3 10 17 24 31	28 4 11 18 25 1	st—29 5 12 19 26 2	200 30 6 13 20 27 3	3 31 7 14 21 28 4	1 8 15 22 29 5	30 6 13 20 27 4	Sep 31 7 14 21 28 5	tem 1 8 15 22 29 6	16 23 30 7	r-20 3 10 17 24 1 8	003 4 11 18 25 2 9	5 12 19 26 3 10	15
28 5 12 19 26 2 S	29 6 13 20 27 3 3	July 30 7 14 21 28 4 M	-20 1 8 15 22 29 5 5 T	2 9 16 23 30 6 W	3 10 17 24 31 7 T	4 11 18 25 1 8 F	26 2 9 16 23 30 S	A 27 3 10 17 24 31 S	28 4 11 18 25 1 M	st-2 29 5 12 19 26 2 T	200 30 6 13 20 27 3 W	3 31 7 14 21 28 4 T	1 8 15 22 29 5 F	30 6 13 20 27 4 S	Sep 31 7 14 21 28 5 S	tem 1 8 15 22 29 6 M	16 23 30 7 T	r-20 3 10 17 24 1 8 W	003 4 11 18 25 2 9 T	5 12 19 26 3 10	15 10
28 5 12 19 26 2 S	29 6 13 20 27 3 3 S OC	July 30 7 14 21 28 4 M ctob	-20 1 8 15 22 29 5 T 0er- 0er-	2 9 16 23 30 6 W	3 10 17 24 31 7 T 30	4 11 18 25 1 8 F	26 2 9 16 23 30 <b>S</b>	A 27 3 10 17 24 31 S NOV	28 4 11 18 25 1 M /em	st-29 5 12 19 26 2 T ber	200 30 6 13 20 27 3 W -20	3 31 14 21 28 4 T 003	1 8 15 22 29 5 F	30 6 13 20 27 4 S	Sep 31 7 14 21 28 5 S Dec	tem 1 8 15 22 29 6 M	be 2 9 16 23 30 7 T be	r-2( 3 10 17 24 1 8 W -2(	003 4 11 18 25 2 9 T 003	5 12 19 26 3 10 F	15 10
28 5 12 19 26 2 S 27	29 6 13 20 27 3 3 3 5 00 28	30 7 14 21 28 4 M ctob 29	2-20 1 8 15 22 29 5 T 5 T 9 9 9 30	003 2 9 16 23 30 6 W -200 1	3 10 17 24 31 7 7 7 7 3 3 2	4 11 18 25 1 8 8 F	26 2 9 16 23 30 \$ \$ 25	A 27 3 10 17 24 31 S NOV 26	28 4 11 18 25 1 M /em	st-2 29 5 12 19 26 2 7 5 5 12 19 28	200 30 6 13 20 27 3 W -2( 29	3 31 7 14 21 28 4 T <b>D</b> 30	1 8 15 22 29 5 F	30 6 13 20 27 4 S 29	31 7 14 21 28 5 S Dec 30	tem 1 8 15 22 29 6 M Cem 1	16 23 30 7 T ber 2	r-2( 3 10 17 24 1 8 W W -2( 3	003 4 11 18 25 2 9 T 003 4	5 12 19 26 3 10 F	15 10
28 5 12 19 26 2 3 \$ 27 4	29 6 13 20 27 3 3 3 5 00 28 5	30 7 14 21 28 4 M ctob 29 6	(-2( 1 8 15 22 29 5 T 7 30 7	003 2 9 16 23 30 6 ₩ ₩ -200 1 8	3 10 17 24 31 7 7 <b>T</b> <b>)</b> 3 2 9	4 11 18 25 1 8 <b>F</b> 3 10	26 2 9 16 23 30 \$ \$ 25 1	A 27 3 10 17 24 31 31 S NOV 26 2	28 4 11 18 25 1 M /em 27 3	st-2 29 5 12 19 26 2 2 T 5 5 12 19 26 2 2 8 4	200 30 6 13 20 27 3 W -20 27 3 W 29 5	3 31 7 14 21 28 4 7 7 30 30 6	1 8 15 22 29 5 5 7 8 31	30 6 13 20 27 4 5 29 6	Sep 31 7 14 21 28 5 S Dec 30 7	terr 1 8 15 22 29 6 M cerr 1 8	2 9 16 23 30 7 T ber 2 9	r-2( 3 10 17 24 1 8 W <del>-</del> 2( 3 10	003 4 11 18 25 2 9 T 003 4 11	5 12 19 26 3 3 10 F 5 12	15
28 5 12 19 26 2 2 27 27 4 11	29 6 13 20 27 3 3 3 5 28 5 12	30 7 14 21 28 4 M ctob 29 6 13	(-2( 1 8 15 22 29 5 T 5 T 5 7 30 7 14	2 9 16 23 30 6 W -200 1 8 15	3 10 17 24 31 7 <b>T</b> <b>J</b> 3 2 9 9 16	4 11 18 25 1 8 8 F 3 10 10	26 2 9 16 23 30 30 5 25 1 1 8	A 27 3 10 17 24 31 31 S VOV 26 2 9	28 4 11 18 25 1 M 25 1 M 27 3 10	st-: 29 5 12 19 26 2 2 T 5 5 12 19 26 2 2 8 4 11	200 30 6 13 20 27 3 W -2( 29 5 12	3 31 7 14 21 28 4 7 7 7 003 30 6 13	1 8 15 22 29 5 7 8 31 7 14	30 6 13 20 27 4 3 5 29 6 13	Sep 31 7 14 21 28 5 S Dec 30 7 14	terr 1 8 15 22 29 6 M 0 8 1 8 15	2 9 16 23 30 7 T 5 5 9 16	r-2( 3 10 17 24 1 8 W -2( 3 3 10 17	003 4 11 18 25 2 9 T 003 4 11 18	5 12 19 26 3 10 F 5 12 12 19	15 10 5
28 5 12 19 26 2 2 3 5 27 4 11 18	29 6 13 20 27 3 3 3 5 28 28 28 12 19	30 7 14 21 28 4 M ctob 29 6 13 20	(-2( 1 8 15 22 29 5 T 5 T 5 7 14 21	003 2 9 16 23 30 6 W -200 1 8 15 22	3 10 17 24 31 7 <b>T</b> <b>J</b> 3 2 9 16 23	4 11 18 25 1 8 <b>F</b> 3 10 10 17 24	26 2 9 16 23 30 \$ \$ 25 1 25 1 8 15	A) 27 3 10 17 24 31 31 S NOV 26 2 9 16	28 4 11 18 25 1 M 27 3 10 17	st-2 29 5 12 19 26 2 7 T ber 28 4 11 18	200 30 6 13 20 27 3 W 2( 29 5 12 19	3 31 7 14 21 28 4 7 7 30 30 6 13 20	1 8 15 22 29 5 7 8 31 31 7 14 21	30 6 13 20 27 4 4 S 29 6 13 20	Sep 31 7 14 21 28 5 S Dec 30 7 14 21 21 21 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 27 28 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	terr 1 8 15 22 29 6 M cerr 1 8 15 22 29 6 1 22 29 6 22 29 6 22 29 6 22 29 6 22 29 6 22 29 6 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	2       9       16       23       30       7       T       ber       2       9       16       23	r-20 3 10 17 24 1 8 W C-20 3 10 17 24	<ul> <li>DO3</li> <li>4</li> <li>11</li> <li>18</li> <li>25</li> <li>2</li> <li>9</li> <li>T</li> <li>DO3</li> <li>4</li> <li>11</li> <li>18</li> <li>25</li> <li>2</li> <li>11</li> <li>18</li> <li>25</li> </ul>	5 12 19 26 3 3 10 F 7 5 12 12 19 226	15 10 5
28 5 12 19 26 2 2 3 5 27 4 11 18 25	29 6 13 20 27 3 3 5 0 0 28 28 5 12 12 19 26	30 7 14 21 28 4 M 29 6 13 20 27	(-2( 1 8 15 22 29 5 T 0 0 7 14 21 28	2003 2 9 16 23 30 6 W 200 1 8 15 22 29	3 10 17 24 31 7 <b>T</b> <b>J</b> 3 9 16 23 30	4 11 18 25 1 8 <b>F</b> 3 10 17 24 31	26 2 9 16 23 30 <b>S</b> 25 1 1 8 15 22	A 27 3 10 17 24 31 31 5 VOV 26 2 2 9 16 23	28 4 11 18 25 1 1 M 27 3 10 17 24	st-2       29       5       12       19       26       2       T       ber       28       4       11       18       25	200 30 6 13 20 27 3 W -2( 29 5 12 19 26	3 31 7 14 21 28 4 7 7 30 6 13 20 27	1 8 15 22 29 5 7 8 31 31 31 31 21 28	30 6 13 20 27 4 S 29 6 13 20 27	Sep 31 7 14 21 28 5 S Dec 30 7 14 21 21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	terr 1 8 15 22 29 6 M cerr 1 8 15 22 29 29 29 29 22 29 29 29 29	be 2 9 16 23 30 7 T <b>Der</b> 2 9 16 23 30	r-20 3 10 17 24 1 8 W -20 3 10 17 24 31 17 24 31	2003 4 11 18 25 2 9 T 003 4 11 18 25 1	5 12 19 26 3 10 F 10 5 12 19 19 26 2	15 10 5
28 5 12 19 26 2 2 3 5 27 4 11 18 25 1	29 6 13 20 27 3 3 5 28 5 12 12 19 26 2	July 30 7 14 21 28 4 M 29 6 13 20 20 27 3	-2( 1 8 15 22 29 5 T 0 0 7 14 21 28 4	2003 2 9 16 23 30 6 W -200 1 8 15 22 29 5	3 10 17 24 31 7 <b>T</b> <b>J</b> 2 9 16 23 30 6	4 11 25 1 8 8 <b>F</b> 3 10 17 24 31 7	26 2 9 16 23 30 5 23 1 8 15 22 22 29	A 27 3 10 17 24 31 31 24 31 26 2 9 16 23 30	28 4 11 18 25 1 M 27 3 10 17 27 3 10 17 24	st-       29       5       12       19       26       2       T       ber       28       4       11       18       25       2	2000 30 6 13 20 27 3 W 2( 29 5 12 19 26 3 3	3 31 7 21 28 4 7 7 7 30 6 13 30 6 13 20 27 4	1 8 15 22 29 5 7 8 31 7 14 21 28 5	30 6 13 20 27 4 5 5 29 6 13 20 20 27 3	Sep 31 7 14 21 28 5 S Dec 30 7 14 21 28 4 4	tem 1 8 15 22 29 6 M 29 6 M 20 29 6 20 29 6 22 29 5 5	be 2 9 16 23 30 7 T 5 9 16 23 30 6	r-20 3 10 17 24 1 8 W -20 3 10 17 24 31 7	<ul> <li>DO3</li> <li>4</li> <li>11</li> <li>18</li> <li>25</li> <li>2</li> <li>9</li> <li>T</li> <li>DO3</li> <li>4</li> <li>11</li> <li>18</li> <li>25</li> <li>1</li> <li>8</li> </ul>	5 12 19 26 3 10 F 7 10 5 12 19 26 2 9	15 10 5

FIGURE 12.1 calendarPlot for O<sub>3</sub> concentrations in 2003.

(ppb), 'Low' is 50 to 100 and so on. Note that the upper value of **breaks** should be a number greater than the maximum value contained in the data to ensure that it is encompassed. In the example given in Figure 12.4 the maximum daily concentration is plotted. These types of plots are very useful for considering national or international air quality indexes.

The user can explicitly set each colour interval:

```
calendarPlot(mydata, pollutant = "no2", year = 2003,
    breaks = c(0, 50, 100, 150, 1000),
    labels = c("Very low", "Low", "High", "Very High"),
    cols = c("lightblue", "forestgreen", "yellow", "red"),
    statistic = "max")
```





FIGURE 12.2 calendarPlot for  $PM_{10}$  concentrations in 2003 with annotations highlighting those days where the concentration of  $PM_{10} > 50 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ . The numbers show the  $PM_{10}$  concentration in  $\mu g \ m^{-3}$ .



### calendarPlot(mydata, pollutant = "o3", year = 2003, annotate = "ws")

FIGURE 12.3 calendarPlot for  $O_3$  concentrations in 2003 with annotations showing wind angle scaled to wind speed i.e. the longer the arrow, the higher the wind speed. It shows for example high  $O_3$  concentrations on the 17 and 18th of April were associated with strong north-easterly winds.

```
calendarPlot(mydata, pollutant = "no2", year = 2003,
    breaks = c(0, 50, 100, 150, 1000),
    labels = c("Very low", "Low", "High", "Very High"),
    cols = "increment", statistic = "max")
```



FIGURE 12.4 calendarPlot for  $NO_2$  concentrations in 2003 with a user-defined categorical scale.

Note that in the case of categorical scales it is possible to define the breaks and labels first and then make the plot. For example:

It is also possible to first use **rollingMean** to calculate statistics. For example, if one was interested in plotting the maximum daily rolling 8-hour mean concentration, the data could be prepared and plotted as follows.

The UK has an air quality index for  $O_3$ ,  $NO_2$ ,  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  described in detail at http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/air-pollution/daqi and COMEAP (2011). The air quality index is shown in Table 12.1. The index is most relevant to air quality forecasting, but is used widely for public information. Most other countries have similar indexes. Note that the indexes are calculated for different averaging times dependent on the pollutant: rolling 8-hour mean for  $O_3$ , hourly means for  $NO_2$  and a fixed 24-hour mean for  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$ .

Band	Description	NO <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>
1	Low	0-66	0-33	0-16	0-11
2	Low	67-133	34-65	17-33	12-23
3	Low	134-199	66-99	34-49	24-34
4	Moderate	200-267	100-120	50-58	35-41
5	Moderate	268-334	121-140	59-66	42-46
6	Moderate	335-399	141-159	67-74	47-52
7	High	400-467	160-187	75-83	53-58
8	High	468-534	188-213	84-91	59-64
9	High	535-599	214-239	92-99	65-69
10	Very High	600 or more	240 or more	100 or more	70 or more

TABLE 12.1 The UK daily air quality index (values in  $\mu g m^{-3}$ ).

In the code below the labels and breaks are defined for each pollutant in Table 12.1 to make it easier to use the index in the calendarPlot function.

Remember it is necessary to use the correct averaging time. Assuming data are imported using importAURN or importKCL then the units will be in  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>— if not the user should ensure this is the case. Note that rather than showing the day of the month (the default), annotate = "value" can be used to show the actual numeric value on each day. In this way, the colours represent the categorical interval the concentration on a day corresponds to *and* the actual value itself is shown.

## 13 The TheilSen function

### 13.1 Purpose

see also smoothTrend timePlot

Calculating trends for air pollutants is one of the most important and common tasks that can be undertaken. Trends are calculated for all sorts of reasons. Sometimes it is useful to have a general idea about how concentrations might have changed. On other occasions a more definitive analysis is required; for example, to establish statistically whether a trend is significant or not. The whole area of trend calculation is a complex one and frequently trends are calculated with little consideration as to their validity. Perhaps the most common approach is to apply linear regression and not think twice about it. However, there can be many pitfalls when using ordinary linear regression, such as the assumption of normality, autocorrelation etc.

One commonly used approach for trend calculation in studies of air pollution is the non-parametric *Mann-Kendall* approach (Hirsch et al. 1982). Wilcox (2010) provides an excellent case for using 'modern methods' for regression including the benefits of non-parametric approaches and bootstrap simulations. Note also that the all the regression parameters are estimated through bootstrap resampling.

The Theil-Sen method dates back to 1950, but the basic idea pre-dates 1950 (Theil 1950; Sen 1968). It is one of those methods that required the invention of fast computers to be practical. The basic idea is as follows. Given a set of n x, y pairs, the slopes between all pairs of points are calculated. Note, the number of slopes can increase by  $\approx n^2$  so that the number of slopes can increase rapidly as the length of the data set increases. The Theil-Sen estimate of the slope is the median of all these slopes. The advantage of

the using the Theil-Sen estimator is that it tends to yield accurate confidence intervals even with non-normal data and heteroscedasticity (non-constant error variance). It is also resistant to outliers — both characteristics can be important in air pollution. As previously mentioned, the estimates of these parameters can be made more robust through bootstrap-resampling, which further adds to the computational burden, but is not an issue for most time series which are expressed either as monthly or annual means. Bootstrap resampling also provides the estimate of p for the slope.

An issue that can be very important for time series is dependence or *autocorrelation* in the data. Normal (in the statistical sense) statistics assume that data are independent, but in time series this is rarely the case. The issue is that neighbouring data points are similar to one another (correlated) and therefore not independent. Ignoring this dependence would tend to give an overly optimistic impression of uncertainties. However, taking account of it is far from simple. A discussion of these issues is beyond the aims of this report and readers are referred to standard statistical texts on the issue. In **openair** we follow the suggestion of Kunsch (1989) of setting the block length to  $n^{1/3}$  where n is the length of the time series.

There is a temptation when considering trends to use all the available data. Why? Often it is useful to consider specific periods. For example, is there any evidence that concentrations of  $NO_x$  have decreased since 2000? Clearly, the time period used depends on both the data and the questions, but it is good to be aware that considering subsets of data can be very insightful.

Another aspect is that almost all trends are shown as mean concentration versus time; typically by year. Such analyses are very useful for understanding how concentrations have changed through time and for comparison with air quality limits and regulations. However, if one is interested in understanding why trends are as they are, it can be helpful to consider how concentrations vary in other ways. The trend functions in openair do just this. Trends can be plotted by day of the week, month, hour of the day, by wind direction sector and by different wind speed ranges. All these capabilities are easy to use and their effectiveness will depend on the situation in question. One of the reasons that trends are not considered in these many different ways is that there can be a considerable overhead in carrying out the analysis, which is avoided by using these functions. Few, for example, would consider a detailed trend analysis by hour of the day, ensuring that robust statistical methods were used and uncertainties calculated. However, it can be useful to consider how concentrations vary in this way. It may be, for example, that the hours around midday are dominated by heavy vehicle emissions rather than by cars - so is the trend for a pollutant different for those hours compared with say, hours dominated by other vehicle types? Similarly, a much more focussed trend analysis can be done by considering different wind direction, as this can help isolate different source influences.

The TheilSen function is typically used to determine trends in pollutant concentrations over several years. However, it can be used to calculate the trend in any numeric variable. It calculates monthly mean values from daily, hourly or higher time resolution data, as well as working directly with monthly means. Whether it is meaningful to calculate trends over shorter periods of time (e.g. 2 years) depends very much on the data. It may well be that statistically significant trends can be detected over relatively short periods but it is another matter whether it matters. Because seasonal effects can be important for monthly data, there is the option to deseasonalise the data first. The timeVariation function are both useful to determine whether there is a seasonal cycle that should be removed.

Note also that the symbols shown next to each trend estimate relate to how statistically significant the trend estimate is: p < 0.001 = \* \* \*, p < 0.01 = \* \*, p < 0.05 = \* and

p < 0.1 = +.

### 13.2 Options available

The TheilSen function has the following options:

- mydata A data frame containing the field date and at least one other parameter for which a trend test is required; typically (but not necessarily) a pollutant.
- **pollutant** The parameter for which a trend test is required. Mandatory.
- deseason Should the data be de-deasonalized first? If TRUE the function stl is used (seasonal trend decomposition using loess). Note that if TRUE missing data are first imputed using a Kalman filter and Kalman smooth.
- type determines how the data are split i.e. conditioned, and then plotted. The default is will produce a single plot using the entire data. Type can be one of the built-in types as detailed in cutData e.g. "season", "year", "weekday" and so on. For example, type = "season" will produce four plots — one for each season.

It is also possible to choose type as another variable in the data frame. If that variable is numeric, then the data will be split into four quantiles (if possible) and labelled accordingly. If type is an existing character or factor variable, then those categories/levels will be used directly. This offers great flexibility for understanding the variation of different variables and how they depend on one another.

Type can be up length two e.g. type = c("season", "weekday") will produce a 2x2 plot split by season and day of the week. Note, when two types are provided the first forms the columns and the second the rows.

- avg.time Can be "month" (the default), "season" or "year". Determines the time over which data should be averaged. Note that for "year", six or more years are required. For "season" the data are split up into spring: March, April, May etc. Note that December is considered as belonging to winter of the following year.
- statistic Statistic used for calculating monthly values. Default is "mean", but can also be "percentile". See timeAverage for more details.
- percentile Single percentile value to use if statistic = "percentile" is chosen.
- data.thresh The data capture threshold to use (the data using avg.time. A value of zero means that all available data will be used in a particular period regardless if of the number of values available. Conversely, a value of 100 will mean that all data will need to be present for the average to be calculated, else it is recorded as NA.
- alphaFor the confidence interval calculations of the slope. The default is 0.05.To show 99% confidence intervals for the value of the trend, choose<br/>alpha = 0.01 etc.
- dec.place The number of decimal places to display the trend estimate at. The default is 2.

xlab	x-axis label, by default "year"	•
------	---------------------------------	---

- **lab.frac** Fraction along the y-axis that the trend information should be printed at, default 0.99.
- **lab.cex** Size of text for trend information.
- x.relation This determines how the x-axis scale is plotted. "same" ensures all panels use the same scale and "free" will use panel-specific scales. The latter is a useful setting when plotting data with very different values.
- y.relation This determines how the y-axis scale is plotted. "same" ensures all panels use the same scale and "free" will use panel-specific scales. The latter is a useful setting when plotting data with very different values.
- data.col Colour name for the data
- trend list containing information on the line width, line type and line colour for the main trend line and confidence intervals respectively.
- text.col Colour name for the slope/uncertainty numeric estimates
- slope.text The text shown for the slope (default is 'units/year').
- **cols** Predefined colour scheme, currently only enabled for "greyscale".
- shade The colour used for marking alternate years. Use "white" or "transparent" to remove shading.
- auto.text Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE titles and axis labels will automatically try and format pollutant names and units properly e.g. by subscripting the '2' in NO2.
- autocor Should autocorrelation be considered in the trend uncertainty estimates? The default is FALSE. Generally, accounting for autocorrelation increases the uncertainty of the trend estimate — sometimes by a large amount.
- slope.percent Should the slope and the slope uncertainties be expressed as a percentage change per year? The default is FALSE and the slope is expressed as an average units/year change e.g. ppb. Percentage changes can often be confusing and should be clearly defined. Here the percentage change is expressed as 100 \* (C.end/C.start 1) / (end.year start.year). Where C.start is the concentration at the start date and C.end is the concentration at the end date.

For avg.time = "year" (end.year - start.year) will be the total number of years - 1. For example, given a concentration in year 1 of 100 units and a percentage reduction of 5 units but the actual time span will be 6 years i.e. year 1 is used as a reference year. Things are slightly different for monthly values e.g. avg.time = "month", which will use the total number of months as a basis of the time span and is therefore able to deal with partial years. There can be slight differences in the depending on whether monthly or annual values are considered.

date.breaks Number of major x-axis intervals to use. The function will try and choose a sensible number of dates/times as well as formatting the date/time appropriately to the range being considered. This does not always work

TheilSen(mydata, pollutant = "o3", ylab = "ozone (ppb)", deseason = TRUE)

## [1] "Taking bootstrap samples. Please wait."



FIGURE 13.1 Trends in ozone at Marylebone Road. The plot shows the deseasonalised monthly mean concentrations of  $O_3$ . The solid red line shows the trend estimate and the dashed red lines show the 95 % confidence intervals for the trend based on resampling methods. The overall trend is shown at the top-left as 0.38 (ppb) per year and the 95 % confidence intervals in the slope from 0.21–0.51 ppb/year. The \* \* \* show that the trend is significant to the 0.001 level.

as desired automatically. The user can therefore increase or decrease the number of intervals by adjusting the value of date.breaks up or down.

- **plot** Should a plot be produced. **FALSE** can be useful when analysing data to extract trend components and plotting them in other ways.
- silent When FALSE the function will give updates on trend-fitting progress.
- ... Other graphical parameters passed onto cutData and lattice:xyplot. For example, TheilSen passes the option hemisphere = "southern" on to cutData to provide southern (rather than default northern) hemisphere handling of type = "season". Similarly, common axis and title labelling options (such as xlab, ylab, main) are passed to xyplot via quickText to handle routine formatting.

## 13.3 Example of use

We first show the use of the TheilSen function by applying it to concentrations of  $O_3$ . The function is called as shown in Figure 13.1.

Because the function runs simulations to estimate the uncertainty in the slope, it can take a little time for all the calculations to finish. These printed results show that in this case the trend in  $O_3$  was +0.38 units (i.e. ppb) per year as an average over the entire period. It also shows the 95 % confidence intervals in the trend ranged between 0.21 to 0.51 ppb/year. Finally, the significance level in this case is very high; providing very strong evidence that concentrations of  $O_3$  increased over the period. The plot together with the summary results is shown in Figure 13.1. Note that if one wanted to display the confidence intervals in the slope at the 99 % confidence intervals, the code would be Figure 13.2.

TheilSen(mydata, pollutant = "o3", ylab = "ozone (ppb)", alpha = 0.01)

Sometimes it is useful to consider a subset of data, perhaps by excluding some years. This is easy with the subset function. The following code calculates trends for years greater than 1999 i.e. from 2000 onwards.

It is also possible to calculate trends in many other ways e.g. by wind direction. Considering how trends vary by wind direction can be extremely useful because the influence of different sources invariably depends on the direction of the wind. The TheilSen function splits the wind direction into 8 sectors i.e. N, NE, E etc. The Theil-Sen slopes are then calculated for each direction in turn. This function takes rather longer to run because the simulations need to be run eight times in total. Considering concentrations of  $O_3$  again, the output is shown in Figure 13.2. Note that this plot is specifically laid out to assist interpretation, with each panel located at the correct point on the compass. This makes it easy to see immediately that there is essentially no trend in O<sub>3</sub> for southerly winds i.e. where the road itself has the strongest influence. On the other hand the strongest evidence of increasing O<sub>3</sub> are for northerly winds, where the influence of the road is much less. The reason that there is no trend in  $O_3$  for southerly winds is that there is always a great excess of NO, which reacts with  $O_3$  to form  $NO_2$ . At this particular location it will probably take many more years before O<sub>3</sub> concentrations start to increase when the wind direction is southerly. Nevertheless, there will always be *some* hours that do not have such high concentrations of NO.

The option **slope.percent** can be set to express slope estimates as a percentage change per year. This is useful for comparing slopes for sites with very different concentration levels and for comparison with emission inventories. The percentage change uses the concentration at the beginning and end months to express the mean slope.

The trend, T is defined as:

$$T[\%.yr^{-1}] = 100. \left(\frac{C_{End}}{C_{Start}} - 1\right) / N_{years}$$
(7)

where  $C_{End}$  and  $C_{Start}$  are the mean concentrations for the end and start date, respectfully. N<sub>vears</sub> is the number of years (or fractions of) the time series spans.

The TheilSen function was written to work with hourly data, which is then averaged into monthly or annual data. However, it is realised that users may already have data that is monthly or annual. The function can therefore accept as input monthly or annual data directly. *However, it is necessary to ensure the date field is in the correct format*. Assuming data in an Excel file in the format dd/mm/YYYY (e.g. 23/11/2008), it is necessary to convert this to a date format understood by R, as shown below. Similarly, if annual data were available, get the dates in formats like '2005-01-01', '2006-01-01'... and make sure the date is again formatted using as.Date. Note that if dates are preformatted as YYYY-mm-dd, then it is sufficient to use as.Date without providing any format information because it is already in the correct format.

mydata\$date = as.Date(mydata\$date, format = "%d/%m/%Y")

Finally, the TheilSen function can consider trends at different sites, provided the input data are correctly formatted. For input, a data frame with three columns is


FIGURE 13.2 Trends in ozone at Marylebone Road split by eight wind sectors. The TheilSen function will automatically organise the separate panels by the different compass directions.

required: date, pollutant and *site*. The call would then be, for example:

```
TheilSen(mydata, pollutant = "no2", type = "site")
```

### 13.4 Output

The TheilSen function provides lots of output data for further analysis or adding to a report. To obtain it, it is necessary to read it into a variable:

```
MKresults <- TheilSen(mydata, pollutant = "o3", deseason = TRUE, type = "wd")
## [1] "Taking bootstrap samples. Please wait."
## [1] "Taking bootstrap samples. Please wait."</pre>
```

This returns a list of two data frames containing all the monthly mean values and trend statistics and an aggregated summary. The first 6 lines are shown next:

```
head(MKresults$data[[1]])
```

```
##
    wd
                                                                              upper.b lower.a
                date
                            conc
                                                            b
                                                                upper.a
                                            а
## 1 E 1998-01-01 5.552253 -2.825001 0.0007185124 -
8.826666 0.001230109 3.848278
## 2 E 1998-02-01 2.919639 -2.825001 0.0007185124 -
8.826666 0.001230109 3.848278
## 3 E 1998-03-01 3.849363 -2.825001 0.0007185124 -
8.826666 0.001230109 3.848278
## 4 E 1998-04-01 4.051668 -2.825001 0.0007185124 -
8.826666 0.001230109 3.848278
## 5 E 1998-05-01 2.304686 -2.825001 0.0007185124 -
8.826666 0.001230109 3.848278
## 6 E 1998-06-01 -1.560438 -2.825001 0.0007185124 -
8.826666 0.001230109 3.848278
                                              slope intercept intercept.lower
##
           lower.b
                        p p.stars

      ## 1 0.0001449688 0.01669449
      * 0.262257 -2.825001
      3.848278

## 2 0.0001449688 0.01669449
                                        * 0.262257 -2.825001
                                                                          3.848278
                                        * 0.262257 -2.825001
## 3 0.0001449688 0.01669449
                                                                         3.848278
## 4 0.0001449688 0.01669449
                                        * 0.262257 -2.825001
                                                                         3.848278
## 5 0.0001449688 0.01669449
                                        * 0.262257 -2.825001
                                                                          3.848278
                                        * 0.262257 -2.825001
## 6 0.0001449688 0.01669449
                                                                          3.848278
## intercept.upper lower upper slope.percent lower.percent
## 1
          -8.826666 0.05291362 0.4489896 5.79801 0.9925881
## 2
           -8.826666 0.05291362 0.4489896
                                                      5.79801 0.9925881
            -8.826666 0.05291362 0.4489896
## 3
                                                      5.79801 0.9925881

        -8.826666
        0.05291362
        0.4489896
        5.79801
        0.9925881

        -8.826666
        0.05291362
        0.4489896
        5.79801
        0.9925881

        -8.826666
        0.05291362
        0.4489896
        5.79801
        0.9925881

        -8.826666
        0.05291362
        0.4489896
        5.79801
        0.9925881

## 4
## 5
## 6
## upper.percent
## 1
       11,9614
## 2
            11.9614
## 3
            11.9614
## 4
            11.9614
## 5
            11.9614
## 6
            11.9614
```

Often only the trend statistics are required and not all the monthly values. These can be obtained by:

```
MKresults$data[[2]]
```

##		wd p.stars	date	conc	а	b	upper.a
##	1	E *	2001-09-15	5.989974	-2.825001	7.185124e-04	-8.8266663
##	2	N ***	2001-09-15	9.786267	-19.142102	2.485907e-03	-28.7157702
##	3	NE ***	2001-09-15	9.728994	-9.728741	1.624970e-03	-24.8702270
##	4	NW ***	2001-09-15	9.786755	-12.940875	1.937119e-03	-22.4192235
##	5	S	2001-09-15	5.052728	4.472516	2.509968e-05	1.0744367
##	6	SE	2001-09-15	5.780645	4.822713	7.357549e-05	0.8230425
##	7	SW	2001-09-15	4.761100	1.818359	2.444766e-04	-1.9192744
##	8	W **	2001-09-15	5.618727	-1.178793	5.736058e-04	-5.6090971
##		upper	.b lower.a	a 10	ower.b	р	slope intercept
##	1	0.001230108	3.848278	3 1.44968	38e-04 0.01	6694491 0.262	257022 -2.825001
##	2	0.00328932	51 -9.725509	1.64901	12e-03 0.00	0000000 0.907	355964 -19.142102
##	3	0.002964057	72 3.185458	3 5.00392	24e-04 0.00	0000000 0.593	114074 -9.728741
##	4	0.002769044	42 -3.396500	5 1.12241	4e-03 0.00	0000000 0.707	048447 -12.940875
##	5	0.000328152	21 7.156572	2 -2.08101	18e-04 0.86	8113523 0.009	161382 4.472516
##	6	0.000431837	79 8.727162	2 -2.57292	25e-04 0.68	4474124 0.026	855053 4.822713
##	7	0.000571658	88 5.53823	5 -8.99997	74e-05 0.11	0183639 0.089	233973 1.818359
##	8	0.000955218	3.041723	3 2.04478	37e-04 0.00	3338898 0.209	366110 -1.178793
##		intercept.	lower inter	ept.upper	- low	er upper	slope.percent
##	1	3.84	48278 -	8.8266663	0.052913	62 0.4489896	5.7980099
##	2	-9.72	25509 -2	28.7157702	2 0.601889	26 1.2006037	14.4454302
##	3	3.18	85458 -2	24.8702270	0.182643	23 1.0818809	8.6085473
##	4	-3.39	96506 -2	22.4192235	5 0.409681	28 1.0107011	10.2917646
##	5	7.1	56572	1.0744367	7 -0.075957	15 0.1197755	0.1937191
##	6	8.72	27162	0.8230425	5 -0.093911	77 0.1576208	0.4816904
##	7	5.53	38235 -	1.9192744	4 -0.032849	91 0.2086555	2.0662607
##	8	3.04	41723 -	5.6090971	0.074634	72 0.3486549	4.4665024
##		lower.perce	ent upper.pe	ercent			
##	1	0.99258	881 11.9	961401			
##	2	8.43108	805 24.3	381910			
##	3	2.19973	335 19.8	375875			
##	4	5.06879	904 17.1	31133			
##	5	-1.51058	886 2.7	703463			
##	6	-1.54059	900 3.0	08348			
##	7	-0.71137	745 5.3	313247			
##	8	1.45403	385 8.3	81275			

In the results above the 'lower' and 'upper' fields provide the 95% (or chosen confidence interval using the alpha option) of the trend and 'slope' is the trend estimate expressed in units/year.

# 14 The smoothTrend function

### 14.1 Purpose

see also TheilSen timePlot

The smoothTrend function calculates smooth trends in the monthly mean concentrations of pollutants. In its basic use it will generate a plot of monthly concentrations and fit a smooth line to the data and show the 95 % confidence intervals of the fit. The smooth line is essentially determined using Generalized Additive Modelling using the mgcv package. This package provides a comprehensive and powerful set of methods for modelling data. In this case, however, the *model* is a relationship between time and pollutant concentration i.e. a trend. One of the principal advantages of this approach is that the amount of smoothness in the trend is optimised in the sense that it is neither too smooth (therefore missing important features) nor too variable (perhaps fitting 'noise' rather than real effects). Some background information on the use of this approach in an air quality setting can be found in Carslaw et al. (2007).

Appendix B considers smooth trends in more detail and considers how different models can be developed that can be quite sophisticated. Readers should consider this section if they are considering trend analysis in more depth.

The user can select to deseasonalise the data first to provide a clearer indication of the overall trend on a monthly basis. The data are deseasonalised using the The stl function. The user may also select to use bootstrap simulations to provide an alternative method of estimating the uncertainties in the trend. In addition, the simulated estimates of uncertainty can account for autocorrelation in the residuals using a block bootstrap approach.

## 14.2 Options available

The **smoothTrend** function has the following options:

- mydata A data frame containing the field date and at least one other parameter for which a trend test is required; typically (but not necessarily) a pollutant.
- **pollutant** The parameter for which a trend test is required. Mandatory.
- deseason Should the data be de-deasonalized first? If TRUE the function stl is used (seasonal trend decomposition using loess). Note that if TRUE missing data are first imputed using a Kalman filter and Kalman smooth.
- type determines how the data are split i.e. conditioned, and then plotted. The default is will produce a single plot using the entire data. Type can be one of the built-in types as detailed in cutData e.g. "season", "year", "weekday" and so on. For example, type = "season" will produce four plots — one for each season.

It is also possible to choose **type** as another variable in the data frame. If that variable is numeric, then the data will be split into four quantiles (if possible) and labelled accordingly. If type is an existing character or factor variable, then those categories/levels will be used directly. This offers great flexibility for understanding the variation of different variables and how they depend on one another.

Type can be up length two e.g. type = c("season", "weekday") will produce a 2x2 plot split by season and day of the week. Note, when two types are provided the first forms the columns and the second the rows.

- statistic Statistic used for calculating monthly values. Default is "mean", but can also be "percentile". See timeAverage for more details.
- avg.time Can be "month" (the default), "season" or "year". Determines the time over which data should be averaged. Note that for "year", six or more years are required. For "season" the data are plit up into spring: March, April, May etc. Note that December is considered as belonging to winter of the following year.
- percentile Percentile value(s) to use if statistic = "percentile" is chosen. Can be a vector of numbers e.g. percentile = c(5, 50, 95) will plot the 5th, 5oth and 95th percentile values together on the same plot.

- data.thresh The data capture threshold to use ( the data using avg.time. A value of zero means that all available data will be used in a particular period regardless if of the number of values available. Conversely, a value of 100 will mean that all data will need to be present for the average to be calculated, else it is recorded as NA. Not used if avg.time = "default".
- simulate Should simulations be carried out to determine the Mann-Kendall tau and p-value. The default is FALSE. If TRUE, bootstrap simulations are undertaken, which also account for autocorrelation.
- n Number of bootstrap simulations if simulate = TRUE.
- autocor Should autocorrelation be considered in the trend uncertainty estimates? The default is FALSE. Generally, accounting for autocorrelation increases the uncertainty of the trend estimate sometimes by a large amount.
- cols Colours to use. Can be a vector of colours e.g. cols = c("black", "green") or pre-defined openair colours — see openColours for more details.
- shade The colour used for marking alternate years. Use "white" or "transparent" to remove shading.
- xlab x-axis label, by default "year".
- y.relation This determines how the y-axis scale is plotted. "same" ensures all panels
  use the same scale and "free" will use panel-specific scales. The latter is
  a useful setting when plotting data with very different values. ref.x See
  ref.y for details. In this case the correct date format should be used for
  a vertical line e.g. ref.x = list(v = as.POSIXct("2000-06-15"),
  lty = 5).
- ref.x See ref.y.
- ref.y A list with details of the horizontal lines to be added representing reference line(s). For example, ref.y = list(h = 50, lty = 5) will add a dashed horizontal line at 50. Several lines can be plotted e.g. ref.y = list(h = c(50, 100), lty = c(1, 5), col = c("green", "blue")). See panel.abline in the lattice package for more details on adding/controlling lines.
- name.pol Names to be given to the pollutant(s). This is useful if you want to give a fuller description of the variables, maybe also including subscripts etc.
- ci Should confidence intervals be plotted? The default is FALSE.
- alpha The alpha transparency of shaded confidence intervals if plotted. A value of 0 is fully transparent and 1 is fully opaque.
- date.breaks Number of major x-axis intervals to use. The function will try and choose a sensible number of dates/times as well as formatting the date/time appropriately to the range being considered. This does not always work as desired automatically. The user can therefore increase or decrease the number of intervals by adjusting the value of date.breaks up or down.

- auto.text Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE titles and axis labels will automatically try and format pollutant names and units properly e.g. by subscripting the '2' in NO2.
- k This is the smoothing parameter used by the gam function in package mgcv. By default it is not used and the amount of smoothing is optimised automatically. However, sometimes it is useful to set the smoothing amount manually using k.
- Other graphical parameters are passed onto cutData and lattice:xyplot. For example, smoothTrend passes the option hemisphere = "southern" on to cutData to provide southern (rather than default northern) hemisphere handling of type = "season". Similarly, common graphical arguments, such as xlim and ylim for plotting ranges and pch and cex for plot symbol type and size, are passed on xyplot, although some local modifications may be applied by openair. For example, axis and title labelling options (such as xlab, ylab and main) are passed to xyplot via quickText to handle routine formatting. One special case here is that many graphical parameters can be vectors when used with statistic = "percentile" and a vector of percentile values, see examples below.

## 14.3 Example of use

We apply the function to concentrations of  $O_3$  and  $NO_2$  using the code below. The first plot shows the smooth trend in raw  $O_3$  concentrations, which shows a very clear seasonal cycle. By removing the seasonal cycle of  $O_3$ , a better indication of the trend is given, shown in the second plot. Removing the seasonal cycle is more effective for pollutants (or locations) where the seasonal cycle is stronger e.g. for ozone and background sites. Figure 14.1 shows the results of the simulations for  $NO_2$  without the seasonal cycle removed. It is clear from this plot that there is little evidence of a seasonal cycle. The principal advantage of the smoothing approach compared with the Theil-Sen method is also clearly shown in this plot. Concentrations of  $NO_2$  first decrease, then increase strongly. The trend is therefore not monotonic, violating the Theil-Sen assumptions. Finally, the last plot shows the effects of first deaseasonalising the data: in this case with little effect.

The smoothTrend function share many of the functionalities of the TheilSen function. Figure 14.2 shows the result of applying this function to  $O_3$  concentrations. The code that produced Figure 14.2 was:

The smoothTrend function can easily be used to gain a large amount of information on trends easily. For example, how do trends in  $NO_2$ ,  $O_3$  and  $PM_{10}$  vary by season and wind sector. There are 8 wind sectors and four seasons i.e. 32 plots. In Figure 14.3 all three pollutants are chosen and two types (season and wind direction). We also reduce the number of axis labels and the line to improve clarity. There are numerous combinations of analyses that could be produced here and it is very easy to explore the data in a wide number of ways.

```
smoothTrend(mydata, pollutant = "o3", ylab = "concentration (ppb)",
    main = "monthly mean o3")
smoothTrend(mydata, pollutant = "o3", deseason = TRUE, ylab = "concentration (ppb)",
    main = "monthly mean deseasonalised o3")
smoothTrend(mydata, pollutant = "no2", simulate = TRUE, ylab = "concentration (ppb)",
    main = "monthly mean no2 (bootstrap uncertainties)")
## [1] "Taking bootstrap samples. Please wait..."
smoothTrend(mydata, pollutant = "no2", deseason = TRUE, simulate =TRUE,
    ylab = "concentration (ppb)",
    main = "monthly mean deseasonalised no2 (bootstrap uncertainties)")
```

## [1] "Taking bootstrap samples. Please wait..."



FIGURE 14.1 Examples of the smoothTrend function applied to Marylebone Road



### 

FIGURE 14.2 Trends in  $O_3$  using the smoothTrend function applied to Marylebone Road. The shading shows the estimated 95 % confidence intervals. This plot can usefully be compared with Figure 13.2.



FIGURE 14.3 The smoothTrend function applied to three pollutants, split by wind sector and season.

# 15 The timeVariation function

## 15.1 Purpose

see also linearRelation

In air pollution, the variation of a pollutant by time of day and day of week can reveal useful information concerning the likely sources. For example, road vehicle emissions tend to follow very regular patterns both on a daily and weekly basis. By contrast some industrial emissions or pollutants from natural sources (e.g. sea salt aerosol) may well have very different patterns.

The timeVariation function produces four plots: day of the week variation, mean hour of day variation and a combined hour of day – day of week plot and a monthly plot. Also shown on the plots is the 95 % confidence interval in the mean. These uncertainty limits can be helpful when trying to determine whether one candidate source is different from another. The uncertainty intervals are calculated through bootstrap re-sampling, which will provide better estimates than the application of assumptions based on normality, particularly when there are few data available. The function can consider one or two input variables. In addition, there is the option of 'normalising' concentrations (or other quantities). Normalising is very useful for comparing the patterns of two different pollutants, which often cover very different ranges in concentration. Normalising is achieved by dividing the concentration of a pollutant by its mean value. Note also that any other variables besides pollutant concentrations can be considered e.g. meteorological or traffic data.

There is also an option **difference** which is very useful for considering the difference in two time series and how they vary over different temporal resolutions. Again, bootstrap re-sampling methods are used to estimate the uncertainty of the difference in two means.

#### Averaging wind direction

Care has been taken to ensure that wind direction (wd) is vector-averaged. Less obvious though is the uncertainty in wind direction. A pragmatic approach has been adopted here that considers how wind direction *changes*. For example, consider the following wind directions: 10, 10, 10, 180, 180, 180°. The standard deviation of these numbers is 93°. However, what actually occurs is the wind direction is constant at 10° then switches to 180°. In terms of changes there is a sequence of numbers: 0, 0, 170, 0, 0 with a standard deviation of 76°. We use the latter method as a basis of calculating the 95% confidence intervals in the mean.

There are also problems with simple averaging—for example, what is the average of 20 and 200°. It can't be known. In some situations where the wind direction is bi-modal with differences around 180°, the mean can be 'unstable'. For example, wind that is funnelled along a valley forcing it to be either easterly or westerly. Consider for example the mean of 0° and 179° (89.5°), but a small change in wind direction to 181° gives a mean of 270.5°. Some care should be exercised therefore when averaging wind direction. It is always a good idea to use the windRose function with type set to 'month' or 'hour'.

The timeVariation function is probably one of the most useful functions that can be used for the analysis of air pollution. Here are a few uses/advantages:

• Variations in time are one of the most useful ways of characterising air pollution for a very wide range of pollutants including local urban pollutants and tropospheric background concentrations of ozone and the like.

- The function works well in conjunction with other functions such as polarPlot, where the latter may identify conditions of interest (say a wind speed/direction range). By sub-setting for those conditions in timeVariation the temporal characteristics of a particular source could be characterised and perhaps contrasted with another subset of conditions.
- The function can be used to compare a wide range of variables, if available. Suggestions include meteorological e.g. boundary layer height and traffic flows.
- The function can be used for comparing pollutants over different sites. See (24.7) for examples of how to do this.
- The function can be used to compare one part of a time series with another. This is often a very powerful thing to do, particularly if concentrations are normalised. For example, there is often interest in knowing how diurnal/weekday/seasonal patterns vary with time. If a pollutant showed signs of an increase in recent years, then splitting the data set and comparing each part together can provide information on what is driving the change. Is there, for example, evidence that morning rush hour concentrations have become more important, or Sundays have become relatively more important? An example is given below using the splitByDate function.
- timeVariation can be used to consider the differences between two time series, which will have multiple benefits. For example, for model evaluation it can be very revealing to consider the difference between observations and modelled values over different time scales. Considering such differences can help reveal the character and some of the reasons for why a model departs from reality.

### 15.2 Options available

The timeVariation function has the following options:

- mydata A data frame of hourly (or higher temporal resolution data). Must include a date field and at least one variable to plot.
- local.tz Should the results be calculated in local time that includes a treatment of daylight savings time (DST)? The default is not to consider DST issues, provided the data were imported without a DST offset. Emissions activity tends to occur at local time e.g. rush hour is at 8 am every day. When the clocks go forward in spring, the emissions are effectively released into the atmosphere typically 1 hour earlier during the summertime i.e. when DST applies. When plotting diurnal profiles, this has the effect of "smearing-out" the concentrations. Sometimes, a useful approach is to express time as local time. This correction tends to produce better-defined diurnal profiles of concentration (or other variables) and allows a better comparison to be made with emissions/activity data. If set to FALSE then GMT is used. Examples of usage include local.tz = "Europe/London", local.tz = "America/New\_York". See cutData and import for more details.

- **normalise** Should variables be normalised? The default is **FALSE**. If **TRUE** then the variable(s) are divided by their mean values. This helps to compare the shape of the diurnal trends for variables on very different scales.
- xlab x-axis label; one for each sub-plot.
- name.pol Names to be given to the pollutant(s). This is useful if you want to give a fuller description of the variables, maybe also including subscripts etc.
- type determines how the data are split i.e. conditioned, and then plotted. The default is will produce a single plot using the entire data. Type can be one of the built-in types as detailed in cutData e.g. "season", "year", "weekday" and so on. For example, type = "season" will produce four plots — one for each season.

It is also possible to choose **type** as another variable in the data frame. If that variable is numeric, then the data will be split into four quantiles (if possible) and labelled accordingly. If type is an existing character or factor variable, then those categories/levels will be used directly. This offers great flexibility for understanding the variation of different variables and how they depend on one another.

Only one type is allowed intimeVariation.

- **group** This sets the grouping variable to be used. For example, if a data frame had a column site setting group = "site" will plot all sites together in each panel. See examples below.
- difference If two pollutants are chosen then setting difference = TRUE will also
   plot the difference in means between the two variables as pollutant[2]
   pollutant[1]. Bootstrap 95% confidence intervals of the difference
   in means are also calculated. A horizontal dashed line is shown at y = 0.
- statistic Can be "mean" (default) or "median". If the statistic is 'mean' then the mean line and the 95% confidence interval in the mean are plotted by default. If the statistic is 'median' then the median line is plotted together with the 5/95 and 25/75th quantiles are plotted. Users can control the confidence intervals with conf.int.
- conf.int The confidence intervals to be plotted. If statistic = "mean" then the confidence intervals in the mean are plotted. If statistic = "median" then the conf.int and 1 conf.int *quantiles* are plotted. conf.int can be of length 2, which is most useful for showing quantiles. For example conf.int = c(0.75, 0.99) will yield a plot showing the median, 25/75 and 5/95th quantiles.
- B Number of bootstrap replicates to use. Can be useful to reduce this value when there are a large number of observations available to increase the speed of the calculations without affecting the 95% confidence interval calculations by much.
- ciShould confidence intervals be shown? The default is TRUE. Setting this toFALSE can be useful if multiple pollutants are chosen where over-lapping<br/>confidence intervals can over complicate plots.

Colours to be used for plotting. Options include "default", "increment", "heat", "jet" and RColorBrewer colours — see the openair openColours function for more details. For user defined the user can supply a list of colour names recognised by R (type colours() to see the full list). An example would be cols = c("yellow", "green", "blue")
A list with details of the horizontal lines to be added representing reference line(s). For example, ref.y = list(h = 50, lty = 5) will add a dashed horizontal line at 50. Several lines can be plotted e.g. ref.y = list(h = $c(50, 100)$ , lty = $c(1, 5)$ , col = $c("green", "blue")$ ). See panel.abline in the lattice package for more details on adding/controlling lines.
By default timeVariation produces four plots on one page. While it is useful to see these plots together, it is sometimes necessary just to use one for a report. If key is TRUE, a key is added to all plots allowing the extraction of a single plot <i>with</i> key. See below for an example.
Number of columns to be used in the key. With many pollutants a single column can make to key too wide. The user can thus choose to use several columns by setting columns to be less than the number of pollutants.
What day of the week should the plots start on? The user can change the start day by supplying an integer between 0 and 6. Sunday = 0, Mon- day = 1,For example to start the weekday plots on a Saturday, choose start.day = 6.
Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE titles and axis labels will automat- ically try and format pollutant names and units properly e.g. by subscript- ing the '2' in NO2.
The alpha transparency used for plotting confidence intervals. o is fully transparent and 1 is opaque. The default is 0.4
Other graphical parameters passed onto lattice:xyplot and cutData. For example, in the case of cutData the option hemisphere = "southern".

## 15.3 Example of use

We apply the timeVariation function to  $PM_{10}$  concentrations and take the opportunity to filter the data to maximise the signal from the road. The polarPlot function described in (§8) is very useful in this respect in highlighting the conditions under which different sources have their greatest impact. A subset of data is used filtering for wind speeds > 3 m s<sup>-1</sup> and wind directions from 100–270 degrees. The code used is:

The results are shown in Figure 15.1. The plot shown at the top-left shows the diurnal variation of concentrations for all days. It shows for example that  $PM_{10}$  concentrations tend to peak around 9 am. The shading shows the 95 % confidence intervals of the mean. The plot at the top-right shows how  $PM_{10}$  concentrations vary by day of the week. Here there is strong evidence that  $PM_{10}$  is much lower at the weekends and that there is a significant difference compared with weekdays. It also shows that concentrations tend to increase during the weekdays. Finally, the plot at the bottom shows both sets of information together to provide an overview of how concentrations vary.

timeVariation is also very useful for other variables such as traffic and meteorological data

Note that the plot need not just consider pollutant concentrations. Other useful variables (if available) are meteorological and traffic flow or speed data. Often, the combination of several sets of data can be very revealing.



FIGURE 15.1 Example plot using the timeVariation function to plot  $PM_{10}$  concentrations at Marylebone Road.

The subset function is extremely useful in this respect. For example, if it were believed that a source had an effect under specific conditions; they can be isolated with the subset function. It is also useful if it is suspected that two or more sources are important that they can be isolated to some degree and compared. This is where the uncertainty intervals help — they provide an indication whether the behaviour of one source differs significantly from another.

Figure 15.2 shows the function applied to concentrations of  $NO_x$ , CO,  $NO_2$  and  $O_3$  concentrations. In this case the concentrations have been normalised. The plot clearly shows the markedly different temporal trends in concentration. For CO, there is a very pronounced increase in concentrations during the peak pm rush hour. The other important difference is on Sundays when CO concentrations are relatively much higher than  $NO_x$ . This is because flows of cars (mostly petrol) do not change that much by day of the week, but flows of vans and HGVs (diesel vehicles) are much less on Sundays. Note, however, that the monthly trend is very similar in each case — which indicates very similar source origins. Taken together, the plots highlight that traffic emissions dominate this site for CO and  $NO_x$ , but there are important difference in how these emissions vary by hour of day and day of week.

Also shown in the very different behaviour of  $O_3$ . Because  $O_3$  reacts with NO, concentrations of NO<sub>x</sub> and  $O_3$  tend to be anti-correlated. Note also the clear peak in  $O_3$  in April/May, which is due to higher northern hemispheric background concentrations in the spring. Even at a busy roadside site in central London this influence is clear to see.

Another example is splitting the data set by time. We use the splitByDate function to divide up the data into dates before January 2003 and after January 2003. This time the option difference is used to highlight how NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations have changed over these two periods. The results are shown in Figure 15.3. There is some indication in this plot that data after 2003 seem to show more of a double peak in the diurnal



timeVariation(mydata, pollutant = c("nox", "co", "no2", "o3"), normalise = TRUE)

FIGURE 15.2 Example plot using the timeVariation function to plot  $NO_x$ , CO,  $NO_2$  and  $O_3$  concentrations at Marylebone Road. In this plot, the concentrations are normalised.

plots; particularly in the morning rush hour. Also, the difference line does more clearly highlight a more substantial change over weekdays and weekends. Given that cars are approximately constant at this site each day, the change may indicate a change in vehicle emissions from other vehicle types. Given that it is known that primary NO<sub>2</sub> emissions are known to have increased sharply from the beginning of 2003 onwards, this perhaps provides clues as to the principal cause.

In the next example it is shown how to compare one subset of data of interest with another. Again, there can be many reasons for wanting to do this and perhaps the data set at Marylebone Road is not the most interesting to consider. Nevertheless, the code below shows how to approach such a problem. The scenario would be that one is interested in a specific set of conditions and it would be useful to compare that set, with another set. A good example would be from an analysis using the **polarPlot** function where a 'feature' of interest has been identified—maybe an indication of a different source. But does this potentially different source behave in a different way in terms of temporal variation? If it does, then maybe that provides evidence to support that it is a different source. In a wider context, this approach could be used in many different ways depending on available data. A good example is the analysis of model output where many diagnostic meteorological data are available. This is an area that will be developed.

The approach here is to first make a new variable called 'feature' and fill it with the value 'other'. A subset of data is defined and the associated locations in the data frame identified. The subset of data is then used to update the 'feature' field with a new description. This approach could be extended to some quite complex situations.

There are a couple of things to note in Figure 15.2. There seems to be evidence that for easterly winds > 4 m s<sup>-1</sup> that concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub> are lower at night. Also, there is some evidence that concentrations for these conditions are also lower at weekends. This *might* reflect that SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations for these conditions tend to be dominated

12 23 12 12 80 60 No22 40 20 12 18 23 12 23 hour before Jan. 2003 After Jan. 2003 After Jan. 2003 - before Jan. 2003 60 60 60 50 50 40 40 Q2 N NO22 ÑS 30 30 20 20 20 10 10 Λ . Sat 12 18 23 Ń i i 0 Mon Tue Wed Thu Fri hou weekday month mean and 95% confidence interval in mean

timeVariation(mydata, pollutant = "no2", group = "split.by", difference = TRUE)

FIGURE 15.3 Example plot using the timeVariation function to plot  $NO_2$  concentrations at Marylebone Road. In this plot, the concentrations are shown before and after January 2003.

by tall stack emissions that have different activities to road transport sources. This technique will be returned to with different data sets in future.

By default timeVariation shows the mean variation in different temporal componnets and the 95% confidence interval in the mean. However, it is also possible to show how the data are distributed by using a different option for statistic. When statistic = "median" the median line is shown together with the 25/75th and 5/95th quantile values. Users can control the quantile values shown be setting the conf.int. For example, conf.int = c(0.25, 0.99) will show the median, 25/75th and 1/99th quantile values. The statistic = "median" option is therefore very useful for showing how the data are distributed — somewhat similar to a box and whisker plot. Note that it is expected that only one pollutant should be shown when statistic = "median" is used due to potential over-plotting; although the function will display several species of required. An example is shown in Figure 15.5 for PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations.

```
## make a field called "site" and fill: make all values = "other"
mydata$feature <- "other"</pre>
```

## now find which indexes correspond to easterly conditions > 4m/s ws id <- which(with(mydata, ws > 4 & wd > 0 & wd <= 180 ))</pre>



FIGURE 15.4 Example plot using the timeVariation function to plot SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at Marylebone Road. In this plot, the concentrations are shown for a subset of easterly conditions and everything else. Note that the uncertainty in the mean values for easterly winds is greater than 'other'. This is mostly because the sample size is much lower for 'easterly' compared with 'other'.



FIGURE 15.5 Example plot using the timeVariation function to show the variation in the median, 25/75th and 5/95th quantile values for PM<sub>10</sub>. The shading shows the extent to the 25/75th and 5/95th quantiles.

#### 15.4 Output

The timeVariation function produces several outputs that can be used for further analysis or plotting. It is necessary to read the output into a variable for further processing. The code below shows the different objects that are returned and the code shows how to access them.

```
myOutput <- timeVariation(mydata, pollutant = "so2")</pre>
 ## show the first part of the day/hour variation
## note that value = mean, and Upper/Lower the 95% confid. intervals
head(myOutput$data$day.hour)
 ## # A tibble: 6 x 8
 ## # Groups: ci [1]
 ## variable wkday
                                                     hour default
                                                                                                                                     Mean Lower Upper
                                                                                                                                                                                ci
 ##
         <fct> <ord>
                                                    <int> <fct>
                                                                                                                                   <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 so2Monday0 01 January 1998 to 23 June 2~2.932.673.250.95## 2 so2Tuesday0 01 January 1998 to 23 June 2~3.212.983.510.95## 3 so2Wednesday0 01 January 1998 to 23 June 2~3.353.103.610.95## 4 so2Thursday0 01 January 1998 to 23 June 2~3.222.913.420.95## 5 so2Friday0 01 January 1998 to 23 June 2~3.643.353.890.95## 6 so2Saturday0 01 January 1998 to 23 June 2~4.253.864.620.95
                                                 0 01 January 1998 to 23 June 2~ 2.93 2.67 3.25 0.95
0 01 January 1998 to 23 June 2~ 3.21 2.98 3.51 0.95
 ## 1 so2
                             Monday
 ## can make a new data frame of this data e.g.
 day.hour <- myOutput$data$day.hour</pre>
head(day.hour)
 ## # A tibble: 6 x 8
 ## # Groups: ci [1]
         variable wkday
 ##
                                                     hour default
                                                                                                                                     Mean Lower Upper
                                                                                                                                                                                ci
 ##
                                                    <int> <fct>
         <fct> <ord>
                                                                                                                                   <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>

      ##
      1 sol
      Monday
      0 01 January 1998 to 23 June 2~
      2.93
      2.67
      3.25
      0.95

      ## 2 sol
      Tuesday
      0 01 January 1998 to 23 June 2~
      3.21
      2.98
      3.51
      0.95

      ## 3 sol
      Wednesday
      0 01 January 1998 to 23 June 2~
      3.35
      3.10
      3.61
      0.95

      ## 4 sol
      Thursday
      0 01 January 1998 to 23 June 2~
      3.22
      2.91
      3.42
      0.95

      ## 5 sol
      Friday
      0 01 January 1998 to 23 June 2~
      3.64
      3.35
      3.89
      0.95

      ## 6 sol
      Saturday
      0 01 January 1998 to 23 June 2~
      4.25
      3.86
      4.62
      0.95
```

### All the numerical results are given by:

```
myOutput$data$day.hour ## are the weekday and hour results
myOutput$data$hour ## are the diurnal results
myOutput$data$day ## are the weekday results
myOutput$data$month ## are the monthly results
```

It is also possible to plot the individual plots that make up the (four) plots produced by timeVariation:

```
## just the diurnal variation
plot(myOutput, subset = "hour")
## day and hour
plot(myOutput, subset = "day.hour")
## weekday variation
plot(myOutput, subset = "day")
## monthly variation
plot(myOutput, subset = "month")
```

# 16 The scatterPlot function

# 16.1 Purpose

Scatter plots are extremely useful and a very commonly used analysis technique for considering how variables relate to one another. R does of course have many capabilities for plotting data in this way. However, it can be tricky to add linear relationships, or split scatter plots by levels of other variables etc. The purpose of the scatterPlot function is to make it straightforward to consider how variables are related to one another in a way consistent with other **openair** functions. We have added several capabilities that can be used just by setting different options, some of which are shown below.

- A smooth fit is automatically added to help reveal the underlying relationship between two variables together with the estimated 95% confidence intervals of the fit. This is in general an extremely useful thing to do because it helps to show the (possibly) non-linear relationship between variables in a very robust way or indeed whether the relationship is linear.
- It is easy to add a linear regression line. The resulting equation is shown on the plot together with the R<sup>2</sup> value.
- For large data sets there is the possibility to 'bin' the data using hexagonal binning or kernel density estimates. This approach is very useful when there is considerable over-plotting.
- It is easy to show how two variables are related to one another dependent on levels of a third variable. This capability is very useful for exploring how different variables depend on one another and can help reveal the underlying important relationships.
- A plot of two variables can be colour-coded by a continuous colour scale of a third variable.
- It can handle date/time x-axis formats to provide an alternative way of showing time series, which again can be colour-coded by a third variable.

The scatterPlot function isn't really specific to atmospheric sciences, in the same way as other plots. It is more a function for convenience, written in a style that is consistent with other **openair** functions. Nevertheless, along with the timePlot function they do form an important part of **openair** because of the usefulness of understanding show variables relate to one another. Furthermore, there are many options to make it easy to explore data in an interactive way without worrying about processing data or formatting plots.

# 16.2 Options available

- mydata A data frame containing at least two numeric variables to plot.
- Name of the x-variable to plot. Note that x can be a date field or a factor.
   For example, x can be one of the openair built in types such as "year" or "season".
- y Name of the numeric y-variable to plot.

- z Name of the numeric z-variable to plot for method = "scatter" or method = "level". Note that for method = "scatter" points will be coloured according to a continuous colour scale, whereas for method = "level" the surface is coloured.
- method Methods include "scatter" (conventional scatter plot), "hexbin" (hexagonal binning using the hexbin package). "level" for a binned or smooth surface plot and "density" (2D kernel density estimates).
- **group** The grouping variable to use, if any. Setting this to a variable in the data frame has the effect of plotting several series in the same panel using different symbols/colours etc. If set to a variable that is a character or factor, those categories or factor levels will be used directly. If set to a numeric variable, it will split that variable in to quantiles.
- avg.time This defines the time period to average to. Can be "sec", "min", "hour", "day", "DSTday", "week", "month", "quarter" or "year". For much increased flexibility a number can precede these options followed by a space. For example, a timeAverage of 2 months would be period = "2 month". See function timeAverage for further details on this. This option se useful as one method by which the number of points plotted is reduced i.e. by choosing a longer averaging time.
- data.thresh The data capture threshold to use ( the data using avg.time. A value of zero means that all available data will be used in a particular period regardless if of the number of values available. Conversely, a value of 100 will mean that all data will need to be present for the average to be calculated, else it is recorded as NA. Not used if avg.time = "default".
- statistic The statistic to apply when aggregating the data; default is the mean. Can be one of "mean", "max", "min", "median", "frequency", "sd", "percentile". Note that "sd" is the standard deviation and "frequency" is the number (frequency) of valid records in the period. "percentile" is the percentile level ( using the "percentile" option - see below. Not used if avg.time = "default".
- percentile The percentile level in % used when statistic = "percentile" and when aggregating the data with avg.time. The default is 95. Not used if avg.time = "default".
- type determines how the data are split i.e. conditioned, and then plotted. The default is will produce a single plot using the entire data. Type can be one of the built-in types as detailed in cutData e.g. "season", "year", "weekday" and so on. For example, type = "season" will produce four plots — one for each season.

It is also possible to choose type as another variable in the data frame. If that variable is numeric, then the data will be split into four quantiles (if possible) and labelled accordingly. If type is an existing character or factor variable, then those categories/levels will be used directly. This offers great flexibility for understanding the variation of different variables and how they depend on one another.

Type can be up length two e.g. type = c("season", "weekday") will produce a 2x2 plot split by season and day of the week. Note, when two types are provided the first forms the columns and the second the rows.

smooth	A smooth line is fitted to the data if TRUE; optionally with 95% confidence intervals shown. For method = "level" a smooth surface will be fitted to binned data.			
spline	A smooth spline is fitted to the data if TRUE. This is particularly useful when there are fewer data points or when a connection line between a sequence of points is required.			
linear	A linear model is fitted to the data if <b>TRUE</b> ; optionally with 95% confidence intervals shown. The equation of the line and R2 value is also shown.			
ci	Should the confidence intervals for the smooth/linear fit be shown?			
mod.line	If <b>TRUE</b> three lines are added to the scatter plot to help inform model evaluation. The 1:1 line is solid and the 1:0.5 and 1:2 lines are dashed. Together these lines help show how close a group of points are to a 1:1 relationship and also show the points that are within a factor of two (FAC2). <b>mod.line</b> is appropriately transformed when x or y axes are on a log scale.			
cols	Colours to be used for plotting. Options include "default", "increment", "heat", "jet" and RColorBrewer colours—see the openair openColours function for more details. For user defined the user can supply a list of colour names recognised by R (type colours() to see the full list). An example would be cols = $c("yellow", "green", "blue")$			
plot.type	<b>lattice</b> plot type. Can be "p" (points – default), "l" (lines) or "b" (lines and points).			
key	Should a key be drawn? The default is <b>TRUE</b> .			
key.title	The title of the key (if used).			
key.columr	Number of columns to be used in the key. With many pollutants a single column can make to key too wide. The user can thus choose to use several columns by setting columns to be less than the number of pollutants.			
key.position Location where the scale key is to plotted. Allowed arguments cur- rently include "top", "right", "bottom" and "left".				
strip	Should a strip be drawn? The default is <b>TRUE</b> .			
log.x	Should the x-axis appear on a log scale? The default is FALSE. If TRUE			

- a well-formatted log10 scale is used. This can be useful for checking linearity once logged.
- **log**.y Should the y-axis appear on a log scale? The default is FALSE. If TRUE a well-formatted log10 scale is used. This can be useful for checking linearity once logged.
- **x.inc** The x-interval to be used for binning data when **method** = "level".
- y.inc The y-interval to be used for binning data when method = "level".

limits For method = "level" the function does its best to choose sensible limits automatically. However, there are circumstances when the user will wish to set different ones. The limits are set in the form c(lower, upper), so limits = c(0, 100) would force the plot limits to span 0-100. windflow This option allows a scatter plot to show the wind speed/direction shows as an arrow. The option is a list e.g. windflow = list(col = "grey", lwd = 2, scale = 0.1). This option requires wind speed (ws) and wind direction (wd) to be available.

> The maximum length of the arrow plotted is a fraction of the plot dimension with the longest arrow being scale of the plot x-y dimension. Note, if the plot size is adjusted manually by the user it should be re-plotted to ensure the correct wind angle. The list may contain other options to panel.arrows in the lattice package. Other useful options include length, which controls the length of the arrow head and angle, which controls the angle of the arrow head.

> This option works best where there are not too many data to ensure overplotting does not become a problem.

- y.relation This determines how the y-axis scale is plotted. "same" ensures all panels use the same scale and "free" will use panel-specific scales. The latter is a useful setting when plotting data with very different values.
- x.relation This determines how the x-axis scale is plotted. "same" ensures all panels use the same scale and "free" will use panel-specific scales. The latter is a useful setting when plotting data with very different values.
- ref.x See ref.y for details.
- ref.y A list with details of the horizontal lines to be added representing reference line(s). For example, ref.y = list(h = 50, lty = 5) will add a dashed horizontal line at 50. Several lines can be plotted e.g. ref.y = list(h = c(50, 100), lty = c(1, 5), col = c("green", "blue")). See panel.abline in the lattice package for more details on adding/controlling lines.
- k Smoothing parameter supplied to gam for fitting a smooth surface when method = "level".
- dist When plotting smooth surfaces (method = "level" and smooth = TRUE, dist controls how far from the original data the predictions should be made. See exclude.too.far from the mgcv package. Data are first transformed to a unit square. Values should be between 0 and 1.
- map Should a base map be drawn? This option is under development.
- auto.text Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE titles and axis labels will automatically try and format pollutant names and units properly e.g. by subscripting the '2' in NO2.
- ... Other graphical parameters are passed onto cutData and an appropriate lattice plot function (xyplot, levelplot or hexbinplot depending on method). For example, scatterPlot passes the option hemisphere = "southern" on to cutData to provide southern (rather than default northern) hemisphere handling of type = "season". Similarly, for the default case method = "scatter" common axis and title labelling options (such as xlab, ylab, main) are passed to xyplot via quickText to handle routine formatting. Other common graphical parameters, e.g.

```
data2003 <- selectByDate(mydata, year = 2003)
scatterPlot(data2003, x = "nox", y = "no2")</pre>
```



FIGURE 16.1 Scatter plot of hourly  $NO_x$  vs.  $NO_2$  at Marylebone Road for 2003.

**layout** for panel arrangement, **pch** for plot symbol and **lwd** and **lty** for line width and type, as also available (see examples below).

For method = "hexbin" it can be useful to transform the scale if it is dominated by a few very high values. This is possible by supplying two functions: one that that applies the transformation and the other that inverses it. For log scaling (the default) for example, trans = function(x) log(x) and inv = function(x) exp(x). For a square root transform use trans = sqrt and inv = function(x) x^2. To not carry out any transformation the options trans = NULL and inv = NULL should be used.

## 16.3 Example of use

We provide a few examples of use and as usual, users are directed towards the help pages (type ?scatterPlot) for more extensive examples.

First we select a subset of data (2003) using the **openair** selectByDate function and plot NO<sub>x</sub> vs. NO<sub>2</sub> (Figure 16.1).

Often with several years of data, points are over-plotted and it can be very difficult to see what the underlying relationship looks like. One very effective method to use in these situations is to 'bin' the data and to colour the intervals by the number of counts of occurrences in each bin. There are various ways of doing this, but 'hexagonal binning' is particularly effective because of the way hexagons can be placed next to one another.<sup>7</sup> To use hexagonal binning it will be necessary to install the hexbin package:

```
install.packages("hexbin")
```

Now it should be possible to make the plot by setting the method option to **method** = "hexbin", as shown in Figure 16.2. The benefit of hexagonal binning is that it works equally well with enormous data sets e.g. several million records. In this case

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>In fact it is not possible to have a shape with more than 6 sides that can be used to forma a lattice without gaps.

scatterPlot(data2003, x = "nox", y = "no2", method = "hexbin", col= "jet")



FIGURE 16.2 Scatter plot of hourly NO<sub>x</sub> vs. NO<sub>2</sub> at Marylebone Road using hexagonal binning. The number of occurrences in each bin is colour-coded (not on a linear scale). It is now possible to see where most of the data lie and a better indication of the relationship between NO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> is revealed.

Figure 16.2 provides a clearer indication of the relationship between  $NO_x$  and  $NO_2$  than Figure 16.1 because it reveals where most of the points lie, which is not apparent from Figure 16.1. Note that For method = "hexbin" it can be useful to transform the scale if it is dominated by a few very high values. This is possible by supplying two functions: one that that applies the transformation and the other that inverses it. For log scaling for example (the default), trans = function(x) log(x) and inv = function(x) exp(x). For a square root transform use trans = sqrt and inv = function(x) x2. To not apply any transformation trans = NULL and inv = NULL should be used.

Note that when **method** = "hexbin" there are various options that are useful e.g. a border around each bin and the number of bins. For example, to place a grey border around each bin and set the bin size try:

The hexagonal binning and other binning methods are useful but often the choice of bin size is somewhat arbitrary. Another useful approach is to use a kernel density estimate to show where most points lie. This is possible in scatterPlot with the method = "density" option. Such a plot is shown in Figure 16.3.

Sometimes it is useful to consider how the relationship between two variables varies by levels of a third. In **openair** this approach is possible by setting the option type. When type is another numeric variables, four plots are produced for different quantiles of that variable. We illustrate this point by considering how the relationship between  $NO_x$  and  $NO_2$  varies with different levels of  $O_3$ . We also take the opportunity to not plot the smooth line, but plot a linear fit instead and force the layout to be a 2 by 2 grid.



FIGURE 16.3 Scatter plot of hourly NO<sub>x</sub> vs. NO<sub>2</sub> at Marylebone Road using a kernel density estimate to show where most of the points lie. The 'intensity' is a measure of how many points lie in a unit area of NO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> concentration.



FIGURE 16.4 Scatter plot of hourly  $NO_x$  vs.  $NO_2$  at Marylebone Road by different levels of  $O_3$ .

Below is an extended example that brings together data manipulation, refined plot options and linear fitting of two variables with  $NO_x$ . The aim is to plot the weekly concentration of  $NO_x$  against  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  and fit linear equations to both relationships. To do this we need the x variable as  $NO_x$  and the y variable as  $PM_{10}$  or  $PM_{2.5}$ , which

means we also need a column that will act as a grouping column i.e. identifies whether the y is  $PM_{10}$  or  $PM_{2.5}$ .

```
# load the packages we need
library(tidyverse)
# select the variables of interest
subdat <- select(mydata, date, nox, pm10, pm25) # calculate weekly averages
subdat <- timeAverage(subdat, avg.time = "week")</pre>
# reshape so we have two variable columns
subdat <- pivot_longer(subdat, cols = c(pm10, pm25), names_to = "pollutant")</pre>
head(subdat)
## # A tibble: 6 x 4
##
    date
                          nox pollutant value
##
    <dttm>
                         <dbl> <chr>
                                          <dbl>
## 1 1997-12-29 00:00:00 128. pm10
                                          21.8
## 2 1997-12-29 00:00:00 128. pm25
                                          NaN
## 3 1998-01-05 00:00:00 189. pm10
                                          33.6
## 4 1998-01-05 00:00:00 189. pm25
                                          NaN
## 5 1998-01-12 00:00:00 203. pm10
                                          29.1
## 6 1998-01-12 00:00:00 203. pm25
                                          NaN
```

Now we will plot weekly NO<sub>x</sub> versus PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> and fit a linear equation to both - and adjust some of the symbols (shown in Figure 16.5).

```
scatterPlot(subdat, x = "nox", y = "value", group = "pollutant",
    pch = 21:22, cex = 1.6, fill = c("dodgerblue", "tomato"),
    col = "white",
    linear = TRUE,
    xlab = "nox (ppb)",
    ylab = "PM concentration (ug/m3)")
```



FIGURE 16.5 Scatter plot of weekly NO<sub>x</sub> vs.  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  at Marylebone Road with linear equations shown and plot symbols modified.

To gain a better idea of where the data lie and the linear fits, adding some transparency helps:

```
scatterPlot(subdat, x = "nox", y = "value", group = "variable",
    pch = 21:22, cex = 1.6, fill = c("dodgerblue", "tomato"),
    col = "white",
    linear = TRUE,
    xlab = "nox (ppb)",
    ylab = "PM concentration (ug/m3)",
    alpha = 0.2)
```

The above example will also work with type. For example, to consider how  $NO_x$  againts  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  varies by season:

```
scatterPlot(subdat, x = "nox", y = "value", group = "variable",
    pch = 21:22, cex = 2, fill = c("dodgerblue", "tomato"),
    col = "white", linear = TRUE,
    xlab = "nox (ppb)",
    ylab = "PM concentration (ug/m3)",
    type = "season")
```

Finally, we show how to plot a continuous colour scale for a third *numeric* variable setting the value of z to the third variable. Figure 16.6 shows again the relationship between NO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> but this time colour-coded by the concentration of O<sub>3</sub>. We also take the opportunity to split the data into seasons and weekday/weekend by setting type = c("season", "weekend"). There is an enormous amount of information that can be gained from plots such as this. Differences between weekdays and the weekend can highlight changes in emission sources, splitting by seasons can show seasonal influences in meteorology and background O<sub>3</sub> and colouring the data by the concentration of O<sub>3</sub> helps to show how O<sub>3</sub> concentrations affect NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. For example, consider the summertime-weekday panel where it clearly shows that the higher NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are associated with high O<sub>3</sub> concentrations. Indeed there are some hours where NO<sub>2</sub> is >100 ppb at quite low concentrations of NO<sub>x</sub> (≈200 ppb). It would also be interesting instead of using O<sub>3</sub> concentrations from Marylebone Road to use O<sub>3</sub> from a background site.

Figure 16.6 was very easily produced but contains a huge amount of useful information showing the relationship between  $NO_x$  and  $NO_2$  dependent upon the concentration of  $O_3$ , the season and the day of the week. There are of course numerous other plots that are equally easily produced. scatterPlot(selectByDate(data2003, month = 8), x = "date", y = "so2", z = "wd")



date vs. SO<sub>2</sub> by levels of wind dir.

FIGURE 16.7 Scatter plot of date vs. SO, at Marylebone Road by different levels of wind direction for August 2003.

scatterPlot(data2003, x = "nox", y = "no2", z = "o3", type = c("season", "weekend"), limits = c(0, 30)



NO<sub>x</sub> vs. NO<sub>2</sub> by levels of O<sub>3</sub>

FIGURE 16.6 Scatter plot of hourly NO<sub>x</sub> vs. NO<sub>2</sub> at Marylebone Road by different levels of  $O_3$ split by season and weekday-weekend.

Figure 16.7 shows that scatterPlot can also handles dates on the x-axis; in this case shown for SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations coloured by wind direction for August 2003.

Similar to timePlot, scatterPlot can also plot wind vector arrows if wind speed and wind direction are available in the data frame. Figure 16.8 shows an example of using the windflow option. The Figure also sets many other options including showing the concentration of  $O_3$  as a colour, setting the colour scale used and selecting a few days of interest using the selectByDate function. Figure 16.8 shows that when the wind direction changes to northerly, the concentration of NO<sub>2</sub> decreases and that of



FIGURE 16.8 Scatter plot of date vs.  $NO_2$  with the colour scale representing  $O_3$ . The wind flow vectors are also shown.

O<sub>3</sub> increases.

## 17 The linearRelation function

see also timeVariation calcFno2

This function considers linear relationships between two pollutants. The relationships are calculated on different times bases using a linear model. The slope and 95 % confidence interval in slope relationships by time unit are plotted in several different ways. The function is particularly useful when considering whether relationships are consistent with emissions inventories.

The relationships between pollutants can yield some very useful information about source emissions and how they change. A scatter plot between two pollutants is the usual way to investigate the relationship. A linear regression is useful to test the strength of the relationship. However, considerably more information can be gleaned by considering different time periods, such as how the relationship between two pollutants vary over time, by day of the week, diurnally and so on. The linearRelation function does just that — it fits a linear relationship between two pollutants over a wide range of time periods.

Consider the relationship between  $NO_x$  and  $NO_2$ . It is best to think of the relationship as:

 $y = m.x + c \tag{8}$ 

i.e.

$$NO_2 = m.NO_x + c \tag{9}$$

In which case x corresponds to  $NO_x$  and y corresponds to  $NO_2$ . The plots show the gradient, m in what ever units the original data were in. For comparison with emission

inventories it makes sense to have all the units expressed as mass. By contrast, oxidant slopes are best calculated in volume units e.g. ppb.

linearRelation function is particularly useful if background concentrations are first removed from roadside concentrations, as the increment will relate more directly with changes in emissions. In this respect, using **linearRelation** can provide valuable information on how emissions may have changed over time, by hour of the day etc. Using the function in this way will require users to do some basic manipulation with their data first.

If a data frame is supplied that contains nox, no2 and o3, the y can be chosen as y = "ox". In function will therefore consider total oxidant slope (sum of NO<sub>2</sub> + O<sub>2</sub>), which can provide valuable information on likely vehicle primary NO emissions. Note, however, that most roadside sites do not have ozone measurements and calcFno2 is the alternative.

### 17.1 Options available

mydata	A data frame minimally containing date and two pollutants.
x	First pollutant that when plotted would appear on the x-axis of a relation- ship e.g. $x = "nox"$ .
У	Second pollutant that when plotted would appear on the y-axis of a rela- tionship e.g. y = "pm10".
period	A range of different time periods can be analysed. The default is month but can be year and week. For increased flexibility an integer can be used e.g. for 3-month values period = "3 month". Other cases include "hour" will show the diurnal relationship between x and y and "week-

day" the day of the week relationship between x and y. "day.hour" will plot the relationship by weekday and hour of the day. condition Forperiod = "hour", period = "day" and period = "day.hour",

- setting condition = TRUE will plot the relationships split by year. This is useful for seeing how the relationships may be changing over time.
- The minimum number of points to be sent to the linear model. Because n there may only be a few points e.g. hours where two pollutants are available over one week, n can be set to ensure that at least n points are sent to the linear model. If a period has hours < n that period will be ignored.
- rsq.thresh The minimum correlation coefficient (R2) allowed. If the relationship between x and y is not very good for a particular period, setting rsq. thresh can help to remove those periods where the relationship is not strong. Any R2 values below rsq.thresh will not be plotted.
- y-axis title, specified by the user. ylab
- auto.text Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE titles and axis labels will automatically try and format pollutant names and units properly e.g. by subscripting the '2' in NO2.
- cols Colour for the points and uncertainty intervals.
- date.breaks Number of major x-axis intervals to use. The function will try and choose a sensible number of dates/times as well as formatting the date/time

linearRelation(mydata, x = "nox", y = "so2")



FIGURE 17.1 Relationship between  $NO_x$  and  $SO_2$  using the linearRelation function. Note that the units of both pollutants are in ppb. The uncertainty in the slope of the hourly relationship between  $SO_2$  and  $NO_x$  on a monthly basis is shown at 95 % confidence intervals. The smooth line and shaded area show the general trend using a loess smooth.

appropriately to the range being considered. This does not always work as desired automatically. The user can therefore increase or decrease the number of intervals by adjusting the value of date.breaks up or down.

... Other graphical parameters. A useful one to remove the strip with the date range on at the top of the plot is to set strip = FALSE.

### 17.2 Example of use

Some examples of the linearRelation function are given in this section. The first example considers the ratio of  $SO_2/NO_x$ , which is plotted in Figure 17.1.

Figure 17.1 shows the relationship between  $NO_x$  and  $SO_2$ . Early in the series (pre-1999) the ratio of  $SO_2/NO_x$  was relatively high (about 3.5 in volume units). However, from 1999 onwards the relationship has been relatively constant. One (probable) explanation for the higher ratio at the beginning of the series is due to a higher fuel sulphur content of petrol and diesel. There are many other examples shown in the package itself, type ?linearRelation to see them.

One of the useful applications of this function is to consider the 'oxidant' (sum of NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>) slope where there are measurements of NO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> at a site. At roadside sites the oxidant slope provides a good indication of the likely ratio of NO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>x</sub> in vehicle exhausts. Because there are few sites that measure O<sub>3</sub> at the roadside, the calcFno2 function provides an alternative method of estimation. Figure 17.2 shows how the oxidant slope (an estimate of f-NO<sub>2</sub>) varies by day of the week and hour of the day.

```
linearRelation(mydata, x = "nox", y = "ox", period = "day.hour")
```



FIGURE 17.2 Oxidant slope by day of the week and hour of the day.

# 18 The trendLevel function

### 18.1 Purpose

The trendLevel function provides a way of rapidly showing a large amount of data in a condensed way. It is particularly useful for plotting the level of a value against two categorical variables. These categorical variables can pre-exist in a data set or be made on the fly using **openair**. By default it will show the mean value of a variable against two categorical variables but can also consider a wider range of statistics e.g. the maximum, frequency, or indeed a user-defined function. The function is much more flexible than this by showing temporal data and can plot 'heat maps' in many flexible ways. Both continuous colour scales and user-defined categorical scales can be used.

The trendLevel function shows how the value of a variable varies according to intervals of two other variables. The x and y variables can be categorical (factor or character) or numeric. The third variable (z) must be numeric and is coloured according to its value. Despite being called trendLevel the function is flexible enough to consider a wide range of plotting variables.

If the x and y variables are not categorical they are made so by splitting the data into quantiles (using cutData).

## 18.2 Options available

mydata	The openair data frame to use to generate the trendLevel plot.
pollutant	The name of the data series in mydata to sample to produce the trendLevel plot.
X	The name of the data series to use as the trendLevel x-axis. This is used with the y and type options to bin the data before applying statistic (see below). Other data series in mydata can also be used. (Note: trendLevel does not allow duplication in x, y and type options within a call.)
у	The names of the data series to use as the trendLevel y-axis and for additional conditioning, respectively. As x above.
type	See v.

- rotate.axis The rotation to be applied to trendLevel x and y axes. The default,
   c(90, 0), rotates the x axis by 90 degrees but does not rotate the y axis.
   (Note: If only one value is supplied, this is applied to both axes; if more than two values are supplied, only the first two are used.)
- n.levels The number of levels to split x, y and type data into if numeric. The default, c(10, 10, 4), cuts numeric x and y data into ten levels and numeric type data into four levels. (Notes: This option is ignored for date conditioning and factors. If less than three values are supplied, three values are determined by recursion; if more than three values are supplied, only the first three are used.)
- **limits** The colour scale range to use when generating the **trendLevel** plot.
- **cols** The colour set to use to colour the trendLevel surface. cols is passed to openColours for evaluation. See ?openColours for more details.
- auto.text Automatic routine text formatting. auto.text = TRUE passes common
  lattice labelling terms (e.g. xlab for the x-axis, ylab for the y-axis
  and main for the title) to the plot via quickText to provide common text
  formatting. The alternative auto.text = FALSE turns this option off
  and passes any supplied labels to the plot without modification.
- key.header, key.footer Adds additional text labels above and/or below the scale key, respectively. For example, passing the options key.header = "", key.footer = c("mean", "nox") adds the addition text as a scale footer. If enabled (auto.text = TRUE), these arguments are passed to the scale key (drawOpenKey) via quickText to handle formatting. The term "get.stat.name", used as the default key.header setting, is reserved and automatically adds statistic function names or defaults to "level" when unnamed functions are requested via statistic.
- key.position Location where the scale key should be plotted. Allowed arguments currently include "top", "right", "bottom" and "left".
- key Fine control of the scale key via draw0penKey. See ?draw0penKey for further details.
- labels If a categorical colour scale is required then these labels will be used. Note there is one less label than break. For example, labels = c("good", "bad", "very bad"). breaks must also be supplied if labels are given.
- **breaks** If a categorical colour scale is required then these breaks will be used. For example, **breaks** = c(0, 50, 100, 1000). In this case "good" corresponds to values berween 0 and 50 and so on. Users should set the maximum value of **breaks** to exceed the maximum data value to ensure it is within the maximum final range e.g. 100–1000 in this case. **labels** must also be supplied.
- statistic The statistic method to be use to summarise locally binned pollutant measurements with. Three options are currently encoded: "mean" (default), "max" and "frequency". (Note: Functions can also be sent directly via statistic. However, this option is still in development and should be used with caution. See Details below.)

- stat.args Additional options to be used with statistic if this is a function. The extra options should be supplied as a list of named parameters. (see Details below.)
- stat.safe.mode An addition protection applied when using functions directly with statistic that most users can ignore. This option returns NA instead of running statistic on binned subsamples that are empty. Many common functions terminate with an error message when applied to an empty dataset. So, this option provides a mechanism to work with such functions. For a very few cases, e.g. for a function that counted missing entries, it might need to be set to FALSE (see Details below.)
- drop.unused.types Hide unused/empty type conditioning cases. Some conditioning options may generate empty cases for some data sets, e.g. a hour of the day when no measurements were taken. Empty x and y cases generate 'holes' in individual plots. However, empty type cases would produce blank panels if plotted. Therefore, the default, TRUE, excludes these empty panels from the plot. The alternative FALSE plots all type panels.
- col.na Colour to be used to show missing data.
- Addition options are passed on to cutData for type handling and levelplot in lattice for finer control of the plot itself.

### 18.3 Example of use

The standard output from trendLevel is shown in Figure 18.1, which shows the variation in NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations by year and hour of the day.

Figure 18.3 indicates that the highest  $NO_x$  concentrations most strongly associate with wind sectors about 200 degrees, appear to be decreasing over the years, but do not appear to associate with an SO<sub>2</sub> rich NO<sub>x</sub> source. Using type = "so2" would have conditioned by absolute SO<sub>2</sub> concentration. As both a moderate contribution from an SO<sub>2</sub> rich source and a high contribution from an SO<sub>2</sub> poor source could generate similar SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, such conditioning can sometimes blur interpretations. The use of this type of 'over pollutant' ratio reduces this blurring by focusing conditioning on cases when NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations (be they high or low) associate with relatively high or low SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.

The plot can be used in much more flexible ways. Here are some examples (not plotted):

A plot of mean O<sub>3</sub> concentration shown by season and by daylight/nighttime hours.

trendLevel(mydata, x = "season", y = "daylight", pollutant = "o3")

Or by season and hour of the day:

How about  $NO_x$  versus  $NO_2$  coloured by the concentration of  $O_3$ ? scatterPlot could also be used to produce such a plot. However, one interesting difference with using trendLevel is that the data are split into quantiles where equal numbers of data exist in each interval. This approach can make it a bit easier to see the underlying relationship between variables. A scatter plot may have too much data to be clear and

```
trendLevel(mydata, pollutant = "nox")
```



FIGURE 18.1 Standard trendLevel output.

also outliers (or regions with relatively few data) that make it harder to see what is going on. The plot generated by the command below makes it a bit easier to see that it is the higher quantiles of  $NO_2$  that are associated with higher  $O_3$  concentration (as well as low  $NO_x$  and  $NO_2$  concentrations).

The plot can also be shown by wind direction sector, this time showing how  $O_3$  varies by weekday, wind direction sector and  $NO_x$  quantile.

By default trendLevel subsamples the plotted pollutant data by the supplied x, y and type parameters and in each case calculates the mean. The option statistic has always let you apply other statistics. For example, trendLevel also calculated the maximum via the option statistic = "max". The user may also use their own statistic function.

As a simple example, consider the above plot which summarises by mean. This tells us about average concentrations. It might also be useful to consider a particular percentile of concentrations. This can be done by defining one's own function as shown


### 

FIGURE 18.2 trendLevel output with wind direction as y axis. This plot also shows the effect of setting the border colour to white.

## in Figure 18.4.

This type of flexibility really opens up the potential of the function as a screening tool for the early stages of data analysis. Increased control of x, y, type and statistic allow you to very quick explore your data and develop an understanding of how different parameters interact. Patterns in trendLevel plots can also help to direct your **ope**nair analysis. For example, possible trends in data conditioned by year would suggest that functions like smoothTrend or TheilSen could provide further insight. Likewise, windRose or polarPlot could be useful next steps if wind speed and direct conditioning produces interesting features. However, perhaps most interestingly, novel conditioning or the incorporation of novel parameters in this type of highly flexible function provides a means of developing new data visualisation and analysis methods.

trendLevel can also be used with user defined discrete colour scales as shown in Figure 18.5. In this case the default x and y variables are chosen (month and hour) split by type (year).

## new field: so2/nox ratio
mydata\$ratio <- mydata\$so2/mydata\$nox</pre>

## condition by mydata\$new
trendLevel(mydata, "nox", x = "year", y = "wd", type = "ratio")



FIGURE 18.3 trendLevel output with  $SO_2$ : NO<sub>x</sub> ratio type conditioning.

```
## function to estimate 95th percentile
percentile <- function(x) quantile(x, probs = 0.95, na.rm = TRUE)
## apply to present plot
trendLevel(mydata, "nox", x = "year", y = "wd", type = "ratio",</pre>
```



FIGURE 18.4 trendLevel using locally defined statistic.





FIGURE 18.5 trendLevel plot for maximum NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations using a user-defined discrete colour scale.

# 19 openair back trajectory functions

Back trajectories are extremely useful in air pollution and can provide important information on air mass origins. Despite the clear usefulness of back trajectories, their use tends to be restricted to the research community. Back trajectories are used for many purposes from understanding the origins of air masses over a few days to undertaking longer term analyses. They are often used to filter air mass origins to allow for more refined analyses of air pollution — for example trends in concentration by air mass origin. They are often also combined with more sophisticated analyses such as cluster analysis to help group similar type of air mass by origin.

Perhaps one of the reasons why back trajectory analysis is not carried out more often is that it can be time consuming to do. This is particularly so if one wants to consider several years at several sites. It can also be difficult to access back trajectory data. In an attempt to overcome some of these issues and expand the possibilities for data analysis, **openair** makes several functions available to access and analyse pre-calculated back trajectories.

Currently these functions allow for the import of pre-calculated back trajectories are several pre-define locations and some trajectory plotting functions. In time all of these functions will be developed to allow more sophisticated analyses to be undertaken. Also it should be recognised that these functions are in their early stages of development and will may continue to change and be refined.

This importTraj function imports pre-calculated back trajectories using the HYS-PLIT trajectory model (Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory Model http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php). Trajectories are run at 3-hour intervals and stored in yearly files (see below). The trajectories are started at ground-level (10m) and propagated backwards in time. The data are stored on web-servers at King's College London in a similar way to importKCL, which makes it very easy to import pre-processed trajectory data for a range of locations and years. Note — the back trajectories have been pre-calculated for specific locations and stored as .RData objects. Users should contact David Carslaw to request the addition of other locations. So far only a few receptors are available to users but in time the number will increase. It should be feasible for example to run back trajectories for the past 20 years at all the EMEP sites in Europe.<sup>8</sup>

Users may for various reasons wish to run HYSPLIT themselves e.g. for different starting heights, longer periods or more locations. Code and instructions have been provided in Appendix C for users wishing to do this. Users can also use different means of calculating back trajectories e.g. ECMWF and plot them in **openair** provided a few basic fields are present: date (POSIXct), lat (decimal latitude), lon (decimal longitude) and hour.inc the hour offset from the arrival date (i.e. from zero decreasing to the length of the back trajectories). See ?importTraj for more details.

These trajectories have been calculated using the Global NOAA-NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data archives. The global data are on a latitude-longitude grid (2.5 degree). Note that there are many different meteorological data sets that can be used to run HYS-PLIT e.g. including ECMWF data. However, in order to make it practicable to run and store trajectories for many years and sites, the NOAA-NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data is most useful. In addition, these archives are available for use widely, which is not the case for many other data sets e.g. ECMWF. HYSPLIT calculated trajectories based on archive data may be distributed without permission (see http://ready.arl.noaa. gov/HYSPLIT\_agreement.php). For those wanting, for example, to consider higher resolution meteorological data sets it may be better to run the trajectories separately.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>It takes about 15 hours to run 20 years of 96-hour back trajectories at 3-hour intervals.

**openair** uses the mapproj package to allow users to user different map projections. By default the projection used is Lambert conformal, which is a conic projection best used for mid-latitude areas. The HYSPLIT model itself will use any one of three different projections depending on the latitude of the origin. If the latitude greater than 55.0 (or less than -55.0) then a polar stereographic projection is used, if the latitude greater than -25.0 and less than 25.0 the mercator projection is used and elsewhere (the mid-latitudes) the Lambert projection. All these projections (and many others) are available in the mapproj package.

Users should see the help file for importTraj to get an up to date list of receptors where back trajectories have been calculated.

As an example, we will import trajectories for London in 2010. Importing them is easy:

```
traj <- importTraj(site = "london", year = 2010)</pre>
```

The file itself contains lots of information that is of use for plotting back trajectories:

```
head(traj)
```

##		receptor	year	month	day	hour	hour.inc	lat	lon	height	pressure
##	1	1	2010	1	1	9	0	52	-0.100	10	995
##	2	1	2010	1	1	8	-1	52	0.057	10	995
##	3	1	2010	1	1	7	-2	52	0.250	10	995
##	4	1	2010	1	1	6	-3	52	0.488	11	995
##	5	1	2010	1	1	5	-4	53	0.767	11	995
##	6	1	2010	1	1	4	- 5	53	1.065	11	996
##				date2			dat	te			
##	1	2010-01-0	01 09	:00:00	2010	0-01-0	1 09:00:0	90			
##	2	2010-01-0	01 08	:00:00	2010	0-01-0	1 09:00:0	90			
##	3	2010-01-0	01 07	:00:00	2010	0-01-0	1 09:00:0	90			
##	4	2010-01-0	01 06	:00:00	2010	0-01-0	1 09:00:0	90			
##	5	2010-01-0	01 05	:00:00	2010	0-01-0	1 09:00:0	90			
##	6	2010-01-0	01 04	:00:00	2010	0-01-0	1 09:00:0	90			

The traj data frame contains among other things the latitude and longitude of the back trajectory, the height (m) and pressure (Pa) of the trajectory. The date field is the *arrival* time of the air-mass and is useful for linking with ambient measurement data.

The trajPlot function is used for plotting back trajectory lines and density plots and has the following options:

mydata	Data frame, the result of importing a trajectory file using importTraj.
lon	Column containing the longitude, as a decimal.
lat	Column containing the latitude, as a decimal.
pollutant	Pollutant to be plotted. By default the trajectory height is used.
type	<b>type</b> determines how the data are split i.e. conditioned, and then plotted. The default is will produce a single plot using the entire data. Type can be one of the built-in types as detailed in cutData e.g. "season", "year", "weekday" and so on. For example, <b>type</b> = "season" will produce four plots — one for each season.
	It is also possible to choose type as another variable in the data frame. If that variable is numeric, then the data will be split into four quantiles (if possible) and labelled accordingly. If type is an existing character or

factor variable, then those categories/levels will be used directly. This offers great flexibility for understanding the variation of different variables and how they depend on one another.

type can be up length two e.g. type = c("season", "weekday") will produce a 2x2 plot split by season and day of the week. Note, when two types are provided the first forms the columns and the second the rows.

- mapShould a base map be drawn? If TRUE the world base map from the maps<br/>package is used.
- **group** It is sometimes useful to group and colour trajectories according to a grouping variable. See example below.
- map.fill Should the base map be a filled polygon? Default is to fill countries.
- map.res The resolution of the base map. By default the function uses the 'world'
  map from the maps package. If map.res = "hires" then the (much)
  more detailed base map 'worldHires' from the mapdata package is used.
  Use library(mapdata). Also available is a map showing the US states.
  In this case map.res = "state" should be used.
- map.cols If map.fill = TRUE map.cols controls the fill colour. Examples includemap.fill = "grey40" and map.fill = openColours("default", 10). The latter colours the countries and can help differentiate them.
- map.alpha The transpency level of the filled map which takes values from 0 (full transparency) to 1 (full opacity). Setting it below 1 can help view trajectories, trajectory surfaces etc. *and* a filled base map.
- projection The map projection to be used. Different map projections are possible through the mapproj package. See ?mapproject for extensive details and information on setting other parameters and orientation (see below).
- parameters From the mapproj package. Optional numeric vector of parameters for use with the projection argument. This argument is optional only in the sense that certain projections do not require additional parameters. If a projection does not require additional parameters then set to null i.e. parameters = NULL.
- orientation From the mapproj package. An optional vector c(latitude, longitude, rotation) which describes where the "North Pole" should be when computing the projection. Normally this is c(90, 0), which is appropriate for cylindrical and conic projections. For a planar projection, you should set it to the desired point of tangency. The third value is a clockwise rotation (in degrees), which defaults to the midrange of the longitude coordinates in the map.
- npoints A dot is placed every npoints along each full trajectory. For hourly back trajectories points are plotted every npoint hours. This helps to understand where the air masses were at particular times and get a feel for the speed of the air (points closer togther correspond to slower moving air masses). If npoints = NA then no points are added.

```
trajPlot(selectByDate(traj, start = "15/4/2010", end ="21/4/2010"),
    map.cols = openColours("hue", 10),
    col = "grey30")
```



FIGURE 19.1 96-hour HYSPLIT back trajectories centred on London for 7 days in April 2010. Note the additional option to vary the country colours using map.cols. By default the map colours for all countries are grey.

- origin If true a filled circle dot is shown to mark the receptor point.
- ... other arguments are passed to cutData and scatterPlot. This provides access to arguments used in both these functions and functions that they in turn pass arguments on to. For example, plotTraj passes the argument cex on to scatterPlot which in turn passes it on to the lattice function xyplot where it is applied to set the plot symbol size.

Next, we consider how to plot back trajectories with a few simple examples. The first example will consider a potentially interesting period when the Icelandic volcano, Eyjafjallajökull erupted in April 2010. The eruption of Eyjafjallajökull resulted in a flight-ban that lasted six days across many European airports. In Figure 19.1 selectByDate is used to consider the 7 days of interest and we choose to plot the back trajectories as lines rather than points (the default). Figure 19.1 does indeed show that many of the back trajectories originated from Iceland over this period. Note also the plot automatically includes a world base map. The base map itself is not at very high resolution by default but is useful for the sorts of spatial scales that back trajectories exist over. The base map is also global, so provided that there are pre-calculated back trajectories, these maps can be generated anywhere in the world. By default the function uses the 'world' map from the maps package. If map.res = "hires" then the (much) more detailed base map 'worldHires' from the mapdata package is used.<sup>9</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>You will need to load the mapdata package i.e. library(mapdata).



FIGURE 19.2 96-hour HYSPLIT back trajectories centred on London for 7 days in April 2010, shown separately for each day.

Note that trajPlot will only plot *full length* trajectories. This can be important when plotting something like a single month e.g. by using selectByDate when on partial sections of some trajectories may be selected.

There are a few other ways of representing the data shown in Figure 19.1. For example, it might be useful to plot the trajectories for each day. To do this we need to make a new column 'day' which can be used in the plotting. The first example considers plotting the back trajectories in separate panels (Figure 19.2).

Another way of plotting the data is to group the trajectories by day and colour them. This time we also set a few other options to get the layout we want — shown in Figure 19.3.

So far the plots have provided information on where the back trajectories come from, grouped or split by day. It is also possible, in common with most other **openair** functions to split the trajectories by many other variables e.g. month, season and so on. However, perhaps one of the most useful approaches is to link the back trajectories with the concentrations of a pollutant. As mentioned previously, the back trajectory data has a column 'date' representing the arrival time of the air mass that can be used to link with concentration measurements. A couple of steps are required to do this using the merge function.

```
trajPlot(selectByDate(traj, start = "15/4/2010", end = "21/4/2010"),
    group = "day", col = "jet", lwd = 2, key.pos = "top",
    key.col = 4)
```



FIGURE 19.3 96-hour HYSPLIT back trajectories centred on London for 7 days in April 2010, shown grouped for each day and coloured accordingly.

```
## import data for North Kensington
kc1 <- importAURN("kc1", year =2010)</pre>
# now merge with trajectory data by 'date'
traj <- merge(traj, kc1, by = "date")</pre>
## look at first few lines
head(traj)
##
                   date receptor year month
                                                 day hour hour.inc lat
                                                                          lon
## 1 2010-01-01 09:00:00 1 2010 1 2010-01-01 9
                                                           0 52 -0.100
                                                                -1 52 0.057
## 2 2010-01-01 09:00:00
                                                        8
                              1 2010
                                         1 2010-01-01
                             1 2010
                                        1 2010-01-01 7
                                                               -2 52 0.250
## 3 2010-01-01 09:00:00
                                                               -3 52 0.488
## 4 2010-01-01 09:00:00
                              1 2010
                                        1 2010-01-01 6
## 5 2010-01-01 09:00:00
                              1 2010
                                        1 2010-01-01
                                                        5
                                                                -4 53 0.767
## 6 2010-01-01 09:00:00
                              1 2010
                                        1 2010-01-01
                                                        4
                                                                -5 53
                                                                       1.065
##
    height pressure
                                 date2 code
                                                           site o3 no2
                                                                       co so2
## 1
                995 2010-01-01 09:00:00 KC1 London N. Kensington 46
        10
                                                                   29 0.3
                                                                            0
## 2
        10
                995 2010-01-01 08:00:00 KC1 London N. Kensington 46
                                                                    29 0.3
                                                                            0
## 3
        10
                995 2010-01-01 07:00:00 KC1 London N. Kensington 46
                                                                    29 0.3
                                                                            0
## 4
        11
                995 2010-01-01 06:00:00 KC1 London N. Kensington 46
                                                                    29 0.3
                                                                            0
## 5
                995 2010-01-01 05:00:00 KC1 London N. Kensington 46
        11
                                                                   29 0.3
                                                                            0
## 6
                996 2010-01-01 04:00:00 KC1 London N. Kensington 46 29 0.3
                                                                            0
        11
## pm10 nox no pm2.5 nv2.5 v2.5 nv10 v10 ws wd
       8 38 6
                                      0 NA NA
## 1
                   NA
                        NA NA
                                 8
## 2
       8 38 6
                                       0 NA NA
                   NA
                        NA
                            NA
                                   8
                                       0 NA NA
## 3
       8 38 6
                   NA
                        NA NA
                                 8
                                     0 NA NA
## 4
       8 38 6
                   NA
                        NA NA
                                 8
## 5
       8 38 6
                                       0 NA NA
                   NA
                        NA
                           NA 8
## 6
       8 38 6
                   NA
                        NA
                             NA
                                 8
                                       0 NA NA
```







This time we can use the option **pollutant** in the function **trajPlot**, which will plot the back trajectories coloured by the concentration of a pollutant. Figure 19.4 does seem to show elevated  $PM_{10}$  concentrations originating from Iceland over the period of interest. In fact, these elevated concentrations occur on two days as shown in Figure 19.2. However, care is needed when interpreting such data because other analysis would need to rule out other reasons why  $PM_{10}$  could be elevated; in particular due to local sources of  $PM_{10}$ . There are lots of **openair** functions that can help here e.g. **timeVariation** or **timePlot** to see if  $NO_x$  concentrations were also elevated (which they seem to be). It would also be worth considering other sites for back trajectories that could be less influenced by local emissions.

However, it is possible to account for the PM that is local to some extent by considering the relationship between  $NO_x$  and  $PM_{10}$  (or  $PM_{2.5}$ ). For example, using scatterPlot (not shown):

scatterPlot(kc1, x = "nox", y = "pm2.5", avg = "day", linear = TRUE)

which suggests a gradient of 0.084. Therefore we can remove the  $PM_{10}$  that is associated NO<sub>x</sub> in kc1 data, making a new column pm.new:

kc1 <- transform(kc1, pm.new = pm10 - 0.084 \* nox)</pre>

We have already merged kc1 with traj, so to keep things simple we import traj

again and merge it with kc1. Note that if we had thought of this initially, pm.new would have been calculated first before merging with traj.

```
traj <- importTraj(site = "london", year = 2010)
traj <- merge(traj, kc1, by = "date")</pre>
```

Now it is possible to plot the trajectories:

Which, interestingly still clearly shows elevated  $PM_{10}$  concentrations for those two days that cross Iceland. The same is also true for  $PM_{2.5}$ . However, as mentioned previously, checking other sites in more rural areas would be a good idea.

# 19.1 Trajectory gridded frequencies

The HYSPLIT model itself contains various analysis options for gridding trajectory data. Similar capabilities are also available in **openair** where the analyses can be extended using other **openair** capabilities. It is useful to gain an idea of where trajectories come from. Over the course of a year representing trajectories as lines or points results in a lot of over-plotting. Therefore it is useful to grid the trajectory data and calculate various statistics by considering latitude-longitude intervals.

The first analysis considers the number of unique trajectories in a particular grid square. This is achieved by using the trajLevel function and setting the statistic option to "frequency". Figure 19.5 shows the frequency of back trajectory crossings for the North Kensington data. In this case it highlights that most trajectory origins are from the west and north for 2010 at this site. Note that in this case, pollutant can just be the trajectory height (or another numeric field) rather than an actual pollutant because only the frequencies are considered.

The trajLevel function has the following options:

- mydata Data frame, the result of importing a trajectory file using importTraj
- lon Column containing the longitude, as a decimal.
- **lat** Column containing the latitude, as a decimal.
- pollutant Pollutant to be plotted. By default the trajectory height is used.

type determines how the data are split i.e. conditioned, and then plotted. The default is will produce a single plot using the entire data. Type can be one of the built-in types as detailed in cutData e.g. "season", "year", "weekday" and so on. For example, type = "season" will produce four plots — one for each season.

> It is also possible to choose **type** as another variable in the data frame. If that variable is numeric, then the data will be split into four quantiles (if possible) and labelled accordingly. If type is an existing character or factor variable, then those categories/levels will be used directly. This offers great flexibility for understanding the variation of different variables and how they depend on one another.

> type can be up length two e.g. type = c("season", "weekday") will produce a 2x2 plot split by season and day of the week. Note, when two types are provided the first forms the columns and the second the rows.

smooth Should the trajectory surface be smoothed?

**statistic** For **trajLevel**. By default the function will plot the trajectory frequencies.

For trajLevel, the argument method = "hexbin" can be used. In this case hexagonal binning of the trajectory *points* (i.e. a point every three hours along each back trajectory). The plot then shows the trajectory frequencies uses hexagonal binning. This is an alternative way of viewing trajectory frequencies compared with statistic = "frequency".

There are also various ways of plotting concentrations.

It is also possible to set **statistic** = "difference". In this case trajectories where the associated concentration is greater than **percentile** are compared with the the full set of trajectories to understand the differences in frequencies of the origin of air masses. The comparsion is made by comparing the percentage change in gridded frequencies. For example, such a plot could show that the top 10% of concentrations of PM10 tend to orginate from air-mass origins to the east.

If statistic = "pscf" then a Potential Source Contribution Function map is produced. If statistic = "cwt" then concentration weighted trajectories are plotted.

If **statistic** = "**cwt**" then the Concentration Weighted Trajectory approach is used. See details.

- percentile For trajLevel. The percentile concentration of pollutant against which the all trajectories are compared.
- mapShould a base map be drawn? If TRUE the world base map from the maps<br/>package is used.
- **lon.inc** The longitude-interval to be used for binning data for trajLevel.
- **lat.inc** The latitude-interval to be used for binning data when **trajLevel**.
- min.bin For trajLevel the minimum number of unique points in a grid cell. Counts below min.bin are set as missing. For trajLevel gridded outputs.
- map.fill Should the base map be a filled polygon? Default is to fill countries.
- map.res The resolution of the base map. By default the function uses the 'world'
  map from the maps package. If map.res = "hires" then the (much)
  more detailed base map 'worldHires' from the mapdata package is used.
  Use library(mapdata). Also available is a map showing the US states.
  In this case map.res = "state" should be used.
- map.cols If map.fill = TRUE map.cols controls the fill colour. Examples includemap.fill = "grey40" and map.fill = openColours("default", 10). The latter colours the countries and can help differentiate them.
- map.alpha The transpency level of the filled map which takes values from 0 (full transparency) to 1 (full opacity). Setting it below 1 can help view trajectories, trajectory surfaces etc. *and* a filled base map.

- projection The map projection to be used. Different map projections are possible through the mapproj package. See ?mapproject for extensive details and information on setting other parameters and orientation (see below).
- **parameters** From the mapproj package. Optional numeric vector of parameters for use with the projection argument. This argument is optional only in the sense that certain projections do not require additional parameters. If a projection does not require additional parameters then set to null i.e. parameters = NULL.
- orientation From the mapproj package. An optional vector c(latitude, longitude, rotation) which describes where the "North Pole" should be when computing the projection. Normally this is c(90, 0), which is appropriate for cylindrical and conic projections. For a planar projection, you should set it to the desired point of tangency. The third value is a clockwise rotation (in degrees), which defaults to the midrange of the longitude coordinates in the map.
- origin should the receptor origin be shown by a black dot?
- ... other arguments are passed to cutData and scatterPlot. This provides access to arguments used in both these functions and functions that they in turn pass arguments on to. For example, plotTraj passes the argument cex on to scatterPlot which in turn passes it on to the lattice function xyplot where it is applied to set the plot symbol size.

It is also possible to use hexagonal binning to gain an idea about trajectory frequencies. In this case each 3-hour point along each trajectory is used in the counting. The code below focuses more on Europe and uses the hexagonal binning method. Note that the effect of the very few high number of points at the origin has been diminished by plotting the data on a log scale — see page 132 for details.

## 19.2 Trajectory source contribution functions

Back trajectories offer the possibility to undertake receptor modelling to identify the location of major emission sources. When many back trajectories (over months to years) are analysed in specific ways they begin to show the geographic origin most associated with elevated concentrations. With enough (dissimilar) trajectories those locations leading to the highest concentrations begin to be revealed. When a whole year of back trajectory data is plotted the individual back trajectories can extend 1000s of km. There are many approaches using back trajectories in this way and Fleming et al. (2012) provide a good overview of the methods available. **openair** has implemented a few of these techniques and over time these will be refined and extended.

#### 19.2.1 Identifying the contribution of high concentration back trajectories

A useful analysis to undertake is to consider the pattern of frequencies for two different conditions. In particular, there is often interest in the origin of high concentrations for different pollutants. For example, compared with data over a whole year, how do the frequencies of occurrence differ? Figure 19.7 shows an example of such an analysis for  $PM_{10}$  concentrations. By default the function will compare concentrations

```
trajLevel(traj, statistic = "frequency")
```



FIGURE 19.5 Gridded back trajectory frequencies. The **border** = NA option removes the border around each grid cell.

```
trajLevel(subset(traj, lat > 30 & lat <70 & lon > -30 & lon <20),
    method = "hexbin", col = "jet",
    xbin = 40)
```



FIGURE 19.6 Gridded back trajectory frequencies with hexagonal binning.



FIGURE 19.7 Gridded back trajectory frequencies showing the percentage difference in occurrence for high  $PM_{10}$  concentrations (90th percentile) compared with conditions over the full year.

>90th percentile with the full year. The percentile level is controlled by the option **percentile**. Note also there is an option **min.bin** that will exclude grid cells where there are fewer than **min.bin** data points. The analysis compares the percentage of time the air masses are in particular grid squares for all data and a subset of data where the concentrations are greater than the given percentile. The graph shows the absolute percentage difference between the two cases i.e. high – base.

Figure 19.7 shows that compared with the whole year, high  $PM_{10}$  concentrations (>90th percentile) are more prevalent when the trajectories originate from the east, which is seen by the positive values in the plot. Similarly there are relatively fewer occurrences of these high concentration back trajectories when they originate from the west. This analysis is in keeping with the highest  $PM_{10}$  concentrations being largely controlled by secondary aerosol formation from air-masses originating during anticyclonic conditions from mainland Europe.

Note that it is also possible to use conditioning with these plots. For example to split the frequency results by season:

#### 19.2.2 Allocating trajectories to different wind sectors

One of the key aspects of trajectory analysis is knowing something about where air masses have come from. Cluster analysis can be used to group trajectories based on their origins and this is discussed in Section 19.3. A simple approach is to consider different wind sectors e.g. N, NE, E and calculate the proportion of time a particular back trajectory resides in a specific sector. It is then possible to allocate a particular trajectory to a sector based on some assumption about the proportion of time it is in that sector — for example, assume a trajectory is from the west sector if it spends at least 50% of its time in that sector or otherwise record the allocation as 'unallocated'. The code below can be used as the basis of such an approach.

First we import the trajectories, which in this case are for London in 2010:

```
traj <- importTraj(site = "london", year = 2010)</pre>
## need start/end lat and lon to work out angles
id <- which(traj$hour.inc == 0)</pre>
y0 <- traj$lat[id[1]]</pre>
x0 <- traj$lon[id[1]]</pre>
## calculate angle and then assign sector
traj <- transform(traj, angle = atan2(lon - x0, lat - y0) * 360 / 2 / pi)
ids <- which(traj$angle < 0)</pre>
traj$angle[ids] <- traj$angle[ids] + 360</pre>
traj$sector <- cut(traj$angle, breaks = seq(22.5, 382.5, 45),</pre>
                                 labels = c("NE", "E", "SE", "S", "SW", "W",
                                 "NW", "N"))
traj[ , "sector"][is.na(traj[ , "sector"])] <- "N" # for wd < 22.5</pre>
## count frequencies of sectors for each trajectory and the maximum
alloc <- tapply(traj$sector, traj$date, table)</pre>
alloc <- as.data.frame(do.call(rbind, alloc))</pre>
alloc$max <- apply(alloc, 1, max)</pre>
## identify the most frequent sector
alloc$sec <- sapply(1:nrow(alloc),</pre>
                      function(x) colnames(alloc)[which.max(alloc[x, ])])
## assign to most frequent sector, or label unallocated
## below assumes at least 50 out of 96 hours for assignment
alloc$sec <- ifelse(alloc$max > 50, alloc$sec, "unallocated")
alloc$date <- ymd_hms(rownames(alloc))</pre>
alloc <- alloc[, c("date", "sec")]</pre>
## merge with orginal data
traj <- merge(traj, alloc, by = "date", all = TRUE)</pre>
```

Now it is possible to post-process the data. traj now has the angle, sector and allocation (sec).

```
head(traj)
```

##			date	receptor	year	month	day	′ hour	hour.inc	lat	lon	height
##	1	2010-01-01	09:00:00	1	2010	1	1	9	0	52	-0.100	10
##	2	2010-01-01	09:00:00	1	2010	1	1	8	-1	52	0.057	10
##	3	2010-01-01	09:00:00	1	2010	1	1	7	-2	52	0.250	10
##	4	2010-01-01	09:00:00	1	2010	1	1	6	-3	52	0.488	11
##	5	2010-01-01	09:00:00	1	2010	1	1	5	-4	53	0.767	11
##	6	2010-01-01	09:00:00	1	2010	1	1	4	-5	53	1.065	11
##		pressure		date2	angle	secto	r		sec			
##	1	995 26	010-01-01	09:00:00	0	)	Νu	inallo	cated			
##	2	995 26	010-01-01	08:00:00	31	N	IE u	inallo	cated			
##	3	995 26	010-01-01	07:00:00	33	N	IE u	inallo	cated			
##	4	995 26	010-01-01	06:00:00	36	N	IE u	inallo	cated			
##	5	995 26	010-01-01	05:00:00	39	N	IE u	inallo	cated			
##	6	996 20	010-01-01	04:00:00	42	N	IE u	inallo	cated			

First, merge the air quality data from North Kensington:

traj <- merge(traj, kc1, by = "date")</pre>

We can work out the mean concentration by allocation, which shows the clear importance for the east and south-east sectors.

tapply(	traj <b>\$</b> pm2.5,	traj <b>\$</b> sec, me	an, na.rm =	TRUE)		
##	E	Ν	NE	NW	S	SE
##	22	12	13	14	14	29
##	SW unal	located	W			
##	11	15	12			

Finally, the percentage of the year in each sector can be calculated as follows:

```
100 * prop.table(table(traj$sec))
```

44

##						
##	E	Ν	NE	NW	S	SE
##	6.7	5.5	10.3	9.2	2.2	2.1
##	SW u	nallocated	W			
##	8.8	29.5	25.8			

#### 19.2.3 Potential Source Contribution Function (PSCF)

If statistic = "pscf" then the Potential Source Contribution Function (PSCF) is plotted. The PSCF calculates the probability that a source is located at latitude i and longitude j (Fleming et al. 2012; Pekney et al. 2006). The PSCF is somewhat analogous to the CPF function described on page 52 that considers local wind direction probabilities. In fact, the two approaches have been shown to work well together (Pekney et al. 2006). The PSCF approach has been widely used in the analysis of air mass back trajectories. Ara Begum et al. (2005) for example assessed the method against the known locations of wildfires and found it performed well for  $PM_{2.5}$ , EC (elemental carbon) and OC (organic carbon) and that other (non-fire related) species such as sulphate had different source origins. The basis of PSCF is that if a source is located at (i, j), an air parcel back trajectory passing through that location indicates that material from the source can be collected and transported along the trajectory to the receptor site. PSCF solves

$$PSCF = \frac{m_{ij}}{n_{ij}}$$
(10)

where  $n_{ij}$  is the number of times that the trajectories passed through the cell (i, j) and  $m_{ij}$  is the number of times that a source concentration was high when the trajectories passed through the cell (i, j). The criterion for determining  $m_{ij}$  is controlled by **percentile**, which by default is 90. Note also that cells with few data have a weighting factor applied to reduce their effect.

An example of a PSCF plot is shown in Figure 19.8 for  $PM_{2.5}$  for concentrations >90th percentile. This Figure gives a very clear indication that the principal (high) sources are dominated by source origins in mainland Europe — particularly around the Benelux countries.



FIGURE 19.8 PSCF probabilities for PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations (90th percentile).

#### 19.2.4 Concentration Weighted Trajectory (CWT)

A limitation of the PSCF method is that grid cells can have the same PSCF value when sample concentrations are either only slightly higher or much higher than the criterion (Hsu et al. 2003). As a result, it can be difficult to distinguish moderate sources from strong ones. Seibert et al. (1994) computed concentration fields to identify source areas of pollutants. This approach is sometimes referred to as the CWT or CF (concentration field). A grid domain was used as in the PSCF method. For each grid cell, the mean (CWT) or logarithmic mean (used in the Residence Time Weighted Concentration (RTWC) method) concentration of a pollutant species was calculated as follows:

$$\ln(\overline{C}_{ij}) = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \tau_{ijk}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \ln(c_k) \tau_{ijk}$$
(11)

where i and j are the indices of grid, k the index of trajectory, N the total number of trajectories used in analysis,  $c_k$  the pollutant concentration measured upon arrival of trajectory k, and  $\tau_{ijk}$  the residence time of trajectory k in grid cell (i, j). A high value of  $\overline{C}_{ij}$  means that, air parcels passing over cell (i, j) would, on average, cause high concentrations at the receptor site.

Figure 19.9 shows the situation for  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations. It was calculated by recording the associated  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration for each point on the back trajectory based on the arrival time concentration using 2010 data. The plot shows the geographic areas most strongly associated with high  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations i.e. to the east in continental Europe. Both the CWT and PSCF methods have been shown to give similar results and each have their advantages and disadvantages (Lupu and Maenhaut 2002; Hsu et al. 2003). Figure 19.9 can be compared with Figure 19.8 to compare the overall identification of source regions using the CWT and PSCF techniques. Overall the agreement is good in that similar geographic locations are identified as being important for  $PM_{2.5}$ .

Figure 19.9 is useful, but it can be clearer if the trajectory surface is smoothed, which has been done for  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations shown in Figure 19.10.

```
trajLevel(subset(traj,lon > -20 & lon < 20 & lat > 45 & lat < 60),
        pollutant = "pm2.5", statistic="cwt", col = "increment",
        border = "white")
```

FIGURE 19.9 Gridded back trajectory concentrations showing mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations using the CWT approach.

```
trajLevel(subset(traj, lat > 45 & lat < 60 & lon >-20 & lon <20),
        pollutant ="pm2.5", statistic = "cwt", smooth = TRUE,
        col = "increment")
```

FIGURE 19.10 Gridded and smoothed back trajectory concentrations showing mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations using the CWT approach.

In common with most other **openair** functions, the flexible 'type' option can be used to split the data in different ways. For example, to plot the smoothed back trajectories for  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations by season.

It should be noted that it makes sense to analyse back trajectories for pollutants that have a large regional component — such as particles or  $O_3$ . It makes little sense to analyse pollutants that are known to have local impacts e.g.  $NO_x$ . However, a species such as  $NO_x$  can be helpful to exclude 'fresh' emissions from the analysis.

## 19.3 Back trajectory cluster analysis with the trajCluster function

Often it is useful to use cluster analysis on back trajectories to group similar air mass origins together. The principal purpose of clustering back trajectories is to post-process data according to cluster origin. By grouping data with similar geographic origins it is possible to gain information on pollutant species with similar chemical histories. There are several ways in which clustering can be carried out and several measures of the similarity of different clusters. A key issue is how the *distance matrix* is calculated, which determines the similarity (or dissimilarity) of different back trajectories. The simplest measure is the Euclidean distance. However, an angle-based measure is also often used. The two distance measures are defined below. In **openair** the distance matrices are calculated using C++ code because their calculation is computationally intensive. Note that these calculations can also be performed directly in the HYSPLIT model itself.

The Euclidean distance between two trajectories is given by Equation 12. Where  $X_1$ ,  $Y_1$  and  $X_2$ ,  $Y_2$  are the latitude and longitude coordinates of back trajectories 1 and 2, respectively. n is the number of back trajectory points (96 hours in this case).

$$d_{1,2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} ((X_{1i} - X_{2i})^2 + (Y_{1i} - Y_{2i}))^2\right)^{1/2}$$
(12)

The *angle* distance matrix is a measure of how similar two back trajectory points are in terms of their angle from the origin i.e. the starting location of the back trajectories. The angle-based measure will often capture some of the important circulatory features in the atmosphere e.g. situations where there is a high pressure located to the east of the UK. However, the most appropriate distance measure will be application dependent and is probably best tested by the extent to which they are able to differentiate different air-mass characteristics, which can be tested through post-processing. The anglebased distance measure is defined as:

$$d_{1,2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \cos^{-1} \left( 0.5 \frac{A_i + B_i + C_i}{\sqrt{A_i B_i}} \right)$$
(13)

where

$$A_{i} = (X_{1}(i) - X_{0})^{2} + (Y_{1}(i) - Y_{0})^{2}$$
(14)

$$B_{i} = (X_{2}(i) - X_{0})^{2} + (Y_{2}(i) - Y_{0})^{2}$$
(15)

$$C_{i} = (X_{2}(i) - X_{1}(i))^{2} + (Y_{2}(i) - Y_{1}(i))^{2}$$
(16)

where  $X_0$  and  $Y_0$  are the coordinates of the location being studied i.e. the starting location of the trajectories.

The trajCluster function has the following options:

- traj An openair trajectory data frame resulting from the use of importTraj.
- method Method used to calculate the distance matrix for the back trajectories. There are two methods available: "Euclid" and "Angle".
- n.cluster Number of clusters to calculate.
- **plot** Should a plot be produced?
- type determines how the data are split i.e. conditioned, and then plotted. The default is will produce a single plot using the entire data. Type can be one of the built-in types as detailed in cutData e.g. "season", "year", "weekday" and so on. For example, type = "season" will produce four plots — one for each season. Note that the cluster calculations are separately made of each level of "type".
- split.after For type other than "default" e.g. "season", the trajectories can either be calculated for each level of type independently or extracted after the cluster calculations have been applied to the whole data set.
- map.fill Should the base map be a filled polygon? Default is to fill countries.
- map.cols If map.fill = TRUE map.cols controls the fill colour. Examples includemap.fill = "grey40" and map.fill = openColours("default", 10). The latter colours the countries and can help differentiate them.
- map.alpha The transpency level of the filled map which takes values from 0 (full transparency) to 1 (full opacity). Setting it below 1 can help view trajectories, trajectory surfaces etc. *and* a filled base map.
- projection The map projection to be used. Different map projections are possible through the mapproj package. See?mapproject for extensive details and information on setting other parameters and orientation (see below).
- **parameters** From the mapproj package. Optional numeric vector of parameters for use with the projection argument. This argument is optional only in the sense that certain projections do not require additional parameters. If a projection does require additional parameters, these must be given in the parameters argument.
- orientation From the mapproj package. An optional vector c(latitude,longitude,rotation) which describes where the "North Pole" should be when computing the projection. Normally this is c(90,0), which is appropriate for cylindrical and conic projections. For a planar projection, you should set it to the desired point of tangency. The third value is a clockwise rotation (in degrees), which defaults to the midrange of the longitude coordinates in the map.



FIGURE 19.11 The 6-cluster solution to back trajectories calculated for the London North Kensington site for 2011 showing the mean trajectory for each cluster.

by.type	The percentage of the total number of trajectories is given for all data by default. Setting by.type = TRUE will make each panel add up to 100.
origin	If <b>TRUE</b> a filled circle dot is shown to mark the receptor point.
	Other graphical parameters passed onto lattice:levelplot and cutData Similarly, common axis and title labelling options (such as xlab, ylab, main) are passed to levelplot via quickText to handle routine format- ting.

As an example we will consider back trajectories for London in 2011.

First, the back trajectory data for London is imported together with the air pollution data for the North Kensington site (KC1).

```
traj <- importTraj(site = "london", year = 2011)
kc1 <- importKCL(site = "kc1", year = 2011)</pre>
```

The clusters are straightforward to calculate. In this case the back trajectory data (traj) is supplied and the angle-based distance matrix is used. Furthermore, we choose to calculate 6 clusters and choose a specific colour scheme. In this case we read the output from trajCluster into a variable clust so that the results can be post-processed.

clust returns all the back trajectory information together with the cluster (as a character). This data can now be used together with other data to analyse results further. However, first it is possible to show all trajectories coloured by cluster, although for a year of data there is significant overlap and it is difficult to tell them apart.

```
trajPlot(clust$data, group = "cluster")
```

A useful way in which to see where these air masses come from by trajectory is to produce a frequency plot by cluster. Such a plot (not shown, but code below) provides a good indication of the spread of the different trajectory clusters as well as providing an indication of where air masses spend most of their time. For the London 2011 data it can be seen cluster 1 is dominated by air from the European mainland to the south.

```
trajLevel(clust$data, type = "cluster", col = "increment", border = NA)
```

Perhaps more useful is to merge the cluster data with measurement data. In this case the data at North Kensington site are used. Note that in merging these two data frames it is not necessary to retain all 96 back trajectory hours and for this reason we extract only the first hour.

```
kc1 <- merge(kc1, subset(clust$data, hour.inc == 0), by = "date")</pre>
```

Now kc1 contains air pollution data identified by cluster. The size of this data frame is about a third of the original size because back trajectories are only run every 3 hours. The numbers of each cluster are given by:

```
table(kc1[["cluster"]])
##
## C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6
## 347 661 989 277 280 333
```

i.e. is dominated by clusters 3 and 2 from west and south-west (Atlantic).

Now it is possible to analyse the concentration data according to the cluster. There are numerous types of analysis that can be carried out with these results, which will depend on what the aims of the analysis are in the first place. However, perhaps one of the first things to consider is how the concentrations vary by cluster. As the summary results below show, there are distinctly different mean concentrations of most pollutants by cluster. For example, clusters 1 and 6 are associated with much higher concentrations of  $PM_{10}$ — approximately double that of other clusters. Both of these clusters originate from continental Europe. Cluster 5 is also relatively high, which tends to come from the rest of the UK. Other cluster concerning the types of air-mass can be gained from the mean pressure. For example, cluster 5 is associated with the highest pressure (1014 kPa), and as is seen in Figure 19.11 the shape of the line for cluster 5 is consistent with air-masses associated with a high pressure system (a clockwise-type sweep).



FIGURE 19.12 Some of the temporal characteristics of the volatile  $PM_{2.5}$  component plotted by month and hour of the day and by cluster for the London North Kensington site for 2011.

```
library(dplyr)
```

```
group_by(kc1, cluster) %>%
    summarise_if(is.numeric, mean, na.rm = TRUE)
```

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 23
```

## cluster nox no2 о3 so2 co pm10\_raw pm10 pm25 v2.5 nv2.5 <dbl> <dbl > ## 32.3 35.8 31.3 8.02 23.3 89.9 51.4 32.9 3.34 0.388 ## 1 C1 18.1 17.7 11.6 3.13 8.42 40.9 30.9 39.6 1.25 0.223 ## 2 C2 ## 3 C3 47.2 32.3 39.8 1.72 0.189 19.4 17.9 11.3 2.80 8.46 ## 4 C4 44.1 30.6 40.1 1.73 0.188 19.8 17.6 11.0 2.17 8.82 ## 5 C5 57.0 39.2 41.4 2.12 0.226 24.4 25.5 16.5 4.50 12.0 64.9 42.4 46.4 2.84 0.290 31.7 35.7 29.7 7.57 22.1 ## 6 C6 ## # ... with 12 more variables: receptor <dbl>, year <dbl>, month <dbl>, ## # day <dbl>, hour <dbl>, hour.inc <dbl>, lat <dbl>, lon <dbl>, height <dbl>, ## # pressure <dbl>, traj\_len <dbl>, len <dbl>

Simple plots can be generated from these results too. For example, it is easy to consider the temporal nature of the volatile component of  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations (v2.5 in the kc1 data frame). Figure 19.12 for example shows how the concentration of the volatile component of  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations varies by cluster by plotting the hour of day-month variation. It is clear from Figure 19.12 that the clusters associated with the highest volatile  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations are clusters 1 and 6 (European origin) and that these concentrations peak during spring. There is less data to see clearly what is going on with cluster 5. Nevertheless, the cluster analysis has clearly separated different air mass characteristics which allows for more refined analysis of different air-mass types.

Similarly, as considered in Section 8, the timeVariation function can also be used to consider the temporal components.

Another useful plot to consider is timeProp, which can show how the concentration of a pollutant is comprised. In this case it is useful to plot the time series of  $PM_{2.5}$  and show how much of the concentration is contributed to by each cluster. Such a plot is shown in Figure 19.13. It is now easy to see for example that during the spring months many of the high concentration events were due to clusters 1 and 6, which correspond to European origin air-masses as shown in Figure 19.11.



FIGURE 19.13 Temporal variation in daily  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations at the North Kensington site show by contribution of each cluster.

# 20 Model evaluation – the modStats function

## 20.1 Purpose

The modStats function provides key model evaluation statistics for comparing models against measurements and models against other models.

There are a very wide range of evaluation statistics that can be used to assess model performance. There is, however, no single statistic that encapsulates all aspects of interest. For this reason it is useful to consider several performance statistics and also to understand the sort of information or insight they might provide.

In the following definitions, O<sub>i</sub> represents the ith observed value and M<sub>i</sub> represents the ith modelled value for a total of n observations.

#### Fraction of predictions within a factor or two, FAC2

The fraction of modelled values within a factor of two of the observed values are the fraction of model predictions that satisfy:

$$0.5 \le \frac{M_i}{O_i} \le 2.0 \tag{17}$$

### Mean bias, MB

The mean bias provides a good indication of the mean over or under estimate of predictions. Mean bias in the same units as the quantities being considered.

$$MB = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} M_i - O_i$$
 (18)

#### Mean Gross Error, MGE

The mean gross error provides a good indication of the mean error regardless of whether it is an over or under estimate. Mean gross error is in the same units as the

quantities being considered.

$$MGE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |M_i - O_i|$$
(19)

## Normalised mean bias, NMB

The normalised mean bias is useful for comparing pollutants that cover different concentration scales and the mean bias is normalised by dividing by the observed concentration.

$$NMB = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i} - O_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} O_{i}}$$
(20)

#### Normalised mean gross error, NMGE

The normalised mean gross error further ignores whether a prediction is an over or under estimate.

NMGE = 
$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |M_i - O_i|}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} O_i}$$
 (21)

## Root mean squared error, RMSE

The RMSE is a commonly used statistic that provides a good overall measure of how close modelled values are to predicted values.

RMSE = 
$$\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_i - O_i)^2}{n}\right)^{1/2}$$
 (22)

#### Correlation coefficient, r

The (Pearson) correlation coefficient is a measure of the strength of the linear relationship between two variables. If there is perfect linear relationship with positive slope between the two variables, r = 1. If there is a perfect linear relationship with negative slope between the two variables r = -1. A correlation coefficient of o means that there is no linear relationship between the variables. Note that modStats accepts an option method, which can be set to "kendall" and "spearman" for alternative calculations of r.

$$r = \frac{1}{(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{M_i - \overline{M}}{\sigma_M} \right) \left( \frac{O_i - \overline{O}}{\sigma_O} \right)$$
(23)

## **Coefficient of Efficiency, COE**

The *Coefficient of Efficiency* based on Legates and McCabe (2012) and Legates and McCabe Jr (1999). There have been many suggestions for measuring model performance over the years, but the COE is a simple formulation which is easy to interpret.

A perfect model has a COE = 1. As noted by Legates and McCabe although the COE has no lower bound, a value of COE = 0.0 has a fundamental meaning. It implies that the model is no more able to predict the observed values than does the observed mean. Therefore, since the model can explain no more of the variation in the observed values than can the observed mean, such a model can have no predictive advantage.

For negative values of COE, the model is less effective than the observed mean in predicting the variation in the observations.

$$COE = 1.0 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |M_i - O_i|}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |O_i - \overline{O}|}$$
(24)

## Index of Agreement, IOA

The *Index of Agreement*, IOA is commonly used in model evaluation (Willmott et al. 2011). It spans between -1 and +1 with values approaching +1 representing better model performance. An IOA of 0.5, for example, indicates that the sum of the error-magnitudes is one half of the sum of the observed-deviation magnitudes. When IOA = 0.0, it signifies that the sum of the magnitudes of the errors and the sum of the observed-deviation magnitudes that the sum of the sum of the error-magnitudes are equivalent. When IOA = -0.5, it indicates that the sum of the error-magnitudes is twice the sum of the perfect model-deviation and observed-deviation magnitudes. Values of IOA near -1.0 can mean that the model-estimated deviations about O are poor estimates of the observed deviations; but, they also can mean that there simply is little observed variability — so some caution is needed when the IOA approaches -1. It is defined as (with c = 2):

$$IOA = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |M_{i} - O_{i}| \\ 1.0 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |O_{i} - \overline{O}|}{c \sum_{i=1}^{n} |O_{i} - \overline{O}|} \\ \sum_{i=1_{n}}^{n} |M_{i} - O_{i}| \le c \sum_{i=1}^{n} |O_{i} - \overline{O}| \\ \frac{c \sum_{i=1}^{n} |O_{i} - \overline{O}|}{-\frac{i=1}{n} - 1.0, \text{ when}} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} |M_{i} - O_{i}| \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} |M_{i} - O_{i}| > c \sum_{i=1}^{n} |O_{i} - \overline{O}| \end{cases}$$
(25)

#### 20.2 Options available

mod Name of a variable in mydata that respresents modelled values.

obs Name of a variable in mydata that respresents measured values.

- statistic The statistic to be calculated. See details below for a description of each.
- type determines how the data are split i.e. conditioned, and then plotted. The default is will produce statistics using the entire data. type can be one of the built-in types as detailed in cutData e.g. "season", "year", "weekday" and so on. For example, type = "season" will produce four sets of statistics — one for each season.

It is also possible to choose **type** as another variable in the data frame. If that variable is numeric, then the data will be split into four quantiles (if possible) and labelled accordingly. If type is an existing character or factor variable, then those categories/levels will be used directly. This offers great flexibility for understanding the variation of different variables and how they depend on one another.

More than one type can be considered e.g. type = c("season", "weekday") will produce statistics split by season and day of the week.

- **rank.name** Simple model ranking can be carried out if **rank.name** is supplied. **rank.name** will generally refer to a column representing a model name, which is to ranked. The ranking is based the COE performance, as that indicator is arguably the best single model performance indicator available.
- ... Other aruments to be passed to cutDatae.g. hemisphere = "southern"

### 20.3 Example of use

The function can be called very simply and only requires two numeric fields to compare. To show how the function works, some synthetic data will be generated for 5 models.

```
## observations; 100 random numbers
set.seed(10)
obs <- 100 * runif(100)
mod1 <- data.frame(obs, mod = obs + 10, model = "model 1")</pre>
mod2 <- data.frame(obs, mod = obs + 20 * rnorm(100), model = "model 2")</pre>
mod3 <- data.frame(obs, mod = obs - 10 * rnorm(100), model = "model 3")</pre>
mod4 <- data.frame(obs, mod = obs / 2 + 10 * rnorm(100), model = "model 4")</pre>
mod5 <- data.frame(obs, mod = obs * 1.5 + 3 * rnorm(100), model = "model 5")</pre>
modData <- rbind(mod1, mod2, mod3, mod4, mod5)</pre>
head(modData)
     obs mod model
##
## 1 50.7 61 model 1
## 2 30.7 41 model 1
## 3 42.7 53 model 1
## 4 69.3 79 model 1
## 5 8.5 19 model 1
## 6 22.5 33 model 1
```

We now have a data frame with observations and predictions for 5 models. The evaluation of the statistics is given by:

```
modStats(modData, obs = "obs", mod = "mod", type = "model")
## model n FAC2 MB MGE NMB NMGE RMSE r COE IOA
## 1 model 1 100 0.89 10.00 10.0 0.225 0.22 10.0 1.00 0.5383 0.77
## 2 model 2 100 0.79 0.92 16.6 0.021 0.37 19.3 0.83 0.2339 0.62
## 3 model 3 100 0.88 1.01 7.9 0.023 0.18 9.5 0.94 0.6358 0.82
## 4 model 4 100 0.56 -20.60 21.9 -0.463 0.49 25.8 0.81 -0.0093 0.50
## 5 model 5 100 0.96 22.52 22.6 0.506 0.51 26.1 1.00 -0.0420 0.48
```

It is possible to rank the statistics based on the *Coefficient of Efficiency*, which is a good general indicator of model performance.

modStats(modData, obs = "obs", mod = "mod", type = "model", rank.name = "model")
## model n FAC2 MB MGE NMB NMGE RMSE r COE IOA
## 3 model 3 100 0.88 1.01 7.9 0.023 0.18 9.5 0.94 0.6358 0.82
## 1 model 1 100 0.89 10.00 10.0 0.225 0.22 10.0 1.00 0.5383 0.77
## 2 model 2 100 0.79 0.92 16.6 0.021 0.37 19.3 0.83 0.2339 0.62
## 4 model 4 100 0.56 -20.60 21.9 -0.463 0.49 25.8 0.81 -0.0093 0.50
## 5 model 5 100 0.96 22.52 22.6 0.506 0.51 26.1 1.00 -0.0420 0.48

The modStats function is however much more flexible than indicated above. While it is useful to calculate model evaluation statistics in a straightforward way it can be much more informative to consider the statistics split by different periods.

Data have been assembled from a Defra model evaluation exercise which consists of hourly  $O_3$  predictions at 15 receptor points around the UK for 2006. The aim here is not to identify a particular model that is 'best' and for this reason the models are simply referred to as 'model 1', 'model 2' and so on. We will aim to make the data more widely available. However, data set has this form:

```
load("~/My Drive/openair/Data/modelData.RData")
head(modTest)

## site date o3 mod group
## 1 Aston.Hill 2006-01-01 00:00:00 NA NA model 1
## 2 Aston.Hill 2006-01-01 01:00:00 74 65 model 1
## 3 Aston.Hill 2006-01-01 02:00:00 72 65 model 1
## 4 Aston.Hill 2006-01-01 03:00:00 72 64 model 1
## 5 Aston.Hill 2006-01-01 04:00:00 70 65 model 1
## 6 Aston.Hill 2006-01-01 05:00:00 66 66 model 1
```

There are columns representing the receptor location (site), the date, measured values (o3), model predictions (mod) and the model itself (group). There are numerous ways in which the statistics can be calculated. However, of interest here is how the models perform at a single receptor by season. The seasonal nature of  $O_3$  is a very important characteristic and it is worth considering in more detail. The statistics are easy enough to calculate as shown below. In this example a subset of the data is selected to consider only the Harwell site. Second, the type option is used to split the calculations by season and model. Finally the statistics are grouped by the IOA for each season. It is now very easy how model performance changes by season and which models perform best in each season.

```
options(digits = 2) ## don't display too many decimal places
modStats(subset(modTest, site == "Harwell"), obs = "o3", mod = "mod",
                       type = c("season", "group"), rank = "group")
## # A tibble: 36 x 12
## # Groups: season [4]
## season group n FAC2 MB MGE NMB NMGE RMSE r
                                                                                                                                                                                            COE
              <ord> <fct> <int> <dbl> <dbl > <dbl
                                                                                                                                                                                     <dbl>
##
## 1 sprin~ mode~ 1905 0.875 8.58 16.5 0.137 0.263 21.9 0.576 0.0747
## 2 sprin~ mode~ 1905 0.876 -2.04 16.8 -0.0325 0.268 21.8 0.452 0.0584
## 3 sprin~ mode~ 1905 0.872 11.9 17.7 0.190 0.282 23.7 0.519 0.00818
## 4 sprin~ mode~ 1905 0.885 4.98 18.1 0.0793 0.289 23.9 0.361 -0.0151
## 5 sprin~ mode~ 1905 0.870 10.8 19.5 0.172 0.311 24.0 0.588 -0.0940
## 6 sprin~ mode~ 1825 0.821 7.79 20.0 0.123 0.317 25.8 0.480 -0.118
## 7 sprin~ mode~ 1905 0.786 -13.7 21.4 -0.218 0.340 26.1 0.531 -0.195
## 8 sprin~ mode~ 1905 0.732 -13.4 24.3 -0.213 0.388 29.8 0.312 -0.362
## 9 sprin~ mode~ 1905 0.743 1.01 24.9 0.0161 0.396 32.8 0.264 -0.392
## 10 summe~ mode~ 2002 0.918 3.83 15.5 0.0632 0.256 20.9 0.750 0.317
## # ... with 26 more rows, and 1 more variable: IOA <dbl>
```

Note that it is possible to read the results of the modStats function into a data frame, which then allows the results to be plotted. This is generally a good idea when there is a lot of numeric data to consider and plots will convey the information better.

The modStats function is much more flexible than indicated above and can be used in lots of interesting ways. The type option in particular makes it possible to split the statistics in numerous ways. For example, to summarise the performance of models by site, model and day of the week:

Similarly, if other data are available e.g. meteorological data or other pollutant species then these variables can also be used to test models against ranges in their values. This capability is potentially very useful because it allows for a much more probing analysis into model evaluation. For example, with wind speed and direction it is easy to consider how model performance varies by wind speed intervals or wind sectors, both of which could reveal important performance characteristics.

# 21 Model evaluation — the TaylorDiagram function

## 21.1 Purpose

The *Taylor Diagram* is one of the more useful methods for evaluating model performance. Details of the diagram can be found at http://www-pcmdi.llnl.gov/ about/staff/Taylor/CV/Taylor\_diagram\_primer.pdf and in Taylor (2001). The diagram provides a way of showing how three complementary model performance statistics vary simultaneously. These statistics are the correlation coefficient R, the standard deviation (sigma) and the (centred) root-mean-square error. These three statistics can be plotted on one (2D) graph because of the way they are related to one another which can be represented through the Law of Cosines.

The **openair** version of the Taylor Diagram has several enhancements that increase its flexibility. In particular, the straightforward way of producing conditioning plots should prove valuable under many circumstances (using the **type** option). Many examples of Taylor Diagrams focus on model-observation comparisons for several models using all the available data. However, more insight can be gained into model performance

TaylorDiagram(modTest, obs = "o3", mod = "mod", group = "group")



FIGURE 21.1 An example of the use of the TaylorDiagram function.

by partitioning the data in various ways e.g. by season, daylight/nighttime, day of the week, by levels of a numeric variable e.g. wind speed or by land-use type etc.

We first show a diagram and then pick apart the different components to understand how to interpret it. The diagram can look overly complex but once it is understood how to interpret the three main characteristics it becomes much easier to understand. A typical diagram is shown in Figure 21.1 for nine anonymised models used for predicting hourly O<sub>3</sub> concentrations at 15 sites around the UK.

The plots shown in Figure 21.2 break the Taylor Diagrams into three components to aid interpretation. The first plot (top left) highlights the comparison of variability in for each model compared with the measurements. The variability is represented by the standard deviation of the observed and modelled values. The plot shows that the observed variability (given by the standard deviation) is about  $27 (\mu g m^{-3})$  and is marked as 'observed' on the x-axis. The magnitude of the variability is measured as the **radial** distance from the origin of the plot (the red line with the arrow shows the standard deviation for model g, which is about  $25 \mu g m^{-3}$ ). To aid interpretation the radial dashed line is shown from the 'observed' point. Each model is shown in this case by the position of the letters a to i. On this basis it can be seen that models 1, a, b have more variability than the measurements (because they extend beyond the dashed line), whereas the others have less variability than the measurements. Models a and b are also closed to the dashed line and therefore have the closest variability compared with the observations.

The next statistic to consider is the correlation coefficient, R shown by the top-right Figure in Figure 21.2. This is shown on the arc and points that lie closest to the x-axis have the highest correlation. The grey lines help to show this specific correlation coefficients. The red arc shows R=0.7 for model g. The best performing models with the highest R are models b and g with correlation coefficients around 0.7. Two models stand out as having much worse correlations with the observations: models e and i (values of around 0.4).

Finally, the lower plot in Figure 21.2 highlights the *centred* root-mean square error



(A) Taylor Diagram highlighting the variation in stan-(B) Taylor Diagram highlighting the variation in cordard deviation. relation coefficient.



centred RMS error.

FIGURE 21.2 Taylor Diagrams broken down to highlight how to interpret the three main statistics. The red line/arrow indicate how to read interpret each of the three statistics.

(RMS). It is centred because the mean values of the data (observations and predictions) are subtracted first. The concentric dashed lines emanating from the 'observed' point show the value of the RMS error — so points furthest from the 'observed' value are the worst performing models because they have the highest RMS errors. On this basis, model g has the lowest error of about 20  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>, shown again by the red line. Models e and i are considerably worse because they have RMS errors of around 30  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>.

So which model is best? Taken as a whole it is probably model g because it has reasonably similar variability compared with the observations, the highest correlation and the least RMS error. However, models f and b also look to be good. Perhaps it is easier to conclude that models e and i are not good ....

Note that in cases where there is a column 'site' it makes sense to use type = "site" to ensure that the statistics are calculated on a per site basis and each panel represents a single site.

# 21.2 Options available

- mydata A data frame minimally containing a column of observations and a column of predictions.
- obs A column of observations with which the predictions (mod) will be compared.
- modA column of model predictions. Note, mod can be of length 2 i.e. two<br/>lots of model predictions. If two sets of predictions are are present e.g.<br/>mod = c("base", "revised"), then arrows are shown on the Taylor<br/>Diagram which show the change in model performance in going from<br/>the first to the second. This is useful where, for example, there is interest<br/>in comparing how one model run compares with another using different<br/>assumptions e.g. input data or model set up. See examples below.
- **group** The **group** column is used to differentiate between different models and can be a factor or character. The total number of models compared will be equal to the number of unique values of **group**.

group can also be of length two e.g. group = c("model", "site"). In this case all model-site combinations will be shown but they will only be differentiated by colour/symbol by the first grouping variable ("model" in this case). In essence the plot removes the differentiation by the second grouping variable. Because there will be different values of obs for each group, normalise = TRUE should be used.

type determines how the data are split i.e. conditioned, and then plotted. The default is will produce a single plot using the entire data. Type can be one of the built-in types as detailed in cutData e.g. "season", "year", "weekday" and so on. For example, type = "season" will produce four plots — one for each season.

> It is also possible to choose **type** as another variable in the data frame. If that variable is numeric, then the data will be split into four quantiles (if possible) and labelled accordingly. If type is an existing character or factor variable, then those categories/levels will be used directly. This offers great flexibility for understanding the variation of different variables and how they depend on one another.

> Type can be up length two e.g. type = c("season", "weekday") will produce a 2x2 plot split by season and day of the week. Note, when two types are provided the first forms the columns and the second the rows.

Note that often it will make sense to use type = "site" when multiple sites are available. This will ensure that each panel contains data specific to an individual site.

normalise Should the data be normalised by dividing the standard deviation of the observations? The statistics can be normalised (and non-dimensionalised) by dividing both the RMS difference and the standard deviation of the mod values by the standard deviation of the observations (obs). In this case the "observed" point is plotted on the x-axis at unit distance from the origin. This makes it possible to plot statistics for different species (maybe with different units) on the same plot. The normalisation is done by each group/type combination.

- cols Colours to be used for plotting. Useful options for categorical data are avilable from RColorBrewer colours — see the openair openColours function for more details. Useful schemes include "Accent", "Dark2", "Paired", "Pastel1", "Pastel2", "Set1", "Set2", "Set3" — but see ?brewer .pal for the maximum useful colours in each. For user defined the user can supply a list of colour names recognised by R (type colours() to see the full list). An example would be cols = c("yellow", "green", "blue").
- **rms.col** Colour for centred-RMS lines and text.
- cor.col Colour for correlation coefficient lines and text.
- arrow.lwd Width of arrow used when used for comparing two model outputs.
- annotate Annotation shown for RMS error.
- key Should the key be shown?
- **key.title** Title for the key.
- key.columns Number of columns to be used in the key. With many pollutants a single column can make to key too wide. The user can thus choose to use several columns by setting columns to be less than the number of pollutants.
- key.pos Position of the key e.g. "top", "bottom", "left" and "right". See details in lattice:xyplot for more details about finer control.
- strip Should a strip be shown?
- auto.text Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE titles and axis labels will automatically try and format pollutant names and units properly e.g. by subscripting the '2' in NO2.
- ... Other graphical parameters are passed onto cutData and lattice:xyplot. For example, TaylorDiagrampasses the option hemisphere = "southern" on to cutData to provide southern (rather than default northern) hemisphere handling of type = "season". Similarly, common graphical parameters, such as layout for panel arrangement and pch and cex for plot symbol type and size, are passed on to xyplot. Most are passed unmodified, although there are some special cases where openair may locally manage this process. For example, common axis and title labelling options (such as xlab, ylab, main) are passed via quickText to handle routine formatting.

## 21.3 Example of use

The example used here carries on from the previous section using data from a Defra model evaluation exercise. As mentioned previously, the use of the type option offers enormous flexibility for comparing models. However, we will only focus on the seasonal evaluation of the models. In the call below, group is the column that identified the model and type is the conditioning variable that produces in this case four panels — one for each season. Note that in this case we focus on a single site.

Figure 21.3 contains a lot of useful information. Consider the summertime comparison first. All models tend to underestimate the variability of O<sub>3</sub> concentrations because

```
## select a single site
LH <- subset(modTest, site == "Lullington.Heath")
TaylorDiagram(LH, obs = "o3", mod = "mod", group = "group", type = "season")</pre>
```



FIGURE 21.3 Use of the TaylorDiagam function to show model performance for 9 models used to predict  $O_3$  concentrations at the Lullington Heath site.

they all lies withing the black dashed line. However, models 7 and 9 are close to the observed variability. The general underestimate of the variability for summertime conditions might reflect that the models do not adequately capture regional  $O_3$  episodes when concentrations are high. Models 7 and 8 do best in terms of high correlation with the measurements (around 0.8) and lowest RMS error (around 20–22 µg m<sup>-3</sup>). Models 3, 5 and 6 tend to do worse on all three statistics during the summer.

By contrast, during wintertime conditions models 1 and 3 are clearly best. From an evaluation perspective it would be useful to understand why some models are better for wintertime conditions and others better in summer and this is clearly something that could be investigated further.

There are many other useful comparisons that can be undertaken easily. A few of these are shown below, but not plotted.


FIGURE 21.4 Use of the TaylorDiagam function to show model performance for 9 models used for all sites.

It is also possible to combine different groups of model results. For example, rather than plot how the models perform at a single site it can be useful to show how they compare at all sites. To do this it is necessary to normalise the data because there will be different values of the observed variable across different sites. In this case we can supply the option group = c("group", "site"). This will show the variation by model for *all* sites. The results are shown in Figure 21.4. These results show that in general models tend to predict in similalry good (or bad) ways across all sites as shown by the grouping of points on Figure 21.4.

# 22 Model evaluation — the conditionalQuantile and conditionalEval functions

### 22.1 Purpose

Conditional quantiles are a very useful way of considering model performance against observations for continuous measurements Wilks 2005. The conditional quantile plot

splits the data into evenly spaced bins. For each predicted value bin e.g. from 0 to  $10 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$  the *corresponding* values of the observations are identified and the median, 25/75th and 10/90 percentile (quantile) calculated for that bin. The data are plotted to show how these values vary across all bins. For a time series of observations and predictions that agree precisely the median value of the predictions will equal that for the observations for each bin.

The conditional quantile plot differs from the quantile-quantile plot (Q-Q plot) that is often used to compare observations and predictions. A Q-Q plot separately considers the distributions of observations and predictions, whereas the conditional quantile uses the corresponding observations for a particular interval in the predictions. Take as an example two time series, the first a series of real observations and the second a lagged time series of the same observations representing the predictions. These two time series will have identical (or very nearly identical) distributions (e.g. same median, minimum and maximum). A Q-Q plot would show a straight line showing perfect agreement, whereas the conditional quantile will not. This is because in any interval of the predictions the corresponding observations now have different values.

Plotting the data in this way shows how well predictions agree with observations and can help reveal many useful characteristics of how well model predictions agree with observations — across the full distribution of values. A single plot can therefore convey a considerable amount of information concerning model performance. The basic function is considerably enhanced by allowing flexible conditioning easily e.g. to evaluate model performance by season, day of the week and so on, as in other **openair** functions.

#### 22.2 Options available

mydata	A data frame containing the field obs and mod representing observed and
	modelled values.

- obs The name of the observations in mydata.
- mod The name of the predictions (modelled values) in mydata.
- type determines how the data are split i.e. conditioned, and then plotted. The default is will produce a single plot using the entire data. Type can be one of the built-in types as detailed in cutData e.g. "season", "year", "weekday" and so on. For example, type = "season" will produce four plots — one for each season.

It is also possible to choose type as another variable in the data frame. If that variable is numeric, then the data will be split into four quantiles (if possible) and labelled accordingly. If type is an existing character or factor variable, then those categories/levels will be used directly. This offers great flexibility for understanding the variation of different variables and how they depend on one another.

Type can be up length two e.g. type = c("season", "weekday") will produce a 2x2 plot split by season and day of the week. Note, when two types are provided the first forms the columns and the second the rows.

- bins Number of bins to be used in calculating the different quantile levels.
- min.bin The minimum number of points required for the estimates of the 25/75th and 10/90th percentiles.

- xlab label for the x-axis, by default "predicted value".
- ylab label for the y-axis, by default "observed value".
- col Colours to be used for plotting the uncertainty bands and median line. Must be of length 5 or more.

key.columns Number of columns to be used in the key.

- key.position Location of the key e.g. "top", "bottom", "right", "left". See lattice xyplot for more details.
- auto.text Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE titles and axis labels etc. will automatically try and format pollutant names and units properly e.g. by subscripting the '2' in NO2.
- ... Other graphical parameters passed onto cutData and lattice:xyplot. For example, conditionalQuantile passes the option hemisphere = "southern" on to cutData to provide southern (rather than default northern) hemisphere handling of type = "season". Similarly, common axis and title labelling options (such as xlab, ylab, main) are passed to xyplot via quickText to handle routine formatting.

#### 22.3 Example of use

To make things more interesting we will use data from a model evaluation exercise organised by Defra in 2010/2011. A large number of models were evaluated as part of the evaluation but we only consider hourly ozone predictions from the CMAQ model being used at King's College London.

First the data are loaded:

```
load("~/My Drive/openair/Data/CMAQozone.RData")
class(CMAQ.KCL$date) <- c("POSIXct", "POSIXt")
head(CMAQ.KCL)</pre>
```

##		site		date	о3	rolling03Meas	mod	rolling03Mod	group
##	1	Aston.Hill	2006-01-01	00:00:00	NA	NA	93	NA	CMAQ.KCL
##	2	Aston.Hill	2006-01-01	01:00:00	74	NA	92	NA	CMAQ.KCL
##	3	Aston.Hill	2006-01-01	02:00:00	72	NA	92	NA	CMAQ.KCL
##	4	Aston.Hill	2006-01-01	03:00:00	72	NA	92	NA	CMAQ.KCL
##	5	Aston.Hill	2006-01-01	04:00:00	70	NA	92	NA	CMAQ.KCL
##	6	Aston.Hill	2006-01-01	05:00:00	66	NA	92	NA	CMAQ.KCL

The data consists of hourly observations of  $O_3$  in  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> at 15 rural  $O_3$  sites in the UK together with predicted values.<sup>10</sup> First of all we consider  $O_3$  predictions across all sites to help illustrate the purpose of the function. The results are shown in Figure 22.1. An explanation of the Figure is given in its caption.

A more informative analysis can be undertaken by considering conditional quantiles separately by site, which is easily done using the type option. The results are shown in Figure 22.2. It is now easier to see where the model performs best and how it varies by site type. For example, at a remote site in Scotland like Strath Vaich it is clear that the model does not capture either the lowest or highest  $O_3$  concentrations very well.

As with other **openair** functions, the ability to consider conditioning can really help with interpretation. For example, what do the conditional quantiles at Lullington Heath (in south-east England) look like by season? This is easily done by subsetting the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>We thank Dr Sean Beevers and Dr Nutthida Kitwiroon for access to these data.



#### conditionalQuantile(CMAQ.KCL, obs = "o3", mod = "mod")

FIGURE 22.1 Example of the use of conditional quantiles applied to the KCL CMAQ model for 15 rural  $O_3$  monitoring sites in 2006, for hourly data. The blue line shows the results for a perfect model. In this case the observations cover a range from 0 to 270 µg m<sup>-3</sup>. The red line shows the median values of the predictions and corresponding observations. The maximum predicted value is 125 µg m<sup>-3</sup>, somewhat less than the maximum observed value. The shading shows the predicted quantile intervals i.e. the 25/75th and the 10/90th. A perfect model would lie on the blue line and have a very narrow spread. There is still some spread because even for a perfect model a specific quantile interval will contain a range of values. However, for the number of bins used in this plot the spread will be very narrow. Finally, the histogram shows the counts of predicted values.

data to select that site and setting type = "season", as shown in Figure 22.3. These results show that winter predictions have good coverage i.e. with width of the blue 'perfect model' line is the same as the observations. However, the predictions tend to be somewhat lower than observations for most concentrations (the median line is below the blue line) — and the width of the 10/75th and 10/9oth percentiles is quite broad. However, the area where the model is less good is in summer and autumn because the predictions have low coverage (the red line only covers less than half of the observation line and the width of the percentiles is wide).

Of course it is also easy to plot by hour of the day, day of the week, by daylight/nighttime and so on — easily. All these approaches can help better understand *why* a model does not perform very well rather than just quantifying its performance. Also, these types of analysis are particularly useful when more than one model is involved in a comparison as in the recent Defra model evaluation exercise, which we will come back to later when some of the results are published.

There are numerous ways in which model performance can be assessed, including the use of common statistical measures described in Section 20. These approaches are very useful for comparing models against observations and other models. However, model developers would generally like to know *why* a model may have poor performance under some situations. This is a much more challenging issue to address. However, useful information can be gained by considering how other variables vary simultaneously.

The conditionalEval function provides information on how other variables vary across the *same* intervals as shown on the conditional quantile plot. There are two types of variable that can be considered by setting the value of statistic. First, statistic



conditionalQuantile(CMAQ.KCL, obs = "o3", mod = "mod", type = "site")

FIGURE 22.2 Conditional quantiles by site for 15 O<sub>3</sub> monitoring sites in the UK.

can be another variable in the data frame. In this case the plot will show the different proportions of **statistic** across the range of predictions. For example **statistic** = "season" will show for each interval of mod the proportion of predictions that were spring, summer, autumn or winter. This is useful because if model performance is worse for example at high concentrations of mod then knowing that these tend to occur during a particular season etc. can be very helpful when trying to understand *why* a model fails. See Section 4 for more details on the types of variable that can be **statistic**. Another example would be **statistic** = "ws" (if wind speed were available in the data frame), which would then split wind speed into four quantiles and plot the proportions of each. Again, this would help show whether model performance in predicting concentrations of  $O_3$  for example is related to low to high wind speed conditions.

conditionalEval can also simultaneously plot the model performance of other observed/predicted variable *pairs* according to different model evaluation statistics. These statistics derive from the Section 20 function and include *MB*, *NMB*, *r*, *COE*, *MGE*, *NMGE*, *RMSE* and *FAC2*. More than one statistic can be supplied e.g. statistic



# 

FIGURE 22.3 Conditional quantiles at Lullington Heath conditioned by season.

= c("NMB", "COE"). Bootstrap samples are taken from the corresponding values of other variables to be plotted and their statistics with 95% confidence intervals calculated. In this case, the model *performance* of other variables is shown across the same intervals of mod, rather than just the values of single variables. In this second case the model would need to provide observed/predicted pairs of other variables.

For example, a model may provide predictions of NO<sub>x</sub> and wind speed (for which there are also observations available). The conditionalEval function will show how well these other variables are predicted for the same prediction intervals of the main variable assessed in the conditional quantile plot e.g. ozone. In this case, values are supplied to var.obs (observed values for other variables) and var.mod (modelled values for other variables). For example, to consider how well the model predicts NO<sub>x</sub> and wind speed var.obs = c("nox.obs", "ws.obs") and var.mod = c("nox.mod", "ws.mod") would be supplied (assuming nox.obs, nox.mod, ws.obs, ws.mod are present in the data frame). The analysis could show for example, when ozone concentrations are under-predicted, the model may also be shown to over-predict concentrations of  $NO_r$  at the same time, or under-predict wind speeds. Such information can thus help identify the underlying causes of poor model performance. For example, an under-prediction in wind speed could result in higher surface NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations and lower ozone concentrations. Similarly if wind speed predictions were good and  $NO_x$ was over predicted it might suggest an over-estimate of  $NO_x$  emissions. One or more additional variables can be plotted.

186

A special case is **statistic** = "cluster". In this case a data frame is provided that contains the cluster calculated by **trajCluster** and **importTraj**. Alternatively users could supply their own pre-calculated clusters. These calculations can be very useful in showing whether certain back trajectory clusters are associated with poor (or good) model performance. Note that in the case of **statistic** = "cluster" there will be fewer data points used in the analysis compared with the ordinary statistics above because the trajectories are available for every three hours. Also note that **statistic** = "cluster" cannot be used together with the ordinary model evaluation statistics such as *MB*. The output will be a bar chart showing the proportion of each interval of mod by cluster number.

Far more insight can be gained into model performance through conditioning using type. For example, type = "season" will plot conditional quantiles and the associated model performance statistics of other variables by each season. type can also be a factor or character field e.g. representing different models used.

The conditionalEval function has the following options:

- mydata A data frame containing the field obs and mod representing observed and modelled values.
- obs The name of the observations in mydata.
- mod The name of the predictions (modelled values) in mydata.
- var.obs
   Other variable observations for which statistics should be calculated. Can be more than length one e.g. var.obs = c("nox.obs", "ws.obs"). Note that including other variables could reduce the number of data available to plot due to teh need of having non-missing data for all variables.
- var.mod Other variable predictions for which statistics should be calculated. Can be more than length one e.g. var.obs = c("nox.obs", "ws.obs").
- type determines how the data are split i.e. conditioned, and then plotted. The default is will produce a single plot using the entire data. Type can be one of the built-in types as detailed in cutData e.g. "season", "year", "weekday" and so on. For example, type = "season" will produce four plots — one for each season.

It is also possible to choose type as another variable in the data frame. If that variable is numeric, then the data will be split into four quantiles (if possible) and labelled accordingly. If type is an existing character or factor variable, then those categories/levels will be used directly. This offers great flexibility for understanding the variation of different variables and how they depend on one another.

- bins Number of bins used in conditionalQuantile.

statistic can also be a value that can be supplied to cutData. For example, statistic = "season" will show how model performance varies by season across the distribution of predictions which might highlight that at high concentrations of NOx the model tends to underestimate concentrations and that these periods mostly occur in winter. statistic can also be another variable in the data frame — see cutData for more information. A special case is statistic = "cluster" if clusters have been calculated using trajCluster.

- xlab label for the x-axis, by default "predicted value".
- ylab label for the y-axis, by default "observed value".
- col Colours to be used for plotting the uncertainty bands and median line. Must be of length 5 or more.
- col.var Colours for the additional variables to be compared. See openColours for more details.
- var.names Variable names to be shown on plot for plotting var.obs and var.mod.
- auto.text Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE titles and axis labels etc. will automatically try and format pollutant names and units properly e.g. by subscripting the '2' in NO2.
- Other graphical parameters passed onto conditionalQuantile and cutData. For example, conditionalQuantile passes the option hemisphere = "southern" on to cutData to provide southern (rather than default northern) hemisphere handling of type = "season". Similarly, common axis and title labelling options (such as xlab, ylab, main) are passed to xyplot via quickText to handle routine formatting.

As an example, similar data to that described above from CMAQ have been used as an example.

A subset of the data for the North Kensington site can be imported as shown below.

condDat <- readRDS(url("https://davidcarslaw.github.io/data/openair/condDat.rds"))</pre>

The file contains observed and modelled hourly values for  $O_3$ ,  $NO_x$ , wind speed, wind direction, temperature and relative humidity.

```
head(condDat)
```

##			dat	e 03.obs	NOx.obs	ws.obs	wd.obs	temp.obs	rh.obs	03.mod
##	5	2006-01-01	00:00:0	0 10	29	4.6	190	4.9	89	15
##	10	2006-01-01	01:00:0	0 15	18	NA	210	5.1	90	17
##	15	2006-01-01	02:00:0	0 11	20	2.6	220	4.9	94	18
##	20	2006-01-01	03:00:0	0 11	19	3.6	270	5.7	91	18
##	25	2006-01-01	04:00:0	0 11	17	3.1	270	5.0	94	18
##	30	2006-01-01	05:00:0	0 12	16	3.6	260	5.8	94	15
##		NOx.mod ws	.mod wd.	mod temp	.mod rh.	mod				
##	5	24	2.8	224	3.9	93				
##	10	20	2.6	226	3.9	93				
##	15	18	2.5	236	2.9	99				
##	20	18	2.5	253	2.9	99				
##	25	18	2.2	275	3.9	97				
##	30	21	2.4	285	4.8	94				

The conditionalEval function can be used in a straightforward way to provide information on how predictions depend on another variable in general. In this case the option statistic can refer to another variable in the data frame to see how the quality of predictions depend on values of that variable. For example, in Figure 22.4 it can be seen how wind speed varies across the O<sub>3</sub> prediction intervals. At low predicted



FIGURE 22.4 Conditional quantiles at for  $O_3$  concentrations (left plot). On the right is a plot showing how the wind speed varies across the  $O_3$  prediction intervals.

concentrations of  $O_3$  there is a high proportion of low wind speed conditions (0 to 2.57 m s<sup>-1</sup>). When  $O_3$  is predicted to be around 40 ppb the wind speed tends to be higher — and finally at higher predicted concentrations of  $O_3$  the wind speed tends to decrease again. The important aspect of plotting data in this way is that it can directly relate the prediction performance to values of other variables, which should help develop a much better idea of the conditions that matter most. The user can therefore develop a good feel for the types of conditions where a model performs well or poorly and this might provide clues as to the underlying reasons for specific model behaviour.

In an extension to Figure 22.4 it is possible to derive information on the simultaneous model performance of other variables. Figure 22.5 shows the conditional quantile plot for hourly  $O_3$  predictions. This shows among other things that concentrations of  $O_3$  tend to be under-predicted for concentrations less than about 20 ppb. The Figure on the right shows the simultaneous *model performance* for wind speed and  $NO_x$  for the *same* prediction intervals as shown in the conditional quantile plot. The plot on the right shows that for low concentrations of predicted  $O_3$  there is a tendency for  $NO_x$  concentrations to be overestimated ( $NMB\approx0.2$  to 0.4) and wind speeds to be underestimated ( $NMB\approx-0.2$  to -0.3). One possible explanation for this behaviour is that the meteorological model tends to produce wind speeds that are too low, which would result in higher concentrations of  $NO_x$ , which in turn would result in lower concentrations of  $O_3$ . Note that it is possible to include more than one statistic, which would be plotted in a new panel e.g. statistic = c("NMB", "r").

In essence the conditionalEval function provides more information on model performance that can help better diagnose potential problems. Clearly, there are many other ways in which the results can be analysed, which will depend on the data available.

A plot using temperature predictions shows that for most of the range in  $O_3$  predictions there is very little bias in temperature (although there is some negative bias in temperature for very low concentration  $O_3$  predictions):



FIGURE 22.5 Conditional quantiles at for  $O_3$  concentrations (left plot). On the right is the model performance for wind speed and  $NO_x$  predictions, which in this case is for the Normalised Mean Bias.

Finally (but not shown) it can be very useful to consider model performance in terms of air mass origin. In the example below, trajectories are imported, a cluster analysis undertaken and then evaluated using conditionalEval.

## 23 The calcFno2 function—estimating primary NO<sub>2</sub> fractions

#### 23.1 Purpose

see also linearRelation for oxidant slopes if  $NO_x$ ,  $NO_2$  and  $O_3$  are available

Recent research has shown that emissions of directly emitted (primary) NO<sub>2</sub> from road vehicles have increased and these increases have had important effects on concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> (Carslaw 2005; Carslaw and Beevers 2004; Carslaw and Carslaw 2007). Many organisations would like to quantify the level of primary NO<sub>2</sub> from the analysis of ambient monitoring data to help with their air quality management responsibilities. The difficulty is that this is not a straightforward thing to do — it requires some form of

modelling. In some situations where NO, NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> are measured, it is possible to derive an estimate of the primary NO<sub>2</sub> fraction by considering the gradient in 'total oxidant' defined as NO<sub>2</sub> + O<sub>3</sub> (Clapp and Jenkin 2001).<sup>11</sup> However, where we most want to estimate primary NO<sub>2</sub> (roadside sites), O<sub>3</sub> is rarely measured and an alternative approach must be used. One approach is using a simple constrained chemistry model described in (Carslaw and Beevers 2005). The calcFno2 method is based on this work but makes a few simplifying assumptions to make it easier to code.

There are several assumptions that users should be aware of when using this function. First, it is most reliable at estimating  $f-NO_2$  when the roadside concentration is much greater than the background concentration. Second, it is best if the chosen background site is reasonably close to the roadside site and not greatly affected by local sources (which it should not be as a background site). The way the calculations work is to try and distinguish between  $NO_2$  that is directly emitted from vehicles and that derived through the reaction between NO and  $O_3$ . During summertime periods when concentrations of  $NO_x$  are lower these two influences tend to vary linearly with  $NO_x$ , making it difficult for the method to separate them. It can often be useful to select only winter months under these situations (or at least October–March). Therefore, as a simplifying assumption, the time available for chemical reactions to take place,  $\tau$ , is set to 60 seconds. We have tested the method at roadside sites where  $O_3$  is also measured and  $\tau = 60$  s seems to provide a reasonably consistent calculation of f-NO<sub>2</sub>.

Note that in some situations it may be worth filtering the data e.g. by wind direction to focus on the road itself. In this respect, the **polarPlot** function described on page 60 can be useful.

#### 23.2 Options available

input	A data frame with the following fields. nox andno2 (roadside NOX and NO2 concentrations), back_nox, back_no2 and back_o3 (hourly back- ground concentrations of each pollutant). In addition temp (temperature in degrees Celsius) and c1 (cloud cover in Oktas). Note that if temp and c1 are not available, typical means values of 11 deg. C and cloud = 3.5 will be used.
tau	Mixing time scale. It is unlikely the user will need to adjust this. See details below.
user.fno2	User-supplied f-NO2 fraction e.g. 0.1 is a NO2/NOX ratio of 10 series and is useful for testing "what if" questions.
main	Title of plot if required.
xlab	x-axis label.
	Other graphical parameters send to scatterPlot.

#### 23.3 Example of use

We apply the technique to roadside data at Marylebone Road, with additional data from a nearby background site at North Kensington and appropriate meteorological variables. The data can be downloaded from the **openair** website (http://www.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Note that the volume fraction of NO<sub>2</sub> of total NO<sub>x</sub> is termed *f*-NO<sub>2</sub>. See the AQEG report for more information (AQEG 2008).

openair-project.org), and remember to change the file path below. The code run is:<sup>12</sup>

```
load("~/My Drive/openair/Data/f-no2Data.RData")
## check first few lines of the file
head(fno2Data)
## date nox no2 back_nox back_no2 back_o3 temp cl wd
## 1 01/01/1998 00:00 285 39 49 34 1 3.3 2 280
## 2 01/01/1998 01:00 NA NA 56 35 0 3.3 5 230
## 3 01/01/1998 02:00 NA NA 41 31 4 4.4 5 190
## 4 01/01/1998 03:00 493 52 52 33 1 3.9 5 170
## 5 01/01/1998 04:00 468 78 41 30 3 3.9 5 180
## 6 01/01/1998 05:00 264 42 36 29 6 3.3 2 190
```

Now apply the function, and collect the results as shown in Figure 23.1, with additional options that are sent to scatterPlot.

Note that this is a different way to run a function compared with what has been done previously. This time the results are read into the variable **results**, which stores the monthly mean estimated f-NO, values.

The results (expressed as a percentage) for f-NO<sub>2</sub> are then available for any other processing or plotting. The function also automatically generates a plot of monthly mean f-NO<sub>2</sub> values as shown in Figure 23.1. It is clear from this Figure that f-NO<sub>2</sub> was relatively stable at around 10 % until the end of 2002, before increasing sharply during 2003 — and remaining at about 20 % until the end of 2007. At this particular site the increases in f-NO<sub>2</sub> are very apparent.

An interesting question is what would  $NO_2$  concentrations have been if f-NO<sub>2</sub> remained at the 10 % level, or indeed any other level. The calcFno2 function also allows the user to input their own f-NO<sub>2</sub> level and make new predictions. In this case the code run is slightly different, shown in Figure 23.2.

By providing a value to the option user . fno2 (expressed as a fraction), the function will automatically calculate NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations with the chosen f-NO<sub>2</sub> value applied to the whole time series. In this case results will return a data frame with dates and NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. In addition a plot is produced as shown in Figure 23.2. The blue line and shading show the measured data and highlight a clear increase in NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations from 2003 onwards. The red line and shading shows the predicted values assuming (in this case) that f-NO<sub>2</sub> was constant at 0.095. Based on these results it is clear that NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations would have been substantially less if it were not for the recent increases in f-NO<sub>2</sub>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Note that the choice to give the plot a heading is optional.





## the results are a list of two data frame, the first is the f-NO2 results:

```
head(results$data[[1]])
```

## date fno2
## 1.1998 1998-01-01 00:00:00 8.9
## 2.1998 1998-02-01 00:00:00 9.2
## 3.1998 1998-03-01 00:00:00 10.1
## 4.1998 1998-04-01 01:00:00 9.7
## 5.1998 1998-05-01 01:00:00 10.3
## 6.1998 1998-06-01 01:00:00 10.7

## the second are the hourly nox, no2 and estimated o3: head(results\$data[[2]])

 ##
 date
 nox
 no2
 o3

 ##
 1
 1998-01-01
 00:00:00
 285
 56
 0.19

 ##
 2
 1998-01-01
 03:00:00
 493
 73
 0.11

 ##
 3
 1998-01-01
 04:00:00
 468
 70
 0.35

 ##
 4
 1998-01-01
 05:00:00
 264
 54
 1.22

 ##
 5
 1998-01-01
 06:00:00
 171
 47
 0.92

 ##
 6
 1998-01-01
 07:00:00
 195
 51
 2.18

FIGURE 23.1 Plot from the application of the calcFno2 function applied to Marylebone Road. The plot shows a smooth fit with 95 % confidence intervals.





FIGURE 23.2 Plot from the application of the calcFno2 function applied to Marylebone Road with a forced f-NO<sub>2</sub> values of 0.095 over the whole series. The red line and shading shows the trend in actual measurements and the blue line and shading the predicted trend in NO<sub>2</sub> if the f-NO<sub>2</sub> ratio had remained at 0.095.

# 24 Utility functions

## 24.1 Selecting data by date

Selecting by date/time in R can be intimidating for new users—and time consuming for all users. The **selectByDate** function aims to make this easier by allowing users to select data based on the British way of expressing date i.e. d/m/y. This function should be very useful in circumstances where it is necessary to select only part of a data frame.

The function has the following options:

mydata	A data frame containing a date field in hourly or high resolution format.
start	A start date string in the form d/m/yyyy e.g. "1/2/1999" or in 'R' format i.e. "YYYY-mm-dd", "1999-02-01"
end	See start for format.
year	A year or years to select e.g. year = $1998:2004$ to select 1998-2004 inclusive or year = $c(1998, 2004)$ to select 1998 and 2004.
month	A month or months to select. Can either be numeric e.g. month = 1:6 to select months 1-6 (January to June), or by name e.g. month = c("January", "December"). Names can be abbreviated to 3 letters and be in lower or upper case.
day	A day name or or days to select. day can be numeric $(1 \text{ to } 31)$ or character. For example day = c("Monday", "Wednesday") or day = 1:10 (to select the 1st to 10th of each month). Names can be abbreviated to 3 letters and be in lower or upper case. Also accepts "weekday" (Monday - Friday) and "weekend" for convenience.
hour	An hour or hours to select from $0-23$ e.g. hour = $0:12$ to select hours o to 12 inclusive.

```
## select all of 1999
data.1999 <- selectByDate(mydata, start = "1/1/1999", end = "31/12/1999")
head(data.1999)
## # A tibble: 6 x 13
            ## date
##
    <dttm>
## 1 1999-01-01 00:00:00 5.04 140 88 35 4 21 3.84 1.02
                                                  3 17 5.24 2.7
## 2 1999-01-01 01:00:00 4.08 160 132 41
                                                                         11
## 3 1999-01-01 02:00:00 4.8 160 168 40 4 17 6.51 2.87
                                                                         8
## 4 1999-01-01 03:00:00 4.92 150 85 36 3 15 4.18 1.62
                                                                         10
## 5 1999-01-01 04:00:00 4.68 150 93 37 3 16 4.25 1.02 11
## 6 1999-01-01 05:00:00 3.96 160 74 29 5 14 3.88 0.725 NA
## # ... with 3 more variables: split.by <ord>, feature <chr>, ratio <dbl>
tail(data.1999)
## # A tibble: 6 x 13

      ##
      date
      ws
      wd
      nox
      no2
      o3
      pm10
      so2
      co
      pm25

      ##
      <dttm>
      <dbl> <int> <int> <int> <int> <int> <int> <dbl> <dbl> <int>

## 1 1999-12-31 18:00:00 4.68 190 226 39 NA 29 5.46 2.38 23
## 2 1999-12-31 19:00:00 3.96 180 202 37 NA 27 4.78 2.15 23
## 3 1999-12-31 20:00:00 3.36 190 246 44 NA 30 5.88 2.45 23
## 4 1999-12-31 21:00:00 3.72 220 231 35 NA 28 5.28 2.22 23
## 5 1999-12-31 22:00:00 4.08 200 217 41 NA 31 4.79 2.17 26
## 6 1999-12-31 23:00:00 3.24 200 181 37 NA 28 3.48 1.78
                                                                         22
## # ... with 3 more variables: split.by <ord>, feature <chr>, ratio <dbl>
## easier way
data.1999 <- selectByDate(mydata, year = 1999)</pre>
## more complex use: select weekdays between the hours of 7 am to 7 pm
sub.data <- selectByDate(mydata, day = "weekday", hour = 7:19)</pre>
## select weekends between the hours of 7 am to 7 pm in winter (Dec, Jan, Feb)
sub.data <- selectByDate(mydata, day = "weekend", hour = 7:19,</pre>
                          month = c("dec", "jan", "feb"))
```

The function can be used directly in other functions. For example, to make a polar plot using year 2000 data:

polarPlot(selectByDate(mydata, year = 2000), pollutant = "so2")

#### 24.2 Selecting run lengths of values above a threshold – pollution episodes

A seemingly easy thing to do that has relevance to air pollution episodes is to select run lengths of contiguous values of a pollutant above a certain threshold. For example, one might be interested in selecting  $O_3$  concentrations where there are at least 8 consecutive hours above 90 ppb. In other words, a selection that combines both a threshold and *persistence*. These periods can be very important from a health perspective and it can be useful to study the conditions under which they occur. But how do you select such periods easily? The **selectRunning** utility function has been written to do this. It could be useful for all sorts of situations e.g.

- Selecting hours where primary pollutant concentrations are persistently high and then applying other **openair** functions to analyse the data in more depth.
- In the study of particle suspension or deposition etc. it might be useful to select

hours where wind speeds remain high or rainfall persists for several hours to see how these conditions affect particle concentrations.

• It could be useful in health impact studies to select blocks of data where pollutant concentrations remain above a certain threshold.

The **selectRunning** has the following options:

mydata	A data frame with a date field and at least one numeric pollutant field to analyse.
pollutant	Name of variable to process. Mandatory.
run.len	Run length for extracting contiguous values of pollutant above the threshold value.

threshold The threshold value for pollutant above which data should be extracted.

As an example we are going to consider  $O_3$  concentrations at a semi-rural site in south-west London (Teddington). The data can be downloaded as follows:

```
ted <- importKCL(site = "td0", year = 2005:2009, met = TRUE)
## see how many rows there are
nrow(ted)</pre>
```

We are going to contrast two polar plots of  $O_3$  concentration. The first uses all hours in the data set, and the second uses a subset of hours. The subset of hours is defined by  $O_3$  concentrations above 90 ppb for periods of at least 8-hours i.e. what might be considered as ozone episode conditions.

```
episode <- selectRunning(ted, pollutant = "o3", threshold = 90, run.len = 8)
## see how many rows there are
nrow(episode)
## [1] 1399</pre>
```

Now we are going to produce two bivariate polar plots shown in Figure 24.1.

The results are shown in Figure 24.1. The polar plot for all data (left plot of Figure 24.1) shows that the highest  $O_3$  concentrations tend to occur for high wind speed conditions from almost every direction. Lower concentrations are observed for low wind speeds because concentrations of  $NO_x$  are higher, resulting in  $O_3$  destruction. By contrast, a polar plot of the episode conditions (right plot of Figure 24.1) is very different. In this case there is a clear set of conditions where these criteria are met i.e. lengths of at least 8-hours where the  $O_3$  concentration is at least 90 ppb. It is clear the highest concentrations are dominated by south-easterly conditions i.e. corresponding to easterly flow from continental Europe where there has been time to the  $O_3$  chemistry to take place.

Another interesting test plot is to consider  $NO_x$  concentrations at Marylebone Road — see Figure 8.1, which shows that high concentrations are dominated by a swathe of south-westerly wind conditions (even for high wind speeds). However, if a selection is made of episode conditions (defined here as  $NO_x$  concentrations >500 ppb for at least 5-hours), then it can be seen that it is actually the low wind speed conditions that dominate. These conditions correspond to low in-canyon wind speeds and low wind speeds across London, which tend to elevate local and background  $NO_x$  concentrations. Even though high concentrations of  $NO_x$  are observed at high wind speeds, it does not

polarPlot(ted, pollutant = "o3", min.bin = 2)



polarPlot(episode, pollutant = "o3", min.bin = 2)



FIGURE 24.1 Example of using the selectRunning function to select episode hours to produce bivariate polar plots of  $O_3$  concentration.

seem that these conditions are as important for episode conditions. Users can run the code below to verify these observations.

```
episode <- selectRunning(mydata, pollutant = "nox", threshold = 800, run.len = 5)
polarPlot(episode, pollutant = "nox", min.bin = 2)</pre>
```

#### 24.3 Calculating rolling means

Some air pollution statistics such as for  $O_3$  and particulate matter are expressed as rolling means and it is useful to be able to calculate these. It can also be useful to help smooth-out data for clearer plotting. The **rollingMean** function makes these calculations. One detail that can be important is that for some statistics a mean is only considered valid if there are a sufficient number of valid readings over the averaging period. Often there is a requirement for at least 75 % data capture. For example, with an averaging period of 8 hours and a data capture threshold of 75%, at least 6 hours are

required to calculate the mean.

The function is called as follows; in this case to calculate 8-hour rolling mean concentrations of  $O_2$ .

```
data(mydata)
mydata <- rollingMean(mydata, pollutant = "o3", hours = 8,</pre>
                               new.name = "rollingo3", data.thresh = 75)
tail(mydata)
## # A tibble: 6 x 11
                                     ws wd nox no2 o3 pm10 so2 co pm25
## date
                   WS WA HOX HOZ GG PHILE
<dbl> <int> <int> <int> <int> <int> <dbl> <dbl> <int> <int> 400 NA 1 91 28
## <dttm>
## 1 2005-06-23 07:00:00 1.5 250 404 156 4 49 NA 1.81 28
## 2 2005-06-23 08:00:00 1.5 260 388 145 6 48 NA 1.64
                                                                                                          26
## 3 2005-06-23 09:00:00 1.5 210 404 168 7 58 NA 1.29
                                                                                                         34

      ## 4 2005-06-23 10:00:00
      2.6
      240
      387
      175
      10
      55
      NA
      1.29
      34

      ## 5 2005-06-23 11:00:00
      3.1
      220
      312
      125
      15
      52
      NA
      1.29
      33

      ## 6 2005-06-23 12:00:00
      3.1
      220
      287
      119
      17
      55
      NA
      1.29
      35

## # ... with 1 more variable: rollingo3 <dbl>
```

Note that calculating rolling means shortens the length of the data set. In the case of  $O_3$ , no calculations are made for the last 7 hours.

Type help(rollingMean) into R for more details. Note that the function currently only works with a single site.

## 24.4 Aggregating data by different time intervals

Aggregating data by different averaging periods is a common and important task. There are many reasons for aggregating data in this way:

- 1. Data sets may have different averaging periods and there is a need to combine them. For example, the task of combining an hourly air quality data set with a 15-minute average meteorological data set. The need here would be to aggregate the 15-minute data to 1-hour before merging.
- 2. It is extremely useful to consider data with different averaging times in a straightforward way. Plotting a very long time series of hourly or higher resolution data can hide the main features and it would be useful to apply a specific (but flexible) averaging period to the data for plotting.
- 3. Those who make measurements during field campaigns (particularly for academic research) may have many instruments with a range of different time resolutions. It can be useful to re-calculate time series with a common averaging period; or maybe help reduce noise.
- 4. It is useful to calculate statistics other than means when aggregating e.g. percentile values, maximums etc.
- 5. For statistical analysis there can be short-term autocorrelation present. Being able to choose a longer averaging period is sometimes a useful strategy for minimising autocorrelation.

In aggregating data in this way, there are a couple of other issues that can be useful to deal with at the same time. First, the calculation of proper vector-averaged wind direction is essential. Second, sometimes it is useful to set a minimum number of data points that must be present before the averaging is done. For example, in calculating monthly averages, it may be unwise to not account for data capture if some months only have a few valid points.

When a data capture threshold is set through data.thresh it is necessary for timeAverage to know what the original time interval of the input time series is. The function will try and calculate this interval based on the most common time gap (and will print the assumed time gap to the screen). This works fine most of the time but there are occasions where it may not e.g. when very few data exist in a data frame. In this case the user can explicitly specify the interval through interval in the same format as avg.time e.g. interval = "month". It may also be useful to set start.date and end.date if the time series do not span the entire period of interest. For example, if a time series ended in October and annual means are required, setting end.date to the end of the year will ensure that the whole period is covered and that data.thresh is correctly calculated. The same also goes for a time series that starts later in the year where start.date should be set to the beginning of the year.

All these issues are (hopefully) dealt with by the timeAverage function. The options are shown below, but as ever it is best to check the help that comes with the **openair** package.

see also timePlot for plotting with different averaging times and statistics

mydata

avg.time

The timeAverage function has the following options:

This defines the time period to average to. Can be "sec", "min", "hour", "day", "DSTday", "week", "month", "quarter" or "year". For much increased flexibility a number can precede these options followed by a space. For example, a timeAverage of 2 months would be period = "2 month". In addition, avg.time can equal "season", in which case 3-month seasonal values are calculated with spring defined as March, April, May and so on.

A data frame containing a date field. Can be class **POSIXct** or **Date**.

Note that avg.time can be *less* than the time interval of the original series, in which case the series is expanded to the new time interval. This is useful, for example, for calculating a 15-minute time series from an hourly one where an hourly value is repeated for each new 15-minute period. Note that when expanding data in this way it is necessary to ensure that the time interval of the original series is an exact multiple of avg.time e.g. hour to 10 minutes, day to hour. Also, the input time series must have consistent time gaps between successive intervals so that timeAverage can work out how much 'padding' to apply. To pad-out data in this way choose fill = TRUE.

- data.thresh The data capture threshold to use (%). A value of zero means that all available data will be used in a particular period regardless if of the number of values available. Conversely, a value of 100 will mean that all data will need to be present for the average to be calculated, else it is recorded as NA. See also interval, start.date and end.date to see whether it is advisable to set these other options.
- statistic The statistic to apply when aggregating the data; default is the mean. Can be one of "mean", "max", "min", "median", "frequency", "sd", "percentile". Note that "sd" is the standard deviation, "frequency" is the number (frequency) of valid records in the period and "data.cap" is the percentage data capture. "percentile" is the percentile level (%) between o-100, which can be set using the "percentile" option — see below. Not used if avg.time = "default".

- type allows timeAverage to be applied to cases where there are groups of data that need to be split and the function applied to each group. The most common example is data with multiple sites identified with a column representing site name e.g. type = "site". More generally, type should be used where the date repeats for a particular grouping variable. However, if type is not supplied the data will still be averaged but the grouping variables (character or factor) will be dropped.
- start.date A string giving a start date to use. This is sometimes useful if a time series starts between obvious intervals. For example, for a 1-minute time series that starts "2009-11-29 12:07:00" that needs to be averaged up to 15-minute means, the intervals would be "2009-11-29 12:07:00", "2009-11-29 12:22:00" etc. Often, however, it is better to round down to a more obvious start point e.g. "2009-11-29 12:00:00" such that the sequence is then "2009-11-29 12:00:00", "2009-11-29 12:00:00" ...start.date is therefore used to force this type of sequence.
- end.date A string giving an end date to use. This is sometimes useful to make sure a time series extends to a known end point and is useful when data.thresh > 0 but the input time series does not extend up to the final full interval. For example, if a time series ends sometime in October but annual means are required with a data capture of >75% then it is necessary to extend the time series up until the end of the year. Input in the format yyyy-mm-dd HH:MM.
- interval The timeAverage function tries to determine the interval of the original time series (e.g. hourly) by calculating the most common interval between time steps. The interval is needed for calculations where the data.thresh>0. For the vast majority of regular time series this works fine. However, for data with very poor data capture or irregular time series the automatic detection may not work. Also, for time series such as monthly time series where there is a variable difference in time between months users should specify the time interval explicitly e.g. interval = "month". Users can also supply a time interval to *force* on the time series. See avg.time for the format.

This option can sometimes be useful with start.date and end.date to ensure full periods are considered e.g. a full year when avg.time = "year".

- vector.ws Should vector averaging be carried out on wind speed if available? The default is FALSE and scalar averages are calculated. Vector averaging of the wind speed is carried out on the u and v wind components. For example, consider the average of two hours where the wind direction and speed of the first hour is 0 degrees and 2m/s and 180 degrees and 2m/s for the second hour. The scalar average of the wind speed is simply the arithmetic average = 2m/s and the vector average is om/s. Vector-averaged wind speeds will always be lower than scalar-averaged values.
- fill When time series are expanded i.e. when a time interval is less than the original time series, data are 'padded out' with NA. To 'pad-out' the

additional data with the first row in each original time interval, choose fill = TRUE.

Additional arguments for other functions calling timeAverage.

```
## load in fresh version of mydata
data(mydata)
```

To calculate daily means from hourly (or higher resolution) data:

```
daily <- timeAverage(mydata, avg.time = "day")
head(daily)</pre>
```

## # A tibble: 6 x 10 ## o3 pm10 date WS wd nox no2 so2 co pm25 ## <dttm> ## 1 1998-01-01 00:00:00 6.84 188. 154. 39.4 6.87 18.2 3.15 2.70 NaN ## 2 1998-01-02 00:00:00 7.07 223. 132. 39.5 6.48 27.8 3.94 1.77 NaN ## 3 1998-01-03 00:00:00 11.0 226. 120. 38.0 8.41 20.2 3.20 1.74 NaN ## 4 1998-01-04 00:00:00 11.5 223. 105. 35.3 9.61 21.0 2.96 1.62 NaN ## 5 1998-01-05 00:00:00 6.61 237. 175. 46.0 4.96 24.2 4.52 2.13 NaN ## 6 1998-01-06 00:00:00 4.38 197. 214. 45.3 1.35 34.6 5.70 2.53 NaN

#### Monthly 95th percentile values:

head(monthly)

. . .

## # A tibble: 6 x 10 ## no2 o3 pm10 so2 date WS wd nox co pm25 ## <dttm> ## 1 1998-01-01 00:00:00 11.2 14 53 45. 371. 68.6 11.1 3.99 NA ## 2 1998-02-01 00:00:00 8.16 16.7 524. 92 7 68.9 17.5 5.63 NA ## 3 1998-03-01 00:00:00 10.6 37.6 417. 85 15 61 18.4 4.85 NA ## 4 1998-04-01 00:00:00 8.16 44.4 384 81.5 20 52 14.6 4.17 NA ## 5 1998-05-01 00:00:00 7.56 40.6 300 25 61 80 12.7 3.55 40 ## 6 1998-06-01 00:00:00 8.47 50.7 377 74.2 15 53 12.2 4.28 33.9

2-week averages but only calculate if at least 75% of the data are available:

```
twoweek <- timeAverage(mydata, avg.time = "2 week", data.thresh = 75)
head(twoweek)</pre>
```

## # A tibble: 6 x 10 ## date wd nox no2 o3 pm10 so2 WS co pm25 ## <dttm> ## 1 1997-12-29 00:00:00 6.98 212. 167. 41.4 4.63 29.3 4.47 2.17 NA ## 2 1998-01-12 00:00:00 4.91 221. 173. 42.1 4.70 28.8 1.86 5.07 NA ## 3 1998-01-26 00:00:00 2.78 242. 233. 51.4 2.30 34.9 2.45 NA 8.07 ## 4 1998-02-09 00:00:00 4.43 215. 276. 57.1 2.63 43.7 8.98 2.94 NA ## 5 1998-02-23 00:00:00 6.89 237. 248. 56.7 4.99 28.8 9.79 2.57 NA ## 6 1998-03-09 00:00:00 2.97 288. 160. 44.8 5.64 32.7 8.65 1.62 NA

Note that timeAverage has a type option to allow for the splitting of variables by a grouping variable. The most comon use for type is when data are available for different sites and the averading needs to be done on a per site basis.

First, retaining by site averages:

```
# import some data for two sites
dat <- importAURN(c("kc1", "my1"), year = 2011:2013)</pre>
# annual averages by site
timeAverage(dat, avg.time = "year", type = "site")
## # A tibble: 6 x 16
## # Groups: site [2]
   site date
                                                               no pm2.5
##
                              o3 no2
                                        со
                                             so2 pm10
                                                        nox
                           ##
   <fct> <dttm>
## 1 Lond~ 2011-01-01 00:00:00 39.4 36.1 0.225 2.06 23.7 53.8 11.6 16.3
## 2 Lond~ 2012-01-01 00:00:00 38.5 36.7 0.266 2.03 20.2 57.4 13.3 14.6
## 3 Lond~ 2013-01-01 00:00:00 38.4 36.9 0.250 2.01 23.1 57.9 13.7 14.7
## 4 Lond~ 2011-01-01 00:00:00 18.5 97.2 0.656 6.86 38.4 306. 137.
                                                                  24.5
## 5 Lond~ 2012-01-01 00:00:00 15.0 94.0 0.589 8.13 30.8 313. 143.
                                                                  21.5
## 6 Lond~ 2013-01-01 00:00:00 17.7 84.7 0.506 5.98 29.1 281. 128.
                                                                  20.1
## # ... with 6 more variables: nv2.5 <dbl>, v2.5 <dbl>, nv10 <dbl>, v10 <dbl>,
## # ws <dbl>, wd <dbl>
```

#### Retain site name and site code:

# can also retain site code timeAverage(dat, avg.time = "year", type = c("site", "code")) ## # A tibble: 6 x 17 ## # Groups: site, code [2] ## site code date o3 no2 co so2 pm10 nox no ## <fct> <fct> <dttm> ## 1 Lond~ KC1 2011-01-01 00:00:00 39.4 36.1 0.225 2.06 23.7 53.8 11.6 ## 2 Lond~ KC1 2012-01-01 00:00:00 38.5 36.7 0.266 2.03 20.2 57.4 13.3 ## 3 Lond~ KC1 2013-01-01 00:00:00 38.4 36.9 0.250 2.01 23.1 57.9 13.7 ## 4 Lond~ MY1 2011-01-01 00:00:00 18.5 97.2 0.656 6.86 38.4 306. 137. ## 5 Lond~ MY1 2012-01-01 00:00:00 15.0 94.0 0.589 8.13 30.8 313. 143. 2013-01-01 00:00:00 17.7 84.7 0.506 5.98 29.1 281. ## 6 Lond~ MY1 128. ## # ... with 7 more variables: pm2.5 <dbl>, nv2.5 <dbl>, v2.5 <dbl>, nv10 <dbl>, ## # v10 <dbl>, ws <dbl>, wd <dbl>

#### Average all data across sites (drops site and code):

```
timeAverage(dat, avg.time = "year")
```

```
## # A tibble: 3 x 15
##
   date
                         no2
                                   so2 pm10
                      03
                                CO
                                              nox
                                                    no pm2.5 nv2.5
##
   <dttm>
                   ## 1 2011-01-01 00:00:00 31.5 67.1 0.439 4.31 31.4 181. 74.9 20.5 16.9
## 2 2012-01-01 00:00:00 26.9 64.7 0.424 5.08 25.6 182. 76.7 18.1 14.9
## 3 2013-01-01 00:00:00 28.0 60.7 0.378 3.79 26.8 169. 70.7 17.4 14.1
## # ... with 5 more variables: v2.5 <dbl>, nv10 <dbl>, v10 <dbl>, ws <dbl>,
## #
    wd <dbl>
```

timeAverage also works the other way in that it can be used to derive higher temporal resolution data e.g. hourly from daily data or 15-minute from hourly data. An example of usage would be the combining of daily mean particle data with hourly meteorological data. There are two ways these two data sets can be combined: either average the meteorological data to daily means or calculate hourly means from the particle data. The timeAverage function when used to 'expand' data in this way will repeat the original values the number of times required to fill the new time scale. In the example below we calculate 15-minute data from hourly data. As it can be seen, the first line is repeated four times and so on. data15 <- timeAverage(mydata, avg.time = "15 min", fill = TRUE)
head(data15, 20)</pre>

##	#	А	tibble:	: 20	Х	10
----	---	---	---------	------	---	----

##		date		WS	wd	nox	no2	о3	pm10	so2	со	pm25
##		<dttm></dttm>		<dbl></dbl>	<int></int>	<int></int>	<int></int>	<int></int>	<int></int>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<int></int>
##	1	1998-01-01	00:00:00	0.6	280	285	39	1	29	4.72	3.37	NA
##	2	1998-01-01	00:15:00	0.6	280	285	39	1	29	4.72	3.37	NA
##	3	1998-01-01	00:30:00	0.6	280	285	39	1	29	4.72	3.37	NA
##	4	1998-01-01	00:45:00	0.6	280	285	39	1	29	4.72	3.37	NA
##	5	1998-01-01	01:00:00	2.16	230	NA	NA	NA	37	NA	NA	NA
##	6	1998-01-01	01:15:00	2.16	230	NA	NA	NA	37	NA	NA	NA
##	7	1998-01-01	01:30:00	2.16	230	NA	NA	NA	37	NA	NA	NA
##	8	1998-01-01	01:45:00	2.16	230	NA	NA	NA	37	NA	NA	NA
##	9	1998-01-01	02:00:00	2.76	190	NA	NA	3	34	6.83	9.60	NA
##	10	1998-01-01	02:15:00	2.76	190	NA	NA	3	34	6.83	9.60	NA
##	11	1998-01-01	02:30:00	2.76	190	NA	NA	3	34	6.83	9.60	NA
##	12	1998-01-01	02:45:00	2.76	190	NA	NA	3	34	6.83	9.60	NA
##	13	1998-01-01	03:00:00	2.16	170	493	52	3	35	7.66	10.2	NA
##	14	1998-01-01	03:15:00	2.16	170	493	52	3	35	7.66	10.2	NA
##	15	1998-01-01	03:30:00	2.16	170	493	52	3	35	7.66	10.2	NA
##	16	1998-01-01	03:45:00	2.16	170	493	52	3	35	7.66	10.2	NA
##	17	1998-01-01	04:00:00	2.4	180	468	78	2	34	8.07	8.91	NA
##	18	1998-01-01	04:15:00	2.4	180	468	78	2	34	8.07	8.91	NA
##	19	1998-01-01	04:30:00	2.4	180	468	78	2	34	8.07	8.91	NA
##	20	1998-01-01	04:45:00	2.4	180	468	78	2	34	8.07	8.91	NA

The timePlot can apply this function directly to make it very easy to plot data with different averaging times and statistics.

## 24.5 Calculating percentiles

calcPercentile makes it straightforward to calculate percentiles for a single pollutant. It can take account of different averaging periods, data capture thresholds — see Section 24.4 for more details. The function has the following options:

- mydata A data frame of data with a date field in the format Date or POSIXct. Must have one variable to apply calculations to.
- pollutant Name of variable to process. Mandatory.
- avg.time Averaging period to use. See timeAverage for details.
- percentile A vector of percentile values. For example percentile = 50 for median values, percentile = c(5, 50, 95 for multiple percentile values.
- data.thresh Data threshold to apply when aggregating data. See timeAverage for details.
- start Start date to use see timeAverage for details.

For example, to calculate the 25, 50, 75 and 95th percentiles of  $O_3$  concentration by year:

##		date	percentile.25	percentile.50	percentile.75	percentile.95
##	1	1998-01-01	2	4	7	16
##	2	1999-01-01	2	4	9	21
##	3	2000-01-01	2	4	9	22
##	4	2001-01-01	2	4	10	24
##	5	2002-01-01	2	4	10	24
##	6	2003-01-01	2	4	11	24
##	7	2004-01-01	2	5	11	23
##	8	2005-01-01	3	7	16	28

## 24.6 The corPlot function – correlation matrices

Understanding how different variables are related to one another is always important. However, it can be difficult to easily develop an understanding of the relationships when many different variables are present. One of the useful techniques used is to plot a *correlation matrix*, which provides the correlation between all pairs of data. The basic idea of a correlation matrix has been extended to help visualise relationships between variables by Friendly (2002) and Sarkar (2007).

The corPlot shows the correlation coded in three ways: by shape (ellipses), colour and the numeric value. The ellipses can be thought of as visual representations of scatter plot. With a perfect positive correlation a line at 45 degrees positive slope is drawn. For zero correlation the shape becomes a circle — imagine a 'fuzz' of points with no relationship between them.

With many different variables it can be difficult to see relationships between variables i.e. which variables tend to behave most like one another. For this reason hierarchical clustering is applied to the correlation matrices to group variables that are most similar to one another (if cluster = TRUE.)

It is also possible to use the **openair** type option to condition the data in many flexible ways, although this may become difficult to visualise with too many panels.

The **corPlot** function has the following options:

- mydata A data frame which should consist of some numeric columns.
- pollutants the names of data-series in mydata to be plotted by corPlot. The default option NULL and the alternative "all" use all available valid (numeric) data.
- type determines how the data are split i.e. conditioned, and then plotted. The default is will produce a single plot using the entire data. Type can be one of the built-in types as detailed in cutData e.g. "season", "year", "weekday" and so on. For example, type = "season" will produce four plots — one for each season.

It is also possible to choose type as another variable in the data frame. If that variable is numeric, then the data will be split into four quantiles (if possible) and labelled accordingly. If type is an existing character or factor variable, then those categories/levels will be used directly. This offers great flexibility for understanding the variation of different variables and how they depend on one another.

cluster Should the data be ordered according to cluster analysis. If TRUE hierarchical clustering is applied to the correlation matrices using hclust to group similar variables together. With many variables clustering can greatly assist interpretation.

- **dendrogram** Should a dendrogram be plotted? When **TRUE** a dendrogram is shown on the right of the plot. Note that this will only work for **type** = "default".
- **lower** Should only the lower triangle be plotted?
- **cols** Colours to be used for plotting. Options include "default", "increment", "heat", "spectral", "hue", "greyscale" and user defined (see openColours for more details).
- **r.thresh** Values of greater than **r.thresh** will be shown in bold type. This helps to highlight high correlations.
- text.col The colour of the text used to show the correlation values. The first value controls the colour of negative correlations and the second positive.
- auto.text Either TRUE (default) or FALSE. If TRUE titles and axis labels will automatically try and format pollutant names and units properly e.g. by subscripting the '2' in NO2.
- ... Other graphical parameters passed onto lattice:levelplot, with common axis and title labelling options (such as xlab, ylab, main) being passed via quickText to handle routine formatting.

An example of the corPlot function is shown in Figure 24.2. In this Figure it can be seen the highest correlation coefficient is between  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  (r = 0. 84) and that the correlations between  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_2$  and  $NO_x$  are also high.  $O_3$  has a negative correlation with most pollutants, which is expected due to the reaction between NO and  $O_3$ . It is not that apparent in Figure 24.2 that the order the variables appear is due to their similarity with one another, through hierarchical cluster analysis. In this case we have chosen to also plot a *dendrogram* that appears on the right of the plot. Dendrograms provide additional information to help with visualising how groups of variables are related to one another. Note that dendrograms can only be plotted for type = "default" i.e. for a single panel plot.

Note also that the **corPlot** accepts a **type** option, so it possible to condition the data in many flexible ways, although this may become difficult to visualise with too many panels. For example:

```
corPlot(mydata, type = "season")
```

When there are a very large number of variables present, the **corPlot** is a very effective way of quickly gaining an idea of how variables are related. As an example (not plotted) it is useful to consider the hydrocarbons measured at Marylebone Road. There is a lot of information in the hydrocarbon plot (about 40 species), but due to the hierarchical clustering it is possible to see that isoprene, ethane and propane behave differently to most of the other hydrocarbons. This is because they have different (non-vehicle exhaust) origins. Ethane and propane results from natural gas leakage whereas isoprene is biogenic in origin (although some is from vehicle exhaust too). It is also worth considering how the relationships change between the species over the years as hydrocarbon emissions are increasingly controlled, or maybe the difference between summer and winter blends of fuels and so on.

corPlot(mydata, dendrogram = TRUE)



FIGURE 24.2 Example of a correlation matrix showing the relationships between variables.

```
hc <- importAURN(site = "my1", year = 2005, hc = TRUE)
## now it is possible to see the hydrocarbons that behave most
## similarly to one another
corPlot(hc)</pre>
```

# 24.7 Preparing data to compare sites, for model evaluation and intervention analysis

Many of the functions described have the potential to be extremely flexible. Mention has already been made of how to compare different sites in some of the functions. It was stated that the data had to be in a certain format for the functions to work. This section describes a few simple functions to do this — and more.

#### 24.7.1 Intervention analysis

Another common scenario is that there is interest in showing plots by different time intervals *on the same scale*. There could be all sorts of reasons for wanting to do this. A classic example would be to show a before/after plot due to some intervention such as a low emission zone. Again, the function below exploits the flexible 'site' option available in many functions.

A small helper function splitByDate has been written to simplify chopping up a data set into different defined periods. The function takes three arguments: a data frame to process, a date (or dates) and labels for each period. If there was interest in

looking at mydata before and after the 1st Jan 2003, it would be split into *two* periods (before that date and after). In other words, there will always be one more label than there is date. We have made the function easier to use for supplying dates. Dates can be accepted in the form 'dd/mm/yyyy' e.g. 13/04/1999 or as 'yyyy-mm-dd' e.g. '1999-04-13'.

The example below chops the data set up into three sections, called 'before', 'during' and 'after'. This is done to show how more than one date can be supplied to the function.

head(mydata)

## # A tibble: 6 x 11 ## date co pm25 ws wd nox no2 o3 pm10 so2 <dbl> <int> <int> <int> <int> <int> <int> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <int> ## <dttm> ## 1 1998-01-01 00:00:00 0.6 280 285 39 1 29 4.72 3.37 NA ## 2 1998-01-01 01:00:00 2.16 NA 37 NA NA 230 NA NA NA ## 3 1998-01-01 02:00:002.76190NANA3346.839.60## 4 1998-01-01 03:00:002.16170493523357.6610.2 190 NA NA NA ## 5 1998-01-01 04:00:00 2.4 180 468 78 2 34 8.07 8.91 NA ## 6 1998-01-01 05:00:00 3 190 264 42 0 16 5.50 3.05 NA ## # ... with 1 more variable: split.by <ord>

tail(mydata)

##	#	A tibble: 6	5 x 11									
##		date		WS	wd	nox	no2	о3	pm10	so2	со	pm25
##		<dttm></dttm>		<dbl></dbl>	<int></int>	<int></int>	<int></int>	<int></int>	<int></int>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<int></int>
##	1	2005-06-23	07:00:00	1.5	250	404	156	4	49	NA	1.81	28
##	2	2005-06-23	08:00:00	1.5	260	388	145	6	48	NA	1.64	26
##	3	2005-06-23	09:00:00	1.5	210	404	168	7	58	NA	1.29	34
##	4	2005-06-23	10:00:00	2.6	240	387	175	10	55	NA	1.29	34
##	5	2005-06-23	11:00:00	3.1	220	312	125	15	52	NA	1.29	33
##	6	2005-06-23	12:00:00	3.1	220	287	119	17	55	NA	1.29	35
##	#	with 1	more vari	iable:	split	.by <oi< td=""><td>rd&gt;</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></oi<>	rd>					

As can be seen, there is a new field split.by (although the name can be set by the user), where at the beginning of the time series it is labelled 'before' and at the end it is labelled 'after'. Now let us make a polar annulus plot showing the diurnal variation of  $NO_2$  by wind direction:

In some cases it would make sense to have labels that refer to dates. Here is an example:

#### 24.7.2 Combining lots of sites

A typical example is that imported data have a date field and one or more pollutant fields from one of more sites in a series of columns. The aim would be, for example, to produce a series of plots by site for the same pollutant. If the data contains multiple pollutants and multiple sites, it makes sense to subset the data first.<sup>13</sup> For example, if a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Note that if you are able to use data from the AURN archive using the <u>importAURN</u> function, the data will already be in the correct format for direct use by many of the functions—although it may well be necessary to merge some meteorological data first.





FIGURE 24.3 Example of processing data for use in the **polarAnnulus** function by time period for NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at Marylebone Road.

data frame mydata has fields 'date', 'nox.site1', 'so2.site1', 'nox.site2', 'so2.site2', then just working with the NO<sub>x</sub> data can be done by:

subdata <- subset(mydata, select = c(date, nox.site1, nox.site2))</pre>

Rather than import new data, the code below first makes an artificial data set from which to work. In a real situation, the first few lines would not be needed.

```
## load tidyverse package if it is not already loaded...
library(tidyverse)
siteData <- select(mydata, date, ws, wd, nox) %>%
 mutate(site = "Site 1")
siteData2 <- siteData %>%
 mutate(nox = nox / 2,
        site = "Site 2")
siteData <- bind_rows(siteData, siteData2)</pre>
head(siteData)
## # A tibble: 6 x 5
## date
                         ws wd nox site
##
    <dttm>
                      <dbl> <int> <dbl> <chr>
## 1 1998-01-01 00:00:00 0.6 280 285 Site 1
                                    NA Site 1
## 2 1998-01-01 01:00:00 2.16 230
## 3 1998-01-01 02:00:00 2.76
                               190
                                     NA Site 1
                                    493 Site 1
## 4 1998-01-01 03:00:00 2.16 170
## 5 1998-01-01 04:00:00 2.4
                               180
                                     468 Site 1
                               190 264 Site 1
## 6 1998-01-01 05:00:00 3
```

Now it is possible to run many **openair** functions on this dataset. In this case, let us consider a **polarPlot**. Note that this process would work with many more sites



polarPlot(siteData, pollutant = "nox", type = "site")

FIGURE 24.4 Example of processing data for use in the polarPlot function by site.

than shown here. Note, however, many functions such as polarPlot accept multiple pollutants and the importAURN and importKCL format multiple site data directly and no additional work is required by the user.

# Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to a range of organisations that have so far seen this initiative as something worth supporting financially. These include:

- Dr Karl Ropkins of the Institute for Transport Studies at the University of Leeds for his contributions during the NERC project.
- The initial funding supplied by the Faculty of Environment at the University of Leeds to help develop a course on R to analyse and understand air pollution data.
- Sefton Council for their direct funding of data analysis in their borough as part of the Beacon air quality scheme.
- AEA.
- North Lincolnshire Council.
- Defra as part of their work through the AURN.
- The Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) Knowledge Transfer grant NE/G001081/1.

This work would not be possible without the incredible individuals who have given their time freely to develop the R system. This includes those in the R-Core Development Team and all those that contribute to its development (R Core Team 2015).

# **Further information**

For any enquiries related to this document or the **openair** package, please use the contact details below. Please contact us regarding any of the following: bug reports, suggestions for existing functions, suggestions for new functions and offer of code contributions. When reporting potential bugs, it is helpful (sometimes essential) to submit a reproducible example, which would normally require sending a description of the problem and the data set used. Also, we are interested in developing further funded case studies.

David Carslaw Department of Chemistry University of York Heslington York YO10 5DD UK

e-mail:mailto:david.carslaw@york.ac.uk

# References

- APPLEQUIST, S. (2012). 'Wind Rose Bias Correction'. In: *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology* 51.7, pp. 1305–1309 (cit. on pp. 38, 42).
- AQEG (2008). *Trends in primary nitrogen dioxide in the UK*. Air Quality Expert Group. Report prepared by the Air Quality Expert Group for the Department for Environment, Food, Rural Affairs; Scottish Executive; Welsh Assembly Government; and Department of the Environment in Northern Ireland. (cit. on p. 191).
- ARA BEGUM, B., E. KIM, C.-H. JEONG, D.-W. LEE and P. K. HOPKE (2005). 'Evaluation of the potential source contribution function using the 2002 Quebec forest fire episode'. In: *Atmospheric Environment* 39.20, pp. 3719–3724. DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.03.008 (cit. on p. 162).
- ASHBAUGH, L. L., W. C. MALM and W. Z. SADEH (1985). 'A residence time probability analysis of sulfur concentrations at grand Canyon National Park'. In: *Atmospheric Environment (1967)* 19.8, pp. 1263–1270. DOI: 10.1016/0004-6981(85)90256-2 (cit. on pp. 52, 71).
- CARSLAW, D. C. (2005). 'Evidence of an increasing NO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>x</sub>, emissions ratio from road traffic emissions'. In: *Atmospheric Environment* 39.26, pp. 4793–4802 (cit. on p. 190).
- CARSLAW, D. C. and S. D. BEEVERS (2004). 'Investigating the potential importance of primary NO<sub>2</sub> emissions in a street canyon'. In: *Atmospheric Environment* 38.22, pp. 3585–3594 (cit. on p. 190).
- (2005). 'Estimations of road vehicle primary NO<sub>2</sub> exhaust emission fractions using monitoring data in London'. In: *Atmospheric Environment* 39.1, pp. 167–177 (cit. on p. 191).
- (2013). 'Characterising and understanding emission sources using bivariate polar plots and k-means clustering'. In: *Environmental Modelling & Software* 40.0, pp. 325-329. DOI: 10.1016/j.envsoft.2012.09.005 (cit. on pp. 60, 76).
- CARSLAW, D. C., S. D. BEEVERS, K. ROPKINS and M. C. BELL (2006). 'Detecting and quantifying aircraft and other on-airport contributions to ambient nitrogen oxides

in the vicinity of a large international airport'. In: *Atmospheric Environment* 40.28, pp. 5424–5434 (cit. on pp. 60, 61).

- CARSLAW, D. C., S. D. BEEVERS and J. E. TATE (2007). 'Modelling and assessing trends in traffic-related emissions using a generalised additive modelling approach'. In: *Atmospheric Environment* 41.26, pp. 5289–5299 (cit. on p. 112).
- CARSLAW, D. C. and N. CARSLAW (2007). 'Detecting and characterising small changes in urban nitrogen dioxide concentrations'. In: *Atmospheric Environment* 41.22, pp. 4723-4733 (cit. on p. 190).
- CHATFIELD, C. (2004). *The analysis of time series : an introduction / Chris Chatfield.* 6th ed. Boca Raton, FL ; London : Chapman & Hall/CRC (cit. on p. 218).
- CLAPP, L. J. and M. E. JENKIN (2001). 'Analysis of the relationship between ambient levels of  $O_3$ ,  $NO_2$  and NO as a function of  $NO_X$  in the UK'. In: *Atmospheric Environment* 35.36, pp. 6391-6405 (cit. on p. 191).
- COMEAP (2011). Review of the UK Air Quality Index: A report by the Committee on the Medical Effects of Air Pollutants (cit. on p. 102).
- DAVISON, A. C. and D. HINKLEY (1997). *Bootstrap methods and their application*. (Anthony Christopher). Cambridge ; New York, NY, USA : Cambridge University Press (cit. on p. 214).
- DROPPO, J. G. and B. A. NAPIER (2008). 'Wind direction bias in generating wind roses and conducting sector-based air dispersion modeling'. In: *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association* 58.7, pp. 913–918 (cit. on p. 38).
- EFRON, B. and R. TIBSHIRANI (1993). *An Introduction to the Bootstrap*. Chapman & Hall (cit. on p. 214).
- FLEMING, Z. L., P. S. MONKS and A. J. MANNING (2012). 'Review: Untangling the influence of air-mass history in interpreting observed atmospheric composition'. In: *Atmospheric Research* 104-105, pp. 1-39. DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2011.09. 009 (cit. on pp. 158, 162).
- FRIENDLY, M. (2002). 'Corrgrams: Exploratory Displays for Correlation Matrices'. In: *The American Statistician* 56.4, pp. 316–325 (cit. on p. 205).
- GRANGE, S. K., A. C. LEWIS and D. C. CARSLAW (2016). 'Source apportionment advances using polar plots of bivariate correlation and regression statistics'. In: *Atmospheric Environment* 145, pp. 128–134 (cit. on p. 74).
- HASTIE, T. J. and R. TIBSHIRANI (1990). *Generalized additive models*. London: Chapman and Hall (cit. on p. 61).
- HENRY, R., G. A. NORRIS, R. VEDANTHAM and J. R. TURNER (2009). 'Source Region Identification Using Kernel Smoothing'. In: *Environmental Science & Technology* 43.11, 4090–4097. DOI: {10.1021/es8011723} (cit. on pp. 42, 71).
- HIRSCH, R. M., J. R. SLACK and R. A. SMITH (1982). 'Techniques Of Trend Analysis For Monthly Water-Quality Data'. In: *Water Resources Research* 18.1. ISI Document Delivery No.: NC504, pp. 107–121 (cit. on p. 103).
- HSU, Y.-K., T. M. HOLSEN and P. K. HOPKE (2003). 'Comparison of hybrid receptor models to locate PCB sources in Chicago'. In: *Atmospheric Environment* 37.4, pp. 545–562. DOI: 10.1016/S1352-2310(02)00886-5 (cit. on p. 163).
- KUNSCH, H. R. (1989). 'The jackknife and the bootstrap for general stationary observations'. In: *Annals of Statistics* 17.3, pp. 1217–1241 (cit. on pp. 104, 214).
- LEGATES, D. R. and G. J. MCCABE JR (1999). 'Evaluating the use of "goodness-of-fit" measures in hydrologic and hydroclimatic model validation'. In: *Water Resources Research* 35.1, pp. 233–241 (cit. on p. 172).
- LEGATES, D. R. and G. J. MCCABE (2012). 'A refined index of model performance: a rejoinder'. In: *International Journal of Climatology* (cit. on p. 172).

- LUPU, A. and W. MAENHAUT (2002). 'Application and comparison of two statistical trajectory techniques for identification of source regions of atmospheric aerosol species'. In: *Atmospheric Environment* 36, pp. 5607–5618 (cit. on p. 163).
- MCHUGH, C. A., D. J. CARRUTHERS and H. A. EDMUNDS (1997). 'ADMS and ADMS-Urban'. In: *International Journal of Environment and Pollution* 8.3-6, pp. 438-440 (cit. on p. 10).
- PEKNEY, N. J., C. I. DAVIDSON, L. ZHOU and P. K. HOPKE (2006). 'Application of PSCF and CPF to PMF-Modeled Sources of PM 2.5 in Pittsburgh'. In: *Aerosol Science and Technology* 40.10, pp. 952–961. DOI: 10.1080/02786820500543324 (cit. on p. 162).
- R CORE TEAM (2015). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria (cit. on p. 210).
- SARKAR, D. (2007). Lattice Multivariate Data Visualization with R. ISBN 978-0-387-75968-5. New York: Springer (cit. on p. 205).
- SEIBERT, P., H. KROMP-KOLB, U. BALTENSPERGER and D. JOST (1994). 'Trajectory analysis of high-alpine air pollution data'. In: *NATO Challenges of Modern Society* 18, pp. 595–595 (cit. on p. 163).
- SEN, P. K. (1968). 'Estimates of regression coefficient based on Kendall's tau'. In: Journal of the American Statistical Association 63(324), pp. 1379–1389 (cit. on p. 103).
- TAYLOR, K. E. (2001). 'Summarizing multiple aspects of model performance in a single diagram'. In: *Journal of Geophysical Research* 106.D7, pp. 7183–7192 (cit. on p. 175).
- THEIL, H. (1950). 'A rank invariant method of linear and polynomial regression analysis, I, II, III'. In: *Proceedings of the Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie Wetenschappen, Series A – Mathematical Sciences* 53, pp. 386–392, 521–525, 1397–1412 (cit. on p. 103).
- URIA-TELLAETXE, I. and D. C. CARSLAW (2014). 'Conditional bivariate probability function for source identification'. In: *Environmental Modelling & Software* 59, pp. 1–9. DOI: 10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.05.002 (cit. on pp. 60, 71, 73).
- WESTMORELAND, E. J., N. CARSLAW, D. C. CARSLAW, A. GILLAH and E. BATES (2007).
  'Analysis of air quality within a street canyon using statistical and dispersion modelling techniques'. In: *Atmospheric Environment* 41.39, pp. 9195–9205 (cit. on p. 60).
- WILCOX, R. R. (2010). Fundamentals of Modern Statistical Methods: Substantially Improving Power and Accuracy. 2nd. Springer New York (cit. on p. 103).
- WILKS, D. S. (2005). Statistical Methods in the Atmospheric Sciences, Volume 91, Second Edition (International Geophysics). 2nd ed. Academic Press (cit. on p. 181).
- WILLMOTT, C. J., S. M. ROBESON and K. MATSUURA (2011). 'A refined index of model performance'. In: *International Journal of Climatology* (cit. on p. 172).
- WOOD, S. N. (2006). *Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R*. Chapman and Hall/CRC (cit. on pp. 61, 216).
- YU, K., Y. CHEUNG, T. CHEUNG and R. HENRY (2004). 'Identifying the impact of large urban airports on local air quality by nonparametric regression'. In: *Atmospheric Environment* 38.27, pp. 4501–4507 (cit. on p. 60).

# A Bootstrap estimates of uncertainty

## A.1 The bootstrap

The *bootstrap* is a data-based simulation method for analysing data, including hypothesis testing, standard error and confidence interval estimation. It involves repeatedly drawing random samples from the original data, with replacement (see EFRON and TIBSHIRANI (1993) and DAVISON and HINKLEY (1997) for a detailed history and examples of use of the bootstrap). Each *bootstrap sample* is the same size as the original sample. The 'with replacement' bit is important. Sampling with replacement means that after we randomly draw an observation from the original sample we put it back before drawing the next observation i.e. it is possible to draw the same sample more than once. In fact, on average, 37 % of data will not be sampled each time. If one sampled without replacement it would be equivalent to just shuffling the data and no new information is available. Typically, 100s or 1000s of samples are required in order to derive reliable statistics.

The term bootstrap derives from the phrase "to pull oneself up by one's bootstraps". The phrase is based on one of the eighteenth century *Adventures of Baron Munchausen* by Rudolph Erich Raspe. The Baron had fallen to the bottom of a deep lake. Just when it looked like all was lost, he thought to pick himself up by his own bootstraps! In a statistical sense it is meant to convey the idea of generating 'new' data from the original data set itself, which seems like an implausible thing to do, but has been shown to be valid.

When the bootstrap was discovered in the 1970s it was difficult to apply to many practical problems because computers were not powerful enough to carry out such repetitive and intensive calculations. However, computers are now sufficiently powerful to allow these methods to be used (in most circumstances) easily. This section does not aim to provide an in-depth consideration of statistics and justify the use of these methods, but rather aims to provide some background in their use in openair.

When used to estimate confidence intervals, the bootstrap sampling will yield, say, 1000 estimates of the statistic of interest e.g. the slope of a trend. This distribution could be highly skewed and this is one of the principal advantages of the technique: normality is not required. We now have a 1000 bootstrap samples, and 1000 estimates of the statistic of interest, one from each bootstrap sample. If these 1000 bootstrap samples are ordered in increasing value, a bootstrap 95 % confidence interval for the mean would be from the 25th to the 975th largest values. Sometimes, uncertainty estimates are not symmetrical. For example, it may not be possible to report an uncertainty as 100  $\pm$  12, but 100 [87, 121], where 87 and 121 are the lower and upper 95 % confidence intervals, respectfully.

## A.2 The block bootstrap

The basic bootstrap assumes that data are independent. However, in time series this is rarely the case due to *autocorrelation* when consecutive points in time are related to one another. For example, for data with a strong seasonal effect, the month of January may tend to have higher values than other months. These effects can, however, be difficult to characterise and model. The motivation for accounting for autocorrelation in this project is mostly to ensure that uncertainty estimates in trends and other statistics are not overly optimistic, which would generally be the case if autocorrelation was not accounted for. These effects can be accounted for by ensuring that the random sampling captures the correlation structure of the data using a *block bootstrap* (KUNSCH 1989). The idea is that if data (or residuals from a model) are sampled in small blocks, the



FIGURE A.1 AR1 time random series where the autocorrelation coefficient is zero.

correlation structure is retained, provided there is not significant correlation between the blocks.

The following Figures highlight the importance of accounting for autocorrelation using the TheilSen function. Figure A.1 shows a simulated time series comprising of a linear trend + some random noise with no autocorrelation. The Sen-Theil slope and the slope uncertainties are shown (and the slope statistics upper left). By contrast, Figure A.2 shows a similar series but with a high autocorrelation value of o.8. In this time series it is possible to see that the values seem to fall and rise in 'chunks', indicative of autocorrelation. In this plot, no account has been taken of autocorrelation and the uncertainty in the slope is very similar to Figure A.1.

However, if autocorrelation is accounted for using the data shown in Figure A.2, the uncertainty in the slope increases markedly, as shown in Figure A.3. Instead of the 95 % confidence intervals ranging from 0.42-0.54, they now range from 0.36-0.61 — approximately double the uncertainty of the case where no account is taken of autocorrelation.

The block bootstrap has also been applied to models e.g. the Generalized Additive Model (GAM) used in the smoothTrend function. There are two options here: the observations can be sampled and the models run many times (called *case resampling*), or the residuals from the model can be sampled and added to the model predictions to make 'new' input data and run many times (called *residual resampling*). There are pros and cons with each approach, but often the two methods yield similar results. In the case of a GAM (or specifically the *mgcv* package), which uses cross-validation for model fitting, having duplicate samples through bootstrapping would seem to make little sense. The approach adopted here therefore is to use residual resampling. The effect of taking account of autocorrelation often (but not always) is an increase in the predicted uncertainty intervals, and a smooth function that is less 'wiggly' than that derived by not accounting for autocorrelation.

A more 'robust' approach is outlined in Appendix B, where models are used to describe the correlation structure of the data.

Clearly, the importance of these issues is data-dependent and there are other issues to consider too. However, if one is interested in drawing important inferences from data, then it would seem wise to account for these effects. It should be noted that these issues are an area of active research and will be revisited from time to time with the aim of improving the robustness of the techniques used.



FIGURE A.2 AR1 time random series where the autocorrelation coefficient is 0.8. The uncertainty in the Sen-Theil slope estimate does not account for autocorrelation.



FIGURE A.3 AR1 time random series where the autocorrelation coefficient is 0.8. The uncertainty in the Sen-Theil slope estimate does account for autocorrelation.

# B A closer look at trends

Understanding trends is a core component of air quality and the atmospheric sciences in general. **openair** provides two main functions for considering trends (smoothTrend and TheilSen), the latter useful for linear trend estimates. Understanding trends and quantifying them robustly is not so easy and careful analysis would treat each time series individually and consider a wide range of diagnostics. In this section we take advantage of some of the excellent capabilities that R has to consider fitting trend models. Experience with real atmospheric composition data shows that trends are rarely linear, which is unfortunate given how much of statistics has been built around the linear model.

Generalized Additive Models (GAMs) offer a flexible approach to calculating trends and in particular, the mgcv package contains many functions that are very useful for such modelling. Some of the details of this type of model are presented in WOOD (2006) and the mgcv package itself.

The example considered is 23 years of  $O_3$  measurements at Mace Head on the West coast of Ireland. The example shows the sorts of steps that might be taken to build a model to explain the trend. The data are first imported and then the year, month and 'trend' estimated. Note that 'trend' here is simply a decimal date that can be used to
timePlot(monthly, pollutant = "o3")



FIGURE B.1 Monthly mean O<sub>3</sub> concentrations at Mace Head, Ireland (1998-2010).

construct various explanatory models. First we import the data:

```
The we import the data.
```

```
library(mgcv)
dat <- importAURN(site = "mh", year = 1988:2010)
### calculate monthly means
monthly <- timeAverage(dat, avg.time = "month")
## now calculate components for the models
monthly$year <- as.numeric(format(monthly$date, "%Y"))
monthly$month <- as.numeric(format(monthly$date, "%M"))
monthly <- transform(monthly, trend = year + (month - 1) / 12)</pre>
```

It is *always* a good idea to plot the data first:

Figure B.1 shows that there is a clear seasonal variation in  $O_3$  concentrations, which is certainly expected. Less obvious is whether there is a trend.

Even though it is known there is a seasonal signal in the data, we will first of all ignore it and build a simple model that only has a trend component (model Mo).

acf(residuals(M0))



FIGURE B.2 ACF for the residuals of model Mo.

```
M0 <- gam(o3 ~ s(trend), data = monthly)
summary(M0)
##
## Family: gaussian
## Link function: identity
##
## Formula:
## o3 ~ s(trend)
##
## Parametric coefficients:
##
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 71.34
                            0.62
                                     115 <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Approximate significance of smooth terms:
     edf Ref.df F p-value
##
## s(trend) 1
                   1 6.96 0.0088 **
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## R-sq.(adj) = 0.0212 Deviance explained = 2.48%
## GCV = 106.82 Scale est. = 106.04
                                      n = 276
```

This model only explains about 2% of the variation as shown by the adjusted  $r^2$ . More of a problem however is that no account has been taken of the seasonal variation. An easy way of seeing the effect of this omission is to plot the autocorrelation function (ACF) of the residuals, shown in Figure B.2. This Figure clearly shows the residuals have a strong seasonal pattern. CHATFIELD (2004) provides lots of useful information on time series modelling.

A refined model should therefore take account of the seasonal variation in  $O_3$  concentrations. Therefore, we add a term taking account of the seasonal variation. Note also that we choose a cyclic spline for the monthly component (bs = "cc"), which joins the first and last points i.e. January and December.

plot.gam(M1, select = 1, shade = TRUE)



FIGURE B.3 The trend component of model M1.

```
M1 <- gam(o3 ~ s(trend) + s(month, bs = "cc"), data = monthly)
summary(M1)
##
## Family: gaussian
## Link function: identity
##
## Formula:
## o3 ~ s(trend) + s(month, bs = "cc")
##
## Parametric coefficients:
##
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 71.343
                           0.374
                                     191 <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Approximate significance of smooth terms:
##
     edf Ref.df F p-value
## s(trend) 1.22 1.4 15.8 1.1e-05 ***
                  8.0 59.4 < 2e-16 ***
## s(month) 6.11
## --
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## R-sq.(adj) = 0.644 Deviance explained = 65.4%
## GCV = 39.766 Scale est. = 38.566
                                     n = 276
```

Now we have a model that explains much more of the variation with an  $r^2$  of 0.65. Also, the p-values for the trend and seasonal components are both highly statistically significant. Let's have a look at the separate components for trend and seasonal variation:

The seasonal component shown in Figure B.4 clearly shows the strong seasonal effect on  $O_3$  at this site (peaking in April). The trend component is actually linear in this case and could be modelled as such. This model looks much better, but as is often the case autocorrelation could remain important. The ACF is shown in Figure B.5 and shows there is still some short-term correlation in the residuals.

Note also that there are other diagnostic tests one should consider when comparing these models that are not shown here e.g. such as considering the normality of the residuals. Indeed a consideration of the residuals shows that the model fails to some extent in explaining the very low values of  $O_3$ , which can be seen in Figure B.1. These

plot.gam(M1, select = 2, shade = TRUE)



FIGURE B.4 The seasonal component of model M1.

acf(residuals(M1))



FIGURE B.5 ACF for the residuals of model M1.

few points (which skew the residuals) may well be associated with air masses from the polluted regions of Europe. Better and more useful models would likely be possible if the data were split my airmass origin, which is something that will be returned to when **openair** includes a consideration of back trajectories.

Further tests, also considering the partial autocorrelation function (PACF) suggest that an AR1 model is suitable for modelling this short-term autocorrelation. This is where modelling using a GAMM (Generalized Additive Mixed Model) comes in because it is possible to model the short-term autocorrelation using a linear mixed model. The gamm function uses the package nlme and the Generalized Linear Mixed Model (GLMM) fitting routine. In the M2 model below the correlation structure is considered explicitly.

acf(residuals(M2\$lme, type = "normalized"))

Series residuals(M2\$Ime, type = "normalized")



FIGURE B.6 ACF for the residuals of model M2.

```
M2 <- gamm(o3 ~ s(month, bs = "cc") + s(trend), data = monthly,
          correlation = corAR1(form = ~ month | year))
summary(M2$gam)
##
## Family: gaussian
## Link function: identity
##
## Formula:
## o3 ~ s(month, bs = "cc") + s(trend)
##
## Parametric coefficients:
##
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                          0.493
## (Intercept) 71.316
                                     145 <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Approximate significance of smooth terms:
           edf Ref.df F p-value
##
## s(month) 6.91 8 42.2 < 2e-16 ***</pre>
                    1 15.1 0.00013 ***
## s(trend) 1.00
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## R-sq.(adj) = 0.643
   Scale est. = 38.863
                           n = 276
##
```

The ACF plot is shown in Figure B.6 and shows that the autocorrelation has been dealt with and we can be rather more confident about the trend component (not plotted). Note that in this case we need to use the normalized residuals to get residuals that take account of the fitted correlation structure.

Note that model M2 assumes that the trend and seasonal terms vary independently of one another. However, if the seasonal amplitude and/or phase change over time then a model that accounts for the interaction between the two may be better. Indeed, this does seem to be the case here, as shown by the improved fit of the model below. This model uses a tensor product smooth (te) and the reason for doing this and not using an isotropic smooth (s) is that the trend and seasonal components are essentially on different scales. We would not necessarily want to apply the same level of smoothness to both components. An example of covariates on the same scale would be latitude

```
plot(M3$gam, select = 2, pers = TRUE, theta = 225, phi = 10,ticktype = "detailed")
```

## Error in plot(M3\$gam, select = 2, pers = TRUE, theta = 225, phi = 10, : object
'M3' not found

FIGURE B.7 Plot showing the two-way interaction between the trend and seasonal components.

and longitude.

It becomes a bit more difficult to plot the two-way interaction between the trend and the month, but it is possible with a surface as shown in Figure B.7. This plot shows for example that during summertime the trends component varies little. However for the autumn and winter months there has been a much greater increase in the trend component for  $O_3$ .

While there have been many steps involved in this short analysis, the data at Mace Head are not typical of most air quality data observed, say in urban areas. Much of the data considered in these areas does not appear to have significant autocorrelation in the residuals once the seasonal variation has been accounted for, therefore avoiding the complexities of taking account of the correlation structure of the data. It may be for example that sites like Mace Head and a pollutant such as  $O_3$  are much more prone to larger scale atmospheric processes that are not captured by these models.

## C Production of HYSPLIT trajectory files

As discussed in Section 19, **openair** can import pre-calculated trajectory data for specified locations. The data are stored on a Ricardo webserver to make it easy to import 96-hour back trajectory data. Several users have requested how they can run HYSPLIT themselves e.g. for different trajectory start heights or for many locations. This section provides the code necessary to run the HYSPLIT model. The code below assumes that full years are run, but it could be adopted for shorter periods. There are three main parts to producing trajectory files:

- 1. Download and install the NOAA Hysplit model, somewhere with write access (see below).
- 2. Download the monthly meteorological (.gbl) files also from the NOAA website.
- 3. Obtain the code to run Hysplit.

To run back trajectories it is necessary to download the meteorological data files. The easiest way to download the meteorological files is using the function below.

The function will download monthly met files (each about 120 MB) to the chosen directory. Note that the met data files only need be downloaded once. For example, to download files for 2013:

```
getMet(year = 2013, month = 1:12)
```

The original functions have been modified by Stuart Grange (PhD student at the University of York). It is first necessary on ensure that the stringr and devtools packages are installed. The latter is needed to load some R functions stored as a *GitHub gist* (some code that can be shared publicly).

```
## Sourcing https://gist.githubusercontent.com/davidcarslaw/c67e33a04ff6e1be0cd7357796e4bdf5/raw/1eb3
## SHA-1 hash of file is e1d37c75528ac18924fd0c6902318702301fa591
```

Now there should be several loaded functions, including run\_hysplit. To run Hysplit, have a look at the examples here https://gist.github.com/davidcarslaw/c67e33a04ff6e1be0cd7357796e4bdf5.

On my Windows machine it is run as follows:

```
data_out <- run_hysplit(
latitude = 36.134,
longitude = -5.347,
runtime = -96,
start_height = 10,
model_height = 10000,
start = 2015,
end = "2015-01-10",
hysplit_exec = "~/hysplit4/exec",
hysplit_input = "~/trajData",
hysplit_output = "~/temp",
site = "gibraltar")
```

The data\_out can then be used directly in **openair** trajectory functions.

Most of the options should be self-explanatory but hysplit\_exec is the path to the Hysplit executable, hysplit\_input is the path to the meteorological files (downloaded as described above) and hysplit\_output is the directory where Hysplit will write its temporary files.

Once run it is then advisable to store the data somewhere. Save it like:

```
saveRDS(data_out, file = "~/trajProc/myTrajData.rds")
```

Then it is easy to read in later and use e.g.

traj <- readRDS("~/trajProc/myTrajData.rds")</pre>